

Dynastic Politics is the worst mockery of a democracy

1. Introduction

Thesis Statement

2. Features of democracy and importance of political party in democracy.

3. Dynastic politics is the worst mockery of democracy.

3.1. Dynastic politics is against the basic essence of democracy.

(a) Leader does not appoint through election

(b) Reference: Leader of Congress party (India)
Bilawal Bhutto Zardari (PPP)

3.2. Dynastic politics discouraged equal opportunity to all members to excel.

(a) Dynastic politics does not provide level playing field to all party members.

(b) For instance: Maryam Nawaz (PMLN)

3.3. Dynastic politics does not appoint key members on merit.

(a) Appoints family loyal members on key positions.

(b) Discourages members who speak against them.

(c) Leads to demotivate meritocracy.

3.4: Dynastic politics is hindrances in legislators' free will.

(a) Legislators have to favour the leader.

(b) If he/she vote against the will of leader, he/she would be lost seat.

3.5: Incapable leader because of dynastic politics leads to lost the capital of the party.

Reference: PPP after Benazir death.

3.6: Dynastic politics culture in society does not allow to make structure of the party on democratic values.

Reference: Dynastic politics in PTI among second tier leadership creates hindrances even top leader did not come through family politics.

3.7: Dynastic politics led parties act as business platform for the particular family.

3.8: Dynastic politics weaken democracy favouring non-democratic party for their interest.

4. Ways to demotivate dynastic politics to strengthen true democracy.

4.1: Promotes democracy within the party.

4.2: Proper elections for all position of the party among party members.

4.3: Fixed the duration of party chairman.

post

4.4. Member should spent more than 10 years in the party before contest election for key position of the party.

5. Conclusion.

History tells us that all powerful empires of the world emerged because of capable and powerful leaders through very hard struggle and empires lost as the result of incapable leaders appoint just because he was son of the emperor. One of the biggest empire was the Mughal Empire of the sub-continent. Mughal Empire founded by Babar and flourished by descendent. However, during Aurangzeb's rule Mughal Empire began to decline and came to complete end, succeeded by East India Company in 1857. All historian claims that dynastic politics was the main reason of its declined. Therefore, if dynastic politics is the cause of fall of old empires which were based on family rulership then it is no doubt that it is

worst for democracy. As, democracy is the form of government formed on the will of people and for the people. While, dynastic politics is the worst mockery of democracy as it is against the basic essence of democracy, discourages equal opportunity among members of the party, discourages meritocracy, creates hindrances in legislator's free will during legislation and incapable leader devastates the party as well as harm the national politics. For true democracy there must be true democracy in political parties also, hence, to demotivate dynastic politics nation should promote democracy in the political parties through proper elections and fixing the duration of key position of the party. Moreover, members should have spent 5-10 years within the party before contest for key position of the party. Finally, true democratic political party ^{would} shape true democratic government.