

Does foreign aid help to achieve Economic stability?

Outlines

A Introduction

- (a) Foreign aid is a double-edge sword
- (b) Concept of neo-imperialism
- (c) Thesis statement

B Conceptualizing And tracing history of Foreign Aid

- (a) Definition of Foreign Aid
- (b) Kinds of Foreign Aid
- (c) Concise history of Foreign Aid

C Foreign Aid: pills that give short term relief but distort wounds in long term

C-I Foreign loans Increases Debt-burden
Case on the point: Pakistan

C-II Condition-bearing funds compromises financial autonomy
Quote - Amartya Sen

C-III Inflow of external massive assistance destabilize domestic market.
Case on the point: Tanzania and "Dutch disease"

C-IV Outside help always serves donor interest rather than recipient state.
Case the point: US Energy Aid to Pakistan as counter to IRAN

C-V → Foreign grants 'Breeds' dependency!
Instead of self-reliance

Case the point: Pakistan \$150 billion in aid

C-VI → Foreign Aid Encourages political clientelism

Case the point: Uganda under Museveni

C-VII Aids promotes consumption over production

Case the point: Egypt and Pakistan

C-VIII Aid often lacks monitoring and evaluation:

Case Report: World Bank project in sub-Saharan African and review report 40%.

C-IX

Economic stability comes from economic reform, not Aid

Case the point: Asian-tigers

D Foreign Aid help to achieve Economic stability.

D-I Aid Bridges the Saving-Investment gap
Case study: South-Korea 1950s-1970s

D-II Foreign Aid help sustaining foreign reserve maintain:

Case study: KSA-Pakistan

D-III Foreign assistance stabilize Economy in time of Economic debacle and Crisis.

case study : US marshall plan in 1948,

D-V External grants promotes human capital development

case study : Rwanda - health and Education aid after 1994 genocide helped rebuild institution.

E Pakistan and foreign aid

F Conclusion

Essay

Foreign aid is a double edge-sword. On one hand, it provides state much needed help to avert economic crisis. However, on the other hand, it begins a perpetuated cycle of dependency. This uncertain nature of its impacts sparks a debate on its role in host state economic stability. A closer look reveals that foreign aid in most cases plays the role of neo-imperialism. It is like a pain-killer pill, which give short term relief but disturb wound in long term. It increases debt burdens, compromises financial autonomy through conditions, destabilize domestic market and always serves donor interest more than recipient one. Furthermore, over long term it also breeds dependency instead of self-reliance, encourages political clientalism and promotes consumption over production. Given its visible negative impacts, some people argue that external assistance stabilise recipient states economies. For them, it bridges the saving investment gap, helps in keeping foreign reserves maintain and also helps in stabilizing economic

my in times of economic debacle and crisis. Nevertheless, these are exceptions, in most of the cases, it does not help at all, rendering economic sustainable growth.

Foreign aid refers to any kind of financial, logistical and technical help by a foreign state, organization, or a person for various purposes. Aids can be of various forms, it includes aid, loan and grants. Unlike, grants - which are mostly given for development projects without a need to repay - loans are always backed by both stringent conditions and interests. State becomes bound to repay loans without interest, compromising state's financial sovereignty. The history of foreign aid is long and complex. In modern time, it started with the U.S.A grant and loan for Europe after Second world war for post war reconstruction. In Pakistan's case, it formally sought loan for the first time in 1990s, even though foreign grants and assistance has remained a constant characteristic of its economy since its inception. It has received

loans from IMF more than twenty-five times so far and it is still continue with the same face. loans, whether in the form of grants or debt, does not stabilise economy. In spite of stabilizing economy, it impacts country's economy negatively.

foreign aid, when it comes to in the form of loans, it increases foreign debt-burden. It is always followed by high-interest rates and stringent conditions. High interest rate always increases the net amount of loan with the passage of time. While on the other hand, stringent conditions in most of the cases leads to compromised over financial autonomy. Donors in case of loans, starts dictating country's financial policies. These policies in most of the cases diverges with the country's domestic market, making it more vulnerable. Moreover, when the debt-increases, it leave no choice with the country to invest on development projects. Pakistan's example is case on the point, more than 48% of its budget goes in repaying foreign loans. Hence, foreign loans increases debt burden to state.

In addition to this, foreign assistance leads to compromising over financial autonomy. Both the loans and foreign grants are condition-bearing. These conditions do not always converge with the country's domestic market, but in most of the cases it diverges with the domestic market, making its natural flow destroyed. These conditions do not only remain to financial dictation but they also engulf other spheres of the states, acting like a political tool more than financial help. USA's Energy grants to Pakistan to counter IRAN is a case on the point, reflecting the fact that how foreign aids are used as a political tool rather than financial help. Therefore, condition-bearing loans and grant do not stabilise economy.

Apart from this, foreign assistance destabilises country's domestic market. Not all the loans and grants come in form of payment. Some come in form of military assistance, some in form of food and some in form of technical assistance. When grants or aid comes in the forms of such thing that is also

produce domestically, making domestic production of that commodity more unstable and less competitive. History is full of such examples. Tanzania 'Dutch disease' crisis best illustrating this fact. In 1990s when Tanzania was given a massive aid in the form of food by the U.S.A, it crippled the whole agriculture sector of it and that crisis later named 'Dutch disease'. Therefore, foreign aid despite making economy stabilise, it destabilise domestic market.

