

# Are we entering new era of deglobalization?

## Outline

25

### I Introduction

Thesis statement: Although some skeptics argue that globalization is an irreversible process, substantial evidence, such as rising protectionism, trade wars, resurgent nationalism, and the decline of multilateral institutions indicates that the world has indeed entered an era of deglobalization.

### II Conceptualizing the term deglobalization

- i) Definition
- ii) Historical waves of deglobalization

### III Factors indicating new era of deglobalization:

- A- Rise of Protectionist policies  
case in point: U.S. tariff war

**B-** Uncertain Global economic policies

case in point: Global FDI fell by 11% — UNCTAD

**C-** Surge in geopolitical tensions

Case in point: U.S. vs China trade war

**D-** Rise of populist and authoritarian regimes

**E-** Increasing emphasize on national security over economic cooperation

case in point: China restriction on export of rare earth minerals

**F-** Decline of multilateralism

case in point: Irrelevance of UN, WTO, WHO

**G-** Resurgence of nationalism

case in point: Trump's America First policy

**H-** Nationalization of Climate policy

case in point: U.S. and Germany

terming Climate Change: a national challenge

**IV**

Globalization is an irreversible process - a counter viewpoint:

A- Spread of wide range of new digital and technological innovations

B- Need of increased <sup>global</sup> cooperation in ~~climate~~ dealing with certain threats  
Case in point: Climate, cybersecurity

## V Implications of deglobalization on the world order:

A- Reduction in global economic growth

B- Increase in geopolitical tensions and conflicts

## VI Strategies to ensure inclusive globalization for collective benefit:

A- Reforming global institutions

B- Bridging the digital divide

C- Promoting people-centered globalization

## VII Conclusion

In 2009, The Economist noted that, contrary to the accepted dogmas that globalization was irreversible, "the forces of globalization are in retreat on almost every front." After sixteen years, all assumptions of The Economist are becoming true. After witnessing an unprecedented scale of globalization in the last four decades, the world is rapidly moving towards deglobalization. For example, increase in protectionist measures, uncertainties in global economic policies, and a surge in geopolitical tensions show the fall of a single globalized world. Moreover, other factors, such as decline of multilateralism, resurgence of nationalism, and lack of consensus on global challenges further prove the reality of deglobalization. However, people from another school of thought argue otherwise. They believe that globalization is an irreversible process, and modern technological innovations and certain global challenges will ~~internet~~ inter-connect the world more than

ever before. Anyhow, deglobalization is indeed a reality, and certain strategies like reforming global institutions and

bridging the digital divide ~~are~~ imperative to ensure inclusive globalization.

Although some skeptics argue that globalization is an irreversible process, substantial evidence, such as rising protectionism, trade wars, resurgent nationalism, and decline of ~~multination~~ multilateral institutions indicates that the world has indeed entered an era of deglobalization.

try to write short sentences please

Deglobalization is a movement towards a less connected world, characterized by powerful nation states, local solutions, and border controls rather than global institutions, treaties, and free movement. According to some economists, there has been previous period of deglobalization as well. They argue that a period of globalization followed by the end of Napoleonic wars in the 19th, lasting to the beginning of World War One was followed by a period of deglobalization which lasted until

the early 1950s. Moreover, the decade of 1980s marked the highly intensive period of globalization. However, this phase of globalization is also on verge of decline, being swiftly replaced by the forces of deglobalization.

Several factors portrays that the world has entered to a new era of deglobalization. Among the many indicators, rise in protectionist policies tops the list. After the establishment of World Trade Organization (WTO), states prioritized free trade and open market access to boost trade. This led to the establishment of a single globalized market where countries took mutual benefit from one another. However, the rules of trade have drastically changed over the last few years. For instance, countries like USA and China have imposed tariffs on each other. Similarly, countries in Europe have also tightened their borders and restricted free flow of goods. Hence, it is true

that protectionist policies are moving the world towards deglobalization.

