

Noor Shah  
Pak Studies.

Weak presentation  
Switch references  
and headings with  
different pen

1. The recent conflict between India and Pakistan has not only given a diplomatic edge to Pakistan but also made US to rethink of their counter Chinese hegemony model in South Asia. Justify the statement

1. Introduction: Effect of recent Indo Pak conflict on Pakistani diplomacy and U.S. counter Chinese design in South Asia:

No need to repeat

The recent conflict between Pakistan and India had a significant effect on Pakistan's diplomatic perception as well as U.S. strategy for China. India refused a probe by the international community into the Pahalgam attack. It accused Pakistan of the incident without any proof and attack mosques and civilian areas on May 7 2025 without

any justification in front of the world. Pakistan showed tact and after continuous unprovoked attacks, gave a retaliating strike on 10 May 2025. Leaders of Turkey, Azerbaijan, Bangladesh publicly supported Pakistan's stance diplomatically and on social media. The U.S and E.U remained neutral while calling for deescalation. Whereas, for the first time these two South Asia employed armed drones, hyper sonic missiles and missile intercepting systems in a war. The U.S played a role of peacemaking negotiator but its announcement of building the "Golden Dome" right after the war shows the influence the war had on U.S. While China has called this project "against international consensus".

1A. How Pakistan India conflict

gave Pakistan a diplomatic edge on world stage?

1A i) India's attack without justification or global consensus:

Rephrase

After India accused Pakistan of the Pahalgam attack, the world community offered an impartial investigation, which India refused without justification. Its attack on Pakistan on 7 May 2025 was met with international criticism and condemnation.

"Azerbaijan will always stand with what is

Leave a space here

right" Azerbaijan president

1A ii) Support by the Muslim World publically for Pakistan:

A Turkish navy ship on Pakistani waters during the conflict made India place a ban on trade with Turkey. It also placed trade ban with Azerbaijan. The citizens of India vowed to not contribute to the terrorism.

of these countries.

1A(iii) India's Unilateral Actions are Against International Rules and Resolutions.

The Pabalgam attack took place in an area with 75000 deployed Indian troops. India's refusal to involve the international community in an impartial probe and attacks on mosques and civilian areas of Pakistan exposed its jingoistic religious ideology intent of the world.

1A(iv) Lack of Active Diplomacy During the Conflict undermined India's diplomatic stance.

India's foreign minister, Subrahmanyam Jaishankar did not have any constructive or reasonable statements during the conflict. His silence portrayed the image of a disorganised erratic state of affairs in India with no clear stance.

1A(ii) The U.S, EU and United Nations had a neutral stance

Due to the Indo-US nexus to counter China,

[Link to the asked part](#)

it appeared the U.S might support India atleast diplomatically. On the contrary, the U.S President not only called Pakistan's response "unswerving strength" but also offered to mediate on the Kashmir issue. The rest of the first world stayed neutral.

1B ● How the 2025 Pakistan India Conflict made U.S rethink its strategy to counter Chinese influence in South Asia?

1B(i) Deployment of latest technology by the developing countries of South Asia in the conflict.

This was the sixth conflict in the past twentyfive years of the countries Pakistan and India. However, it was the first time hypersonic missiles,

armed forces and the use of missile interception technology was employed. As the U.S. negotiated a ceasefire and promoted dialogue while keeping a neutral stance. It showed the U.S. might want to counter Chinese hegemony in South Asia but by peaceful means as China and Pakistan are strong allies.

"Pakistan has shown unwavering strength and has great ~~profits~~."

President Trump

1 B(ii) Announcement of the U.S. for the billion dollar "Golden Dome Project" ~~amongst~~ **Rephrase** China's disapproval.

~~Right after the ceasefire~~  
on May 10 2025. U.S. President Trump announced billion of dollars for building a "Golden Dome". The Dome is said to be a "system of satellites throughout the world for identifying and taking out missiles". China has denounced this project.

calling it "a project against international consensus" for a safe airspace.

### 1C Critical Analysis

India's unilateral and unjustified actions on May 7<sup>th</sup> 2025 has damaged its reputation on a global scale. No South Asia country has supported India in its attack on Pakistan. The unprovoked attack has resulted in a diplomatic failure for India and the U.S has decided to build missile interception technology after it {~~Note~~ Sir, I don't know how to write critical analysis please help}

### 1D Conclusion

In a nutshell, India did not take into confidence any global state before its attack. It lacked diplomacy and defence forces efficiency during the conflict. Its trade and tourism embargo on the countries supporting Pakistan

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Day: \_\_\_\_\_

in the aftermath of the war has made its diplomacy seem inefficient and petty. Whereas, the neutral stance of the U.S despite being an ally of India and announcement of the missile interception dome shows its pragmatic diplomatic and proactive military strategy towards China to counter its influence in South Asia.

Add flow charts

Include diversified references

You need to build proper academic headings