

(1)

Men makes war because war make them men. This statement by a populist feminist activist and writer depicts a mindset that main cause of unpeaceful world lies in male dominance on power slots across the globe. This opens a debate that whether or not the world can become more peaceful place to live - if we change the genders occupying the power slots and women are given the ruling positions. Women being blessed with extraordinary power of dealing the matters with love and affection have the ability to transform this world to a peaceful and heaven like place. The genetics, roles and responsibilities performed by women at home and workplace and soft heartness associated with women

② shows that women have the super power to transform a place to a heaven whether it is a home, office or ~~the~~ ^{an} entire planet. The genes provided by nature to women carrying love and care are the reasons of their kind behaviour in their dealings. This kindness is depicted in their household responsibilities as well as in their professional life. Contrary to this, men are aggressive by nature and this aggressiveness is witnessed in their daily personal as well as professional affairs. As the men occupy the major power slots in this world and aggressiveness is present in this gender by nature which makes the world unpeaceful. Due to decisions made in aggression.

③

One argument in this regard comes from the fact that women can hold the household responsibilities

~~with~~ in a great and decent manner. Being a mother, the

role of women in making the house home is not a secret. This is not limited

to the role women play as mothers but this decency and

is also observed in their roles as a wife

or companion. This shows that if a woman can handle the

affairs of a house in a

beautiful manner she can also

farkle the state affairs in

a decent way and as she

is blessed with a kind nature

she can deal this in a kind

manner making the world ~~more~~ ~~at~~ ~~present~~ better place than it is ~~now~~ present

(4)

~~Though~~ Though the women can handle the household responsibilities, it does not necessarily imply to state affairs and power slots. Running a small house or office and running a state are two entirely different things. Dealing with state affairs requires the ability to tackle the issues in a different way and it requires the ability to make difficult decisions, which women do not encounter while dealing the household or professional affairs.

The ability to tackle the responsibility is not limited to a specific place. The affairs of a house can be considered as a mini government. If a woman can handle the household affairs she can surely deal with state affairs as

⑤ the house as well as state
are made of humans. If ~~they~~
she can deal humans at home
in peaceful manner, she can
also deals human in state affairs.
The contrast with women rulers
are the evidence of this
fact: Finland being the most peaceful
country with such crimes incidents
is ruled by women. Similarly
New Zealand being a peaceful as
well as prosper country is
ruled by a women. This
shows that the capability of a
woman to handle the affairs
in a decent way is not
limited to house hold ~~only~~

Another evidence in favour of ~~these~~
~~comes from~~ view comes from the genetic
studies. According to genetics the women are
blessed with some specific genes. These genes
are responsible for care and love. Parental

(6) Case for children in mothers' ~~as~~ is a consequence of these genetic variations specific to women. These genetic variations make women kind hearted and full of positivity. Thus most of the cheer and even wars can be avoided due to by nature kindness and love present in women. Genetics thus making the world better place to live.

The other school of thought counters this argument by stating that genetic differences in both the genders are not significant. Only one chromosome (X) is different and X chromosome of males is replaced by Y chromosome in female. The difference of only one chromosome cannot be considered significant if all the other 44 chromosomes are similar. Furthermore the parental case is also present in males and they also show the same case for offsprings. Thus the genetics cannot be considered as a

(2) deciding factor ~~that whether~~ in concluding that females can lead the world in a better way.

For ~~counters~~ ^{genes of} this argument
Though the differences in ^{genes of} both genders are less yet these differences are significant. This is evident from the fact that due to ~~the~~ only one chromosome difference, males and females look different. This is the consequence of the difference in only one chromosome that physical appearance of both the genders is different. These voices are different. Beards and moustaches in men and their absence in women is also a consequence of the difference in one chromosome. Similarly women can carry the offspring in their womb but men cannot. The differences in reproductive roles are also a result of differences in only 1 ~~gene~~ chromosome. This makes the ~~entire~~ argument that genetic differences do not count null and void. And as the women carry

⑧ The genes of kindness and affection necessary for potential coaxes, they can lead the world in more kind way than the men does. This will make the world for better than it is today.

