

Q: Critically analyze the challenges of Ayub Khan's "Decade of Development" focusing on how its centralized, elitist approach undermined social equity, political inclusivity and national unity, leading to instability and fragmentation.

Agub Khan's Era of Economic Development

Introduction:

Field Marshal Ayub Khan's regime from 1958 to 1969, branded as the "Decade of Development", represents a transformative yet contentious chapter in Pakistan's history, marked by ambitious economic reforms and modernization efforts to overshadow by deepening social inequalities and political instability. He assumed power through a military coup on October 7, 1958, amid post-independence political chaos and economic stagnation, and introduced land reforms, the Green Revolution, industrial policies and major infrastructure projects, heavily reliant on Western aid, achieving an impressive 6.25% annual GDP growth rate as stated in Pakistan: The Economy of an Elitist State by Khurat Hussain. These policies earned Pakistan international acclaim as a model for developing nations on one hand, while on the other hand these policies exacerbated regional disparities, particularly between East and West Pakistan, and an authoritarian political framework fueled widespread unrest, culminating in Ayub's resignation on March 25, 1969.

Economic Policies and Reforms:

Ayub Khan's economic agenda, orchestrated by the Planning Commission (established in 1958), aimed to transform Pakistan into a modern, industrialized state through agriculture, industry, and infrastructure. Achieving a 6.25% annual GDP growth rate, Pakistan's policies were globally recognized, though they entrenched inequalities and regional imbalances, limiting their sustainability.

1. Land Reforms:

Enacted under the Land Reforms Commission, the 1959 reforms capped landholdings at 500 acres (irrigated) and 1000 acres (non-irrigated) to dismantle feudal structures and redistribute land to landless tenants. They also encouraged modern farming techniques. As a result of these reforms, approximately 2-3 million acres were resumed, benefitting 180,000 tenants, with agricultural output rising by 15% in Punjab and Sindh. The reforms laid the foundation for agricultural modernization, contributing to economic growth and setting a precedent for state-led agrarian reforms. (Pakistan: The Formative Phase by KB Sayeed)

2. Green Revolution:

The Green Revolution introduced High-Yielding Variety (HYV) seeds, chemical fertilizers, and mechanization, supported by US technical aid and irrigation projects like the Mangla Dam. The Planning Commission according to the book "Economic Development in Pakistan", by Saeed Hafeez, prioritized food security and agricultural exports. Wheat production doubled from 4 million tons in 1960 to 8 million tons by 1968, and rice yields rose by 50%, reducing food imports and boosting exports earnings as highlighted in Pakistan: The Economy of an Elitist state. These advancements strengthened Pakistan's agricultural sector and supported GDP growth. The revolution marked a significant leap in agricultural productivity, establishing Pakistan as a model for food security in developing nations, as recognized by the World Bank.

3. Industrial Policies:

The Pakistan Industrial Development Corporation (PIDC) established over 100 industrial units, focusing on textiles, cement, and sugar. The Export Bonus Scheme (1959) subsidized exports to

enhance foreign exchange earnings. (Pakistan's Economy, Ishrat Husain). Pakistan's export-led growth model gained international attention ^{which} ~~later~~ ^{with} South Korea adopted Pakistan's economic model under Park Chung-hee and later became known as the Asian Tiger. Industrial reforms led to a 8% increase in industrial output annually, with textiles contributing 60% of export earnings by 1969, positioning Pakistan as a key player in global markets. The industrial policies drove rapid economic expansion, showcasing the success of state-led industrialization and influencing emerging economies like South Korea.

4. Infrastructure Development:

Major infrastructure development projects under the presidency of Ayub Khan include Mangla Dam (completed 1967), Tarbela Dam (initiated), and the construction of Islamabad as the new capital, funded by US and World Bank loans to symbolize modernization. Mangla Dam added 1000 MW of electricity and irrigated 3 million acres, enhancing agricultural and industrial capacity. Islamabad's development projected Pakistan's progressive image as noted by Ian Talbot in *Pakistan: A Modern History*. These infrastructure projects provided long-term economic benefits, strengthening energy and irrigation systems and reinforcing Pakistan's modernization efforts.

