

Pragmatism vs Passion in Politics.

Outline

A) Introduction:

Thesis Statement: Pragmatism focuses on achievable policies, while passion revolves around empty slogans. Ensures stable relations with neighbouring countries; leads to emotional decisions based on ideologies.

Provides opportunities to youth to prevent brain drain; may exploit youth for partisan agendas along with other factors proves that pragmatism is better than passion in politics.

B) How pragmatism is better than passion in politics?

i) Focus on achievable policies, while passion risks empty slogans;

Evidence: Singapore's housing policy, where 90% of citizens own homes due to long-term planning.

ii) Ensures stable relations with neighboring countries; while passion may lead to emotional decision-making;

Evidence: India's trade relations with China continue despite border disputes.

iii) Provides opportunities to youth to prevent brain drain; may exploit youth for partisan agendas;

Evidence: Pakistan's political (parties) rallies often mobilize students for protests rather than skill development.

iv) Addresses grievances to prevent extremism; relies on powerful speeches without solutions;

Evidence: Northern Ireland's Good Friday Agreement (1998) ended ^{resolved} decade of conflict through compromise.

v) Adopts pragmatic environmental policies; may mix religion/ideology with ecology;

Evidence: Brazil deforestation under Bolsonaro increased due to ideological

opposition to environmental regulations.

vi) Focuses on socio-economic development;
; Passion favors regime-friendly policies;

Evidence: Zimbabwe's land reforms under Mugabe led to economic collapse due to politically motivated seizure.

vii) Prioritize peaceful conflict resolution;
; may favor war-like solutions;

Evidence: Russia's invasion of Ukraine ^{was due to} was driven by nationalist passion rather than diplomatic solutions.

viii) Focuses on long-term policies for sustainable growth; passion prioritize good comparison
Short-term gains;

Evidence: China's five year plan ~~have~~ ^{has} systematically reduced poverty since the 1980s.

ix) Ensures national integrity and unity through equitable distribution of resources; prioritize rivalry over public welfare;

Evidence: Switzerland's federal system

federal system balances power among linguistic/religious groups, preventing conflicts.

x) Encourages negotiations for functional governance; rejects compromise, leading to extremism;

this technique of comparison and contrast is fine good

Evidence: Brexit's hardline negotiators rejected EU compromises, harming UK economy.

x) Prevents economic crisis through fiscal prudence; promotes populist spending without funding plans;

Evidence: Greece's pre-2008 populist spending led to a sovereign debt crisis.

xii) Builds credibility by keeping realistic promises; breeds cynicism via overpromising;

Evidence: Mexico's Lopez Obrador promised to end corruption, but failed, increasing public distrust.

c) Conclusion.