

It was not from want of perceiving the beauty of external nature but from the different way of perceiving it, that the early Greeks did not turn their genius to portray, either in colour or in poetry, the outlines, the hues, and contrasts of all fair valley, and bold cliffs, and golden moons, and rosy lawns which their beautiful country affords in lavish abundance.

Primitive people never so far as I know, enjoy when is called the picturesque in nature, wild forests, beetling cliffs, reaches of Alpine snow are with them great hindrances to human intercourse, and difficulties in the way of agriculture. They are furthermore the homes of the enemies of mankind, of the eagle, the wolf, or the tiger, and are most dangerous in times of earthquake or tempest. Hence the grand and striking features of nature are at first looked upon with fear and dislike.

I do not suppose that Greeks different in the respect from other people, except that the frequent occurrence of mountains and forests made agriculture peculiarly difficult and intercourse scanty, thus increasing their dislike for the apparently reckless waste in nature. We have even in Homer a similar feeling as regards the sea, --- the sea that proved the source of all their wealth and the condition of most of their greatness. Before they had learned all this, they called it "the unvintagable sea" and looked upon its shore as merely so much waste land. We can, therefore, easily understand, how in the first beginning of Greek art, the representation of wild landscape would find no place, whereas, fruitful fields did not suggest themselves as more than the ordinary background. Art in those days was struggling with material nature to which it felt a certain antagonism.

There was nothing in the social circumstances of the Greeks to produce any revolution in this attitude during their greatest days. The Greek republics were small towns where the pressure of the city life was not felt. But as soon as the days of the Greeks republics were over, the men began to congregate for imperial purposes into Antioch, or Alexandria, or lastly into Rome, than we seek the effect of noise and dust and smoke and turmoil breaking out into the natural longing for rural rest and retirement so that

Agha Zuhaib Khan

from Alexander's day We find all kinds of authors --- epic poets, lyricist, novelists and preachers --- agreeing in the precise of nature, its rich colours, and its varied sounds. Mohaffy: Rambles in Greece

Greeks Disliking For Nature

Greeks, according to their intellect, were not able to translate beauty of their country. Greeks thought that nature's diverse topographies and weathers were hindrance for human interaction and agriculture.

As these places were inhabited by wild creatures, ~~they were~~ were a threat for mankind, that's why disliked by Greeks. Greeks disliked mountains and forest, as they hinder agricultural practices. The ancient greek poet Homer disliked sea and consider it a waste land and undrinkable water source. Similarly, wild terrain and fruits were same to Greeks. Art was suffering from hostility in Greek. After the end of

Greek Republic people began to understand the importance of rular life and this craving assembled them against Alexandria and Rome. Therefore, all authors had accepted the importance of nature.

read the passage carefully

main idea is picked but you are unable to explain it in your words there is useless details of the same event

not satisfactory

need improvement

5/20

Total Words = 435

Printed Words = 125