

Subject _____ Paper _____

Date _____ Signature of Examiner _____

Space for affixing Centre Seal

(To be done within the Circle)

Only after submission of the

Script by the Candidate)



START FORM HERE

Escalating Middle east.

Israel's genocide in 1939 along with its unrepentent aggression across the region again have led to many to maximize their defence content from Zionist regime. Iran who is considered as leader of proxies in the region against Israel also exchanged airstrikes with Israel recently. The situation was highly volatile when now intelligence reports suggested that Iran's resurgence of nuclear ambitions in wake of threats to further aggression from Israel. Change in US administration, return of Trump, statements by Trump, US policy regarding middle east. That however, partly realized during recent visit to the region by Trump; defining Israel's ambitions to subdue the Arab world under US's support. Moreover, for Israel, given its geography, even a single nuclear bomb procured by Iran is a threat to its survival. Asserting the intentions from Iranian side, Israel can't let Iran to produce

that

START FORM HERE



Nukes, as would be considered strategically a doomsday situation for Israel. Circumstances that Iran is now to create dozen of arsenals in days, Israel was prone to take pre-emptive kinetic action on nuclear facilities in Iran; however, fearing unilateral escalation that could cause unintended consequences far beyond the region, US pressured Israel to forgo such intentions and strived after a nuclear deal. Such negotiations were ongoing, when reports of a stalemate between US and Iran surfaced, suggesting that neither side was willing to concede much complexity of the deal. Israel on early Friday struck Iranian facilities believing that the negotiations are deemed to fail or to coerce a deal onto the Iranian state. Both the states have initiated a new, possibly prolonged conflict in the region. The statements suggest that options of restraint & de-escalation are slim. While does this conflict pave its way to what can be seen before in the regional future. Furthermore, US has 'sidelined' itself from these attacks but warn Iran of any attack on US assets or personnel will US jump in the conflict or let the conflict configuration remain confined in the region. The chances are high that this conflict will not remain within the region and superpowers may choose sides to fight a great war in this region.

DeRadicalization of Society.

START FORM HERE



DeRadicalization of society requires policy maintaining balance between security, civil liberties, and rehabilitation. Pakistan's society is witnessing increasing radicalization as reporting, extreme ideology has become the norm for anyone feeling disaffection and alienation from the political system. There are several external and internal factors for increasing extremism on religious, sectarian and ethnic basis in Pakistan. Many embedded in history of state policies like Al Quds jihad, sectarianism and Islamization of 1980s and post 9/11 infiltration of terrorists across border. Beside the external and regional intrusiveness, shifting from macro to micro perspectives, poverty, discrimination, alienation, unemployment, and illiteracy are major reasons for someone taking up arms and resorting to violence. Moreover, in response to this increasing societal rupture state has narrowly focused on punitive measures only and ignoring a more effective method of rehabilitation, rather than executive retribution. Criminal justice system of Pakistan is already beyond the 'satisfactory' remarks, community-led policy and reinterpretation are still unexplored or not fully utilized measures that can help to meet desired results more efficiently and without burdening scarce state resources. DeRadicalization is necessary for a healthier democratic system as it would let in human values.

Iran-Israel conflict.

START FORM HERE

Post: IAEA's report on Iran not complying with the board, implying suspicions over its enriching uranium, proved as a spark for Israel to go for a war against Iran. Israel used the umbrella term of 'preemptive attack' against Iran's nuclear ambitions. As far as Israel is concerned, it sees a nuclear Iran as a threat to its survival; believing that once Iran procure a nuclear bomb, it will not deter from using one against the Zionist state. Although, the supreme leader has already sanctioned the use of atomic bomb as unislamic, Iran's quest for a nuclear capability, as alleged by west, may likely be either a bargaining chip or to buy a nuclear deterrence for itself to dissuade Israel or west from using any unprovoked aggression against the ~~the~~ revolutionary regime. In either case, there is no imminent, credible threat of Iran using nukes against Israel suddenly. Therefore calling it a preemptive attack, which requires not suspicion but ~~and~~ **strong ideas work on language** plz set the future course of action would definitely be against the former, is wrong as far as international norms and definitions are concerned. An other insight from Academics is a strategy of a good cop. Bad cop being played out here. America being a good cop is trying to persuade Iran for complete denuclearization that seems a better deal than Israel, playing bad cop, seeking regime change following a surrender of Iran in a long fought battle.