

Essay:-

Just because you have a choice, it does not mean that any of them **has to be right**

**Rewrite your thesis statement to emphasize the crux of the topic**

I. *Introduction*:

*Thesis statement: Freedom of option is bound with moral responsibility. There are major cases that prove the execution of certain options - despite their approval - as faulty. However, there are those who exercise these faulty options. Through certain suggested measures such malfunctions can be minimized.*

II. *Freedom of option and moral responsibility - an overview*

III. *Cases prove that despite freedom of option to exercise, they are not right*

a. Journalist having complete freedom of ~~incorrect~~ expression does character assassination of a public figure  
 ↳ Social Responsibility Theory

b. Law Enforcement officer having complete authority misuses his power

↳ General Dyer : Jhalawar

c. Fascist Leaders given full authority executes ethnic cleansing  
 Hitler against Jews

d. Supra-national bodies having the authority to adjust make adjustments in state systems, completely dictate its actions

↳ IMF SAPs ~~through loans~~

III People who argue in favor of duties ~~Deontological School~~  
 of thought. Relevance of this point here

IV Suggested measures to minimize the extent of ~~wrong~~ malpractices

a. Focusing on the consequences  
 ↳ Consequentialist

b. Maximizing the good  
 ↳ Utilitarianism

This is not a comprehensive outline

v. Conclusion.

Arguments are weak to prove the point

Properly comprehend the topic and then give relevant points

Recommendations are also not up to the mark

Grammatical errors