The attention we give to terrorism often seems disproportionate to its real importance. Terrorism incidents make superb copy for journalists, but kill and main fewer people than road accidents. Nor is terrorism politically effective. Empires rise and fall according to the real determinants of politics — namely overwhelming force or strong popular support-not according to a bit of mayhem caused by isolated fanatics whom one would take seriously enough to vote for it. Indeed, the very variety of incidents that might be described as "terrorism" has been such as to lead critics to suggest that no single subject for investigation exists at all. Might we not regard terrorism as a kind of minor blotch on the skin of an industrial civilization whose very heart is filled with violent dreams and aspirations. Who would call in the dermatologist when the heart itself is sick? But popular opinion takes terrorism very serious indeed and popular opinion is probably right. For the significance of terrorism lies not only in the grotesque nastiness of terroristic outrages but also in the moral claims they imply. Terrorism is the most dramatic exemplification of the moral fault of blind wilfulness. Terrorism is a solipsistic denial of the obligation of self-control we all must recognise when we live in civilized communities. Certainly the sovereign high road to misunderstanding terrorism is the pseudo-scientific project of attempting to discover its causes. Terrorists themselves talk of the frustrations which have supposedly necessitated their actions but to transform these facile justifications into scientific hypotheses is to succumb to the terrorists own fantasies. To kill and main people is a choice people make, and glib invocations of necessity are baseless. Other people living in the same situation see no such necessity at all. Hence there are no "causes" of terrorism, only decision to terrorize. It is a moral phenomenon and only a moral discussion can be adequate to it.

PRECIS - 980

Date: 27/11/2025

Terrorism: A Curse to Morality
Moral Faultlines of Terrorism.

The author is of the view that terrorism is a hyped phenomena [phenomenon]. It has little impact on political dynamics because it does not effect [affect] majority. However, the real culprit is moral agenda that floats with terrorism. It represents a fictional following of a moral compromise. It foresees the value of self-control. The fault triggers from the discovery of causes of terrorism. It can be result of fantasy or frustration. There is no need to kill people, rather it is a choice. Hence, the agenda is solely to terrorize. The issue prevails at moral levels and ~~impacts~~ only moral discussions can help to resolve it.

Idea and grammar are generally ok.

Words in Text: 315

Words in Precis: 103