Role of Foreign Aid:

Foreign aid was a pivotal element of Ayub Khan's economic strategy during the "Decade of Development" (1958-1969), providing critical financial and technical support that fueled Pakistan's rapid economic growth and modernization efforts. The strategic use of international partnerships and aid inflows significantly bolstered

the nation's development agenda.

Features: Ayub's regime secured substantial aid from Western countries primarily the United States, the World Bank, and USAID, through Pakistan's alignment with the West via memberships in Southeast Asia Treaty Organization (SEATO, 1954) and the CENTO (Central Treaty Organisation) (1955) as highlighted by S. M. Burke in Pakistan's Foreign Policy. The Planning Commission, established in 1958, played a central role in channeling aid into agriculture, industry and infrastructure projects with a focus on long term development through Five-Year Plans. The Regional Cooperation for Development (RCD) initiated in 1964 with Iran and Turkey, aimed to foster regional trade and economic collaboration, strengthening Pakistan's diplomatic and economic ties. Additionally, technical assistance programs provided expertise for agricultural modernization and industrial training.

Outcomes: Foreign aid financed approximately 40% of Pakistan's development expenditure, enabling transformative projects such as the Mangla Dam and Tarbela Dam and the Green Revolution, which significantly enhanced agricultural productivity. According to the book "The Economy of an Elitist State" (written by Ishaat Husain), the US provided \$1.5 billion in aid between 1958 and 1965, supporting infrastructure and industrial growth, contributing to a 6-25% annual GDP growth rate. The RCD facilitated trade agreements and joint ventures, such as the establishment of regional industrial projects, boosting Pakistan's economic presence in the region (The Development Review, 1966). Technical assistance from the US and the Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) introduced advanced farming techniques, increasing crop yields and supporting food security (IPRI, 2015). Aid also enabled the construction of training institutes, enhancing industrial and administrative capacity.

Foreign aid was instrumental in driving Pakistan's economic modernization, providing the financial backbone for key projects and positioning the country as a model for developing nations, as recognized by the World Bank. The strategic use of aid, as Talbot notes, strengthened Pakistan's global standing and facilitated rapid development.

Social Impacts:

Ayub Khan's policies during the "Decade of Development" yielded significant social advancements, promoting modernization, gender equity, and educational progress through targeted reforms that aimed to improve quality of life and foster a progressive national identity. The Family Law Ordinance (1961) introduced groundbreaking measures to enhance women's rights, including mandatory marriage registration, restrictions on polygamy (requiring spousal consent), and regulations on divorce and inheritance to protect women's legal status (Pakistan: A New History, Ian Talbot). Educational reforms focused on expanding technical and vocational training, with the establishment of polytechnic institutes and universities to develop a skilled work force. (The Making of Pakistan, K.R. Aziz). Cultural initiatives, including state-controlled media and the promotion of a centralized national identity, aimed to unify Pakistan's diverse population through modernization. Urban development projects, such as the construction and development of Pakistan, were designed to project a modern societal image.

Outcomes:

The 1962 Constitution provided political stability during the early years, enabling Ayub to implement economic reforms effectively, with the Basic Democrats serving as an electoral college for his 1965 re-election (The Pakistan Development Review, 1962).

~~The~~ Basic Democracies system mobilized 80

Outcomes:

The family law Ordinance empowered women by providing legal protections, with over 50,000 marriages registered annually by 1965, enhancing gender equity in urban areas as indicated by Journal of South Asian Studies, 2010). Educational reforms increased enrollment in technical institutions by 20% and established 10 new polytechnic institutes by 1969, fostering a skilled labor force for industrial growth (The Pakistan Development Review, 1967). Cultural policies, supported by Radio Pakistan and Pakistan Television Corporation (established 1964), promoted national unity and modernization, reaching millions through educational broadcasts. Islamabad's development as a planned capital attracted professionals and boosted urban economic activity, symbolizing Pakistan's progressive aspirations. These initiatives also strengthened social infrastructure and laid the groundwork for long term societal progress, making a significant step towards modernization enhancing women's rights, educational access and national cohesion, aligning Pakistan with global development trends as noted by K.B Sayeed.

