

Noor Shah

Pak Studies.

Weak presentation
Switch references
and headings with
different pen

1. The recent conflict between India and Pakistan has not only given a diplomatic edge to Pakistan but also made US to rethink of their counter Chinese hegemony model in South Asia. Justify the statement

1. Introduction: Effect of recent Indo Pak conflict on Pakistani diplomacy and U.S counter Chinese design in South Asia:

The recent conflict between Pakistan and India had a significant effect on Pakistan's diplomatic perception as well as U.S strategy for China. India refused a probe by the international community into the Pulwama attack. It accused Pakistan of the incident without any proof and attack mosques and civilian areas on May 7 2025 without

any justification in front of the world. Pakistan showed tact and after continuous unprovoked attacks, gave a deterrence strike on 10 May 2025. Leaders of Turkey, Azerbaijan, Bangladesh publicly supported Pakistan's stance diplomatically and on social media. The U.S and E.U remained neutral while calling for de-escalation. Whereas for the first time these two South Asia employed armed drones, hyper sonic missiles and missile intercepting systems in a war. The U.S played a role of peacemaking negotiator but its announcement of building the "Golden dome" right after the war shows the influence the war had on U.S. While China has called this project "against international consensus".

1A. How Pakistan India conflict

gave Pakistan a diplomatic edge
on world stage?

1A i) India's attack without
justification or global consensus:

After India accused Pakistan
of the Pulwama attack, the
world community offered an impartial
investigation, which India refused
without justification. Its attack
on Pakistan on 7 May 2023
was met with international
criticism and condemnation.

"Azerbaijan will always
stand with what is
Leave a space here"

eight" Azerbaijan president

1A ii) Support by the Muslim

World publically for Pakistan:

A Turkish navy ship on
Pakistani waters during the conflict
made India place a ban on
trade with Turkey. It also
placed trade ban with Azerbaijan.

The citizens of India vowed
to not contribute to the tasm

of these countries.

1A(ii) India's Unilateral Actions are Against International Rules and Resolutions.

The Pahalgam attack took place in an area with 7500 deployed Indian troops. India's refusal to involve the international community in an impartial probe that attacks on mosques and civilian areas of Pakistan exposed its jingoistic religious ideology intent of the world.

1A(iv) Lack of Active Diplomacy During the Conflict undermined India's diplomatic stance

India's Foreign minister, Subrahmanyam Jaishankar did not have any constructive or reasonable statements during the conflict. His silence portrayed the image of a disorganized, erratic state of affairs in India with no clear stance.

1A(i) The U.S., EU and United Nations had a neutral stance

Due to the India-U.S. nexus to counter China, it appeared the U.S. might support India at least diplomatically. On the contrary, the U.S. President not only called Pakistan's response "unswerving strength" but also offered to mediate on the Kashmir issue. The rest of the first world stayed neutral.

1B (i) How the 2025 Pakistan-India Conflict made U.S. rethink its strategy to counter Chinese influence in South Asia?

1B(ii) Deployment of latest technology by the developing countries of South Asia in the conflict.

This was the sixth conflict in the past twenty-five years of the countries Pakistan and India. However, it was the first time hypersonic missiles,

armed drones and the use of missile interception technology was employed. As the U.S negotiated a ceasefire and promoted dialogue while keeping a neutral stance. It showed the U.S might want to counter Chinese hegemony in South Asia but by peaceful means as China and Pakistan are strong allies.

"Pakistan has shown

unshaking strength

and has great ~~power~~ ^{strength}

President Trump

1(B)(ii) Announcement of the U.S for the billion dollar "Golden Dome Project" amongst China's ~~disapproval~~ ^{Rephrase}.

Right after the ceasefire

on May 10 2025. U.S President Trump announced billion of dollars for building a "Golden Dome". The Dome is said to be a "system of satellites throughout the world for identifying and taking out missiles".

China has denounced this project

Moazzam Notes

Date: _____

Day: _____

calling it "a project against international consensus" for a safe airspace.

IC Critical Analysis

India's unilateral and unjustified actions on May 7th 2025 has damaged its reputation on a global scale. No South Asia country has supported India in its attack on Pakistan. The unprovoked attack has resulted in a diplomatic failure for India and the U.S has decided to build missile interception technology after it. *Note: Sir, I don't know how to write critical analysis please help*

ID Conclusion

In a nutshell, India did not take into confidence any global state before its attack. It lacked diplomacy and defence forces efficiency during the conflict. Its trade and tourism embargo on the countries supporting Pakistan

in the aftermath of the war has made its diplomacy seem inefficient and petty. Whereas, the neutral stand of the U.S despite being an ally of India and announced at the missile interception done shows its pragmatic diplomatic and proactive military strategy towards China to counter its influence in South Asia.

Add flow charts

Include diversified references

You need to build proper academic headings