In the same way, uncertain global economic policies indicate a shift towards deglobalization. Countries are implementing economic policies that are against internationally recognized economic policies. Moreover, such biased policies discourage business and foreign investment. For instance, in the year 2025, more than four multinational companies ceased their operations in Pakistan due to domestic economic challenges. According to United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), global Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), fell by 11% in 2024. Therefore, uncertain economic policies are a threat to globalization.

In addition, surge in geopolitical tensions is another indicator of deglobalization. Since the start of second decade of 21<sup>st</sup> century, there has been an unprecedented rise in geopolitical tensions. The values of globalization, such

as free trade, cooperation, collaboration, and diversity are in decline. For example, growing tensions between USA and China, and the war between Russia and Ukraine have divided the world into many blocs. Furthermore, the recent wars waged against Pakistan by its neighbours India and Pakistan also manifest the fact of increasing deglobalization. Hence, geopolitical tensions affect the smooth functioning of globalization.

Moreover, rise of populist and authoritarian regimes is a negative omen for globalization. Populist and authoritarian leaders prioritize vested interests and temporary gains over collective benefits. Since the start of 21, authoritarian and populist regimes are on the rise from North America to Europe, from South Asia to middle East. These regimes have been violating the fundamentals of globalization. For example, crackdown on immigrants, ~~reduced~~ <sup>reduction in</sup> global trade and investment, and increase in tariffs. Trade barriers show the negative impacts of populist regimes on globalization. Similarly, Brexit in Europe, the construction

of Mexico-American states border wall manifest the threats to globalization. Therefore, in the presence of ~~authoritarian~~ regimes, deglobalization imminent.

Moreover, increased emphasize on national security over economic cooperation is another blow to globalization.

Modern states prioritize national security over cooperation. Unlike the past technological revolutions that spread rapidly across the globe, modern technological inventions are kept hidden from rest of the world. To illustrate,

China recently banned the export of certain rare earth metals. Moreover,

USA also imposed embargo on aircrafts, such as F16 and F35. This

trend of prioritizing self-interests over collective benefits of all the states

leaves behind negative consequences

on world order and disrupts the balance of power. Hence, it can be safely

asserted that competition in bolstering

national security goes against the principles of globalization.

In the same vein, decline in

multilateralism also highlights the end of globalization. The multilateral organizations, such as UN, World Trade Organization (WTO), and World Health Organization (WHO), were created to ensure peace and collaboration, increase trade and investment, and to create a single globalized world.

However, today the world appears more fragmented than ever before.

Most of the multilateral organizations have failed to perform their role effectively. Furthermore, the recent trade wars and global conflicts brutally expose the failure of relevant multilateral organizations. For instance, the United Nation has failed to prevent Russian invasion of Ukraine and Israel's genocide on Palestinian. Similarly, World Trade Organization has also failed to reduce the intensity of trade wars and to promote free and fair trade. Hence, the downfall of multilateral organization is the downfall of globalization.

Furthermore, resurgence of nationalism is another threat to globalization. Over the last couple of years,

Nationalistic tendencies are on the rise.

Nationalism refers to a strong sense of pride and loyalty towards one's own

nation, often emphasizing its interests.

Moreover, nationalism affects the very

basic principles of globalization. To illustrate,

the rise of Hindu nationalism in India under

Modi threatens the peaceful coexistence and harmony in the region. India's

so called preemptive war against Pakistan

further verify the menace of nationalism.

Additionally, Trump's America First Policy

is another example of ultra-nationalism.

No doubt, trade wars, curb on immigrants,

and restrictive visa policies manifest

the end of principles that once

the US champion for. Thus, resurgence

of nationalism is ~~as~~ a bad omen

for globalization.

Among several other factors,

nationalization of climate policy

is another indicator of deglobalization.

Some of the powerful global leaders

have termed climate change a

fake story while some ~~have~~

consider climate change a domestic

threat. To illustrate, the German ruling party in its election manifesto called climate change a national challenge. Similarly, President Trump has long been vocal against climate change and withdrew from Paris Agreement. Hence, terming a global issue as a national challenge proves the beginning starting of an era of deglobalization.