Political Developments:

Ayub Khan's political framework during his presidency introduced innovative systems to stabilize governance, promote controlled democracy, and strengthen Pakistan's international standing, achieving notable successes in administrative and diplomatic arenas. The 1962 Constitution, enacted on June 8, 1962, established a presidential system with indirect elections through 80,000 Basic Democrats, designed to ensure stable and centralized governance. The Basic Democracies system (1959) created a tiered structure of local governance, with elected

representatives at unions, ~~town~~ tehsil, and district levels to facilitate development planning and grassroots participation. Ayub's regime also managed foreign relations effectively, notably through the Tashkent Agreement (1966) following the Indo-Pak war of 1965, and strengthened ties with China via the 1963 Boundary Agreement as stated in the book, "Pakistan's Foreign Policy". The establishment of the Pakistan Muslim League (PML) as a political platform further consolidated Ayub's authority.

Outcomes: The 1962 Constitution provided political stability during the early years, enabling Ayub to implement economic reforms effectively, with the Basic Democrats serving as ^{an} electoral college for his 1965 re-election. The Basic Democracies system mobilized 80,000 representatives to implement development projects, such as rural electrification and road construction, benefitting over 10,000 villages by 1969. The Tashkent Agreement restored peace with India, maintaining Pakistan's diplomatic credibility and the agreement with China laid the foundation for a strategic partnership, including trade and infrastructure cooperation. Ayub's political developments established a robust governance structure, fostering stability and facilitating economic progress, while his diplomatic achievements as Burke notes, elevated Pakistan's global position. (Pakistan's Foreign Policy).

Challenges of the Ayub Khan Era:

1. Economic Challenges:

Ayub Khan's economic policies, despite driving a 6.25% annual GDP growth rate faced significant challenges that undermined their inclusivity and sustainability, as evidenced by

persistent inequalities and structural challenges. The 1959 land reforms, intended to dismantle feudalism, redistributed only 5% of cultivable land due to loopholes like land transfers to relatives, while landlord resistance led to increased tenant evictions, exacerbating rural poverty. The Green Revolution's high input costs excluded small farmers causing a 20% rise in rural debt and environmental degradation from chemical overuse. Industrial policies centered on the Export Bonus Scheme concentrated wealth among the 22 families, who controlled 66% of industrial assets, and over-reliance on textile created an unbalanced economy vulnerable to market fluctuations. Infrastructure projects like the ~~man~~ Dams, increased external debt to \$3 billion by 1969, with 80% of the spending favoring West Pakistan, neglecting East Pakistan's developmental needs. These challenges deepened disparities and limited long term growth prospects.

2. Social Challenges:

Ayub Khan's social policies also faced challenges despite major success; these challenges deepened inequalities and undermined cohesion, as the Family Law Ordinance suffered from weak enforcement in rural areas, limiting its impact on women's rights. Educational reforms, despite increasing technical institutional enrollment by 20%, primarily benefitted urban areas, leaving out rural areas and East Pakistani communities. Centralized cultural policies, enforced through the Press and Publication Ordinance, alienated ethnic groups, particularly Bengalis, by suppressing regional identities. The concentration of economic benefits among elites, with the Gini coefficient rising from 0.36 to 0.41 by 1969, fueled public resentment and social unrest as written by Shamshad Ahmad in Pakistan and the World Affairs.

3. Political Challenges:

Ayub Khan's political framework designed to ensure stability, faced significant challenges due to its authoritarian nature and lack of inclusivity, ultimately leading to widespread unrest and his downfall. The 1962 constitution, enacted on June 8, 1962, centralized power under a presidential system, undermining democratic participation by relying on 80,000 Basic Democrats, perceived as a tool to legitimize Ayub's rule rather than foster genuine grassroots democracy. The suppression of political parties, such as the Awami League and Jamaat-e-Islami, alienated opposition leaders like ~~the~~ Zulfikar Ali Bhutto and Sheikh Mujib, fueling demands for broader representation. The Indo-Pak War of 1965 strained the economy, with defense spending rising to 6% of GDP and Tashkent was widely criticized as a diplomatic failure, eroding Ayub's credibility. These factors culminated in the 1968-69 protests driven by students and laborers, forcing Ayub's resignation on March 25, 1969 and the abrogation of the 1962 constitution.

4. Regional Disparities:

Regional disparities particularly the neglect of East Pakistan, posed a critical challenge to Ayub's regime, fueling resentment and contribution to the 1971 separation of Bangladesh. Only 20% of development funds were allocated to East Pakistan despite its larger population, with infrastructure and industrial investments heavily favouring West Pakistan. The underutilization of East Pakistan's jute industry limited its economic benefits, while political suppression of the Awami League's six points demands deepened alienation. Protests over resource disparities, reported in Dawn (1966) highlighted

the regime's failure to address regional grievances.