In addition, outside help does not serve host state interest, but lender interest. Most of the loans are given to achieve specific political goals. Their intents are not country's well-being or financial stability but political interest, using it as soft tool to exercise power. In recent times era, Every state has geo-political and geo-strategical interests to achieve. These interest includes countering enemy state, making alliances or tying defence relations. To achieve these, it becomes more possible option for state to use soft-power rather than hard power. Kingdom of Saudi-Arabia was helping Pakistan not because it was concern with Pakistanis well-being but rather

own defense. Thus, foreign help in most of the cases serves ^{not} countries' interest more than lenders' interest.

Besides, foreign aid promotes consumption over production. Most of the foreign aid, whether in form of loans or grants, bear stringent conditions. These conditions, along with other kinds of conditions also include the investment of that loan and grant. State always gives loans and grants with the condition that these loans must be invested in lenders' markets, meaning recipient states need to buy whatever they need from lenders' states, leaving no option with the state to invest that money in development of country's production sector. Furthermore, loans and grants are also provided in the form of transferring final products, increasing consumption rather than production. U.S.A's loans and grants to Egypt and Pakistan are cases on the point. Most of the loans and foreign aids come in the form of final product, which also increases dependency on lenders for maintenance of that product. Henceforth, external assistance despite making economy stabilise, it increases consumption rather than production.

Moreover, foreign assistance enhances

corruption and misgovernance in recipient state. In a very few cases, foreign aid and grants are strictly monitored. They often lack robust monitoring system, making it more easy for mismanagement. That mismanagement often result of corruption and misgovernance. In such cases, foreign aid becomes a sword of damocles. On one hand, it increases country's debt-burden, and on the other hand, it compromises the effective use of that aid, compelling country's to pay price on two fronts. According to a report published by World Bank that more than 40% of the foreign aid in sub-Saharan africa are misused, reflecting the severity of lacking monitoring system. Besides, it also sow seeds of misgovernance and corruption in host state. Consequently, leading not to stable economy.

Furthermore, economic stability comes from structural reforms not from foreign aid or loans. Structural reform includes good governance, effective use of foreign assistance and prioritizing country's production rather than consumption. Unless and until, state takes structural reforms, +

foreign aid, no matter of what quality and quantity, cannot stabilise economic stability. Nevertheless, reforms does not only ensures the effective uses of external aid but it also helps in getting rid of that menace. Consequently, aid without structural reform only deepens countries vulnerability to foreign forces.

The above all paragraphs elucidated the fact that foreign aid does not stabilise economies rather, it in most of the cases curb economic development in a systematic ways. However, some people argue that foreign aid can stabilise economies. The precedent paragraphs shed-light on their stance.

External assistance bridges the gap between saving and investment. Every states in some phases of its economic trajectory, faces budget-deficit. That deficit reduces capacity investment on development projects. In such circumstances, foreign Aid, whether in the form of loan and grants, fulfill that gap, allowing state to overcome saving and investment gaps. Once that gap fulfilled, country then with good governance

and structural reforms makes its economy more stabilize and develop. With that development it also easily get rid of future dependency on foreign loans. In such cases, foreign aid serves as a helping-hand, helping country to prosperous. The case study of four Asian-tigers truly support this argument. They all in 1960s went to for loans but the effective use of that loans earn them a label of "Asian-Tiger." Hence, without foreign aid, struggling economies cannot overcome economic instability.

Also, Foreign assistance helps in sustaining foreign reserve maintain. foreign reserve refers to saving of the country. It plays due role in attracting foreign direct investment and in keeping local currency values in positive terms. When state faces the issue of dwindling foreign reserve, it becomes entirely vulnerable to foreign influence. In such circumstance, foreign interest-free loan helps in keeping foreign reserve sustain. The case study of Pakistan and kingdom of Saudi-Arabia is serving best. Most of the time, when IMF conditions some specific amount

use of foreign direct investment as a condition for loans, ISH step ahead and lends assistance, helping palestine to fulfill that conditions. Henceforth, without foreign assistance countries cannot keep maintaining its foreign reserve account.

Furthermore, foreign assistance also helps in keeping economy normal at time of crisis. These crises come in various forms, including natural disasters, internal upheaval or from terrorist groups. In such circumstance country's economy always run ineffective to tackle these challenges and threats. foreign assistance appears as a blessing, helping country to fight with the disaster more effectively. Syria's case study is case on the point. It was foreign assistance that helps it preventing from total economic collapse when it hit by 7.9m-g earth-quake in 2024. Although, it was under U.S.A and UN sanctioned regimes, it overcome the crisis even with limited foreign aid. Hence, foreign aid helps in during crisis.

To conclude the debate, foreign aid is like poison if taken without cure. In recent time, in most of the

cases, it is serving "a new way of colonialism. That is known as new imperialism, in which powerful country, through foreign international organization, insert influence through loans and grants. Its history is centuries back, but modern history is being traced from U.S.A's Marshall-plan for Europe in 1950s. When it comes to the much debated question that does foreign aid help to achieve economic stability? Although some exception existing, most of the cases shows negative correlation between foreign aid and economic stability. It is because despite making economy stabilize, it increases foreign debt-burden, compromise country's financial autonomy, destabilise country's domestic market and in most of the cases it is used as political tools, serving donor interest rather than recipient state interest. However, there are cases that show strong positive correlation between foreign aid and economic stability. A close look reveals that those states that developed were not because of foreign loans but because of structural reforms. Thus, foreign aid that utilizes through structural reforms and good governance can yield positive result, but in long term, it is states that decide state's economic fate rather than foreign loans, aid, and grants.

"The End"