

Dynastic politics is the worst mockery of democracy.

I. Introduction:

Dynastic politics ~~has~~ is indeed the worst mockery of democracy. It potentially weakens meritocracy in politics followed leadership crisis. Moreover, this curse has resulted in the radical revolution and separatist movements. Therefore, targeted measures are required to get rid of dynastic politics particularly in the under developing countries.

II. Significance of an inclusive democracy-an overview:

III. Dynastic politics is indeed the worst mockery of democracy:

A. ^{Ignores} ~~Creates~~ the meritocracy within Party Politics;

Case in Point: Awami League Party in Bangladesh

B. Creates Leadership Crisis;

Case in Point:- Pakistan's long history in search of leader having countrywide recognition

C. Shows Carelessness for fundamental rights;

Case in Point:- Syria's Assad rule's persistent assaults on fundamental rights

D. Aspires to eliminate any opposition party;

Case in Point:- Sheikh Hasina's move to imprison whole opposition before elections

E. Compromises the effectiveness of governance;

Case in Point:- a. Governance failure in Pakistan

b. Levitsky's views

F. Ignores the formation of inclusive institutions;

Case in Point:- Sri Lanka's default owing to unchecked inclusive ~~governance~~ institutions

G. Back of institutions conduct elections in the favor of dynastic leaders;

Case in Point:- Phillipines - Ferdinand Marcos and Aquino's elections.

IV

Looming consequences of ignoring inclusive democracy by dynasties;

A. Leads to radical revolutions;

Case in Point:- Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and Syria's recent transformations

B. Results in the eruption of separatist movements;

Case in Point: Pakistan's Balochistan in current scenario

C. People's alienation from politics

V Some suggested measures to ensure democracy free dynasties:

A. Empower local governments and necessitate a fixed experience to take part mainstream politics;

The united kingdom's local government model.

B. Ensure free and fair elections and level playing field for candidates;

C. Establish an independent institute to which all parties must be accountable;

VI Conclusion.