While many analysts argue that the world is entering a new era of deglobalization, others maintain that globalization remains an irreversible process. They contend that technological advancement, digital integration, and cross-border data flows continue to knit the world together in unprecedented ways. Global supply chains, despite temporary disruptions, still dominate international trade. However, this continuity of economic interaction should not be mistaken for actual globalization. The core principles of globalization, such as openness, cooperation, and multilateralism has weakened, indicating an end to a globalized world order.

Moreover, another argument

Ghazi Paper

that skeptics give in favor of globalization is the rising need of increased global cooperation in dealing with certain global threats. They argue that certain challenges like climate change and cybersecurity cannot be resolved by individual state's efforts, but rather they need collective international efforts. However, evidence show that global threats in the past have failed to unite the world and they will continue to in the future as well. For instance, global pandemic Covid-19 could not succeed to unite the world; similarly, climate change and cybersecurity won't make a difference either.

After discussing the factors contributing to deglobalization, it is imperative to shed light on the implications of deglobalization on the world order. Among several of its impacts, reduction in global economic growth tops the list. The global economy is based on open market, free trade, and cooperation. No doubt, disruption of any single upper mentioned factors will severely affect the global economy.

growth rate. Since, the start of global trade wars and disruption of supply chains, the global economic rate is slowed by 11 percent. In addition, smaller countries that depended on larger countries are also experiencing slow growth due to the starting waves of deglobalization.

Hence, deglobalization leads to slow economic growth.

Similarly, increase in geopolitical tensions and conflicts are also a result of deglobalization. Deglobalization brings the countries at odd with one another.

This is evident amid the rising tensions between China and USA. Moreover,

the Russian-Ukrainian war is another

example of eroding globalization. Once

bilateral engagements, multilateral organizations and platforms engaged countries in

peaceful resolving of conflicts and tensions.

However, at present all the multilateral

platforms are in decline. Therefore,

deglobalization disturb the peace and harmony of the world.

While the implications of deglobalization are many and complex, they are not insurmountable. A range of pragmatic

and well structured reforms can revitalize globalization and align it with the needs of modern world. First of all, the multilateral international institutions must be entirely reformed. At the present, all the multilateral platform are either too weak to enforce their decisions or are dominated by a few powerful states. These institutions must give uniform representation to all the states irrespective of size and status. This will end the dominance of certain states on multilateral organizations. In addition, the decision taken by organisation like UN, WTO and ICIJ must be binding and be enforced in letter and spirit.

Secondly, states must work to bridge the digital divide. The actual fruits of globalization cannot be enjoyed in a digitally divided world. A significant portion of the world still lacks access to digital and technological innovations. Digital divide can be reduced by providing free digital tools to those who ~~last~~ don't have them. Moreover, the technologically advanced countries must help the less developed countries in developing

digital infrastructure. Additionally, the public must be trained and well-educated regarding the benefits and usage of digital tools. Therefore, in the technological era, equal access to digital platforms is imperative for globalization to succeed.

Thirdly, promoting people-centered globalization is important to ensure inclusive globalization. People-centered globalization refers to prioritization of human well-being, social justice, and equality. The earlier waves of globalization benefited a few selective elites as they <sup>merely</sup> prioritized profit and economic growth. However, in order to ensure people-centered globalization, prioritization should be given to human rights and dignity. Additionally, labor's rights and environmental standards must be protected. Moreover, social protections and welfare, and international accountability are important for ensuring people-centered globalization. Hence, for inclusive globalization to prevail, promoting people-centered globalization is essential.

To conclude, it ~~can be stated~~

*Ghazi Paper*

that the world is enters to a new era of deglobalization. The factors responsible for deglobalization are many and the its consequences are dire. Free trade, global cooperation and collaboration, and multilateralism are being replaced by tariffs, ultra-nationalism, and unilateral policies. The rise of deglobalization fuelo stagnant economic growth and geopolitical tensions. However, everything is not lost yet; with sincere will and determination, the indicators of deglobalization can be reversed. Reforming global institutions and promoting people-centered globalizations can restore globalization. But the question remains— those who hold power choose globalization for the collective benefit of all mankind, or deglobalization for self-benefit?

well done