Another convincing argument in favour of women rule ^{as better one} comes from the facts that men are more aggressive than women. This aggressiveness leads to wars, chaos and unrest in this world. All the wars till date are result of men's aggression. History provides a number of evidence in this connection. Both the world wars were started by men which resulted in loss of millions of precious human lives. Similarly the colonial mindset is also a result of men's aggression. Colonization in Asia and Africa is a manifestation of aggressive nature of men. The colonies were kept behind and world was divided in first, second and third world countries. Thus men aggression and egoism is the leading

① Leading cause of most in this
can world.

The School of thought against women
rule as better one refutes the
argument that aggression is not
limited to men rather it is also
present in women. Women aggression is
seen in their dealings and
professional affairs. The data shows
that drawn show that women were
involved in 3 out of 10 terrorist
attacks in 2023. Similarly the involvement
of women in various terrorist activities
shows that aggressiveness is not just
limited to men rather it is
present in women as well.

The presence of women in
terrorist activities is not a strong
argument for their aggressive nature
as the ratio is so small that
it cannot be considered enough for
the entire gender.

is the entire gender. ~~the~~ ~~argument~~ ~~still~~ ~~Men's~~ ~~ratio~~
this is considered
argument still Men's ratio

(13) is greater than women. The given context assumed ~~is~~ actually proves that 70% men are aggressive compared to women. The ratio is depicted in world politics. as ~~most~~ all of the dictators were men. Hitler and Mussolini were aggressive men. This was the result of their aggression that millions of people were killed in Germany and Italy, and world war started. This shows that men's aggression had made the world unpeaceful till date.

The final conclusive arguments comes from the fact that women by nature are empathetic and cooperative. ~~if~~ ~~women~~ ~~are~~ ~~the~~ ~~power~~ ~~that~~ This empathy comes from the higher oxytocin levels in women. Women have higher level of this love hormone responsible for cooperative behaviour as compared to men. This makes them more appropriate for decision making. It's responsible for taking the decisions affecting millions. This empathetic and cooperative behaviour is

(iv) the key to a happy and prosperous world as empathy and cooperation can lead to a beautiful and a peaceful world.

The argument is countered by stating that empathy leads to emotional decisions. Empathy and love are **barriers to emotional intelligence.**

~~The~~ Taking in consideration the empathy while making decisions can lead to favouritism. There is no place for empathy in state affairs. Therefore being empathic is not a valid argument to be fit for a power position.

~~The~~ Being empathetic and being emotionally weak are two different things. Empathy is a product of beautiful heart while ~~being~~ emotionally

strong is a matter of nerves or brain. History have witnessed the empathetic yet emotionally strong women and their numbers are not limited rather we

⑫ can witness both the characters - Being
emphatic and ^{being} strong emotionally in women
in our homes and society. Women
being emphatic with their children
and partners yet strong enough to
take matters related to emotional
intelligence can be witnessed in
almost every home. Working women
doing justice to their posts ~~yet~~
with showing higher level of
cooperation and empathy yet
being emotionally strong are present
in every office. ~~Therefore~~ It shows
that both the qualities of
emphatic and strong emotional
intelligence can be present in
women making them more suitable
candidates for power slot.

In a nutshell, the presented
arguments proves that women can rule
the world in a more better way. The
responsibilities and duties performed by women

(12) while working in house and home shows they have the capability to deal the matter more appropriately. The genetic factors carrying the genes responsible for love and affection are other factors make them appealing candidate for a ruling the world. Similarly the less aggression in women can avoid the wars, chaos and unnecessary disputes which occurs as a aggressive behaviour of men. Similarly, the blessings of empathy associated with women is a another reasons why women should rule this world. All these arguments conclude that world can become a more better.