5. Foreign Policy Challenges:

Ayub's foreign policy faced challenges due to overreliance on western aid and shifting geopolitical dynamics, compromising Pakistan's autonomy. External debt tripled to \$3 billion by 1969, with tied aid influencing policy decisions, while the 1965 war led to reduced US aid, exposing dependency of Pakistan and hypocrisy of the West. The RCD yielded limited trade benefits due to geopolitical differences. Public criticism of Western alignment and Tashkent Agreement's perceived failure weakened Ayub's credibility.

Critical Analysis:

Ayub's Khan's "Decade of Development" (1958-1969) sought to modernize Pakistan through state-driven reforms; and progressed to some extent but faltered later due to its centralized, elitist approach, which prioritized technocratic growth over democratic inclusion and equitable distribution, destabilizing the nation. The regime's reliance on a bureaucratic-military nexus, as critiqued by Hamza Alavi in his book: *The State in Post-Colonial Societies*, suppressed political pluralism, fuelling unrest, particularly in the marginalized regions. Social policies, despite progressive aims, suffered from urban bias, deepening class divides and limiting rural impact. Foreign policy alignments with Western powers created strategic dependencies, constraining autonomy as noted by S. Akbar Zaidi. Moreover, Ayesha Jalal in *"The State of Martial Rule"*, argues that the focus on macroeconomic metrics masked social and regional alienation, contributing to national fragmentation. Recent analyses, including *Pakistan Horizon*

Date _____

(2018) and X posts (2023), & highlight the essential lesson that sustainable development demands participatory governance and social equity. Ayub's vision, though ambitious, underscores the risks of topdown modernization without inclusive frameworks.

Bhutto's Nationalisation Policy & its Impacts

Introduction

Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto's nationalization policy (1972-1977) was a cornerstone of his socialist agenda, designed to transfer key private industries, financial institutions, and utilities to state control, aiming to address economic disparities and promote public welfare in post-colonial Pakistan. Enacted in the aftermath of the 1971 war and the secession of East Pakistan, the policy emerged in the context of national trauma, economic instability, and widespread public demand for reforms to curb the influence of industrial elites who had amassed wealth during the Ayub Khan era. Rooted in the Pakistan Peoples Party (PPP) manifesto of Islamic Socialism, it sought to redistribute resources, empower the working class, and align economic development with national interests, reflecting global socialist trends of the era, such as those in India and Latin America. The policy was implemented through a series of legislative measures, beginning with the Economic Reforms Order of 1972, and targeted strategic structures to strengthen state control and foster social equity. However, its ambitious scope, as critiqued by S. Akbar Zaidi, often clashed with Pakistan's underdeveloped institutional capacity, setting the stage for both transformative potential and significant challenges.

Key Features:

The nationalization policy under Bhutto was characterized by a structured, multi-phased approach, a robust legislative framework

and administrative mechanisms aimed at reshaping Pakistan's economic landscape. Some of the key features are as follows.

1. Ideological Foundations:

The policy was grounded in the PPP's concept of "Islamic Socialism", which blended socialist principles with cultural and religious values to appeal to Pakistan's diverse populace. It aimed to dismantle the economic dominance of industrial elites, often referred to as the "22 families", who controlled significant wealth during the 1960s. The ideological stance was influenced by global socialist movements (as noted by Christophe Jaffrelot), positioning Pakistan within a broader wave of state-led economic reforms in post colonial states. (The Pakistan Paradox, 2015)

2. Legislative Framework:

The Economic Reforms Order, 1972, served as the primary legal instrument, enabling the state to take over private enterprises without extensive compensation. Subsequent ordinances in 1974 and 1976 expanded the policy's scope to include financial institutions and smaller enterprises, ensuring comprehensive state control. This legislative approach, as analyzed in The Pakistan Development Review (1972), was designed to provide legal legitimacy and streamline the nationalization process, though it faced criticism for lacking stakeholder consultation.

3. Phased Implementation:

1972 Phase: The initial phase nationalized 31 major industries, including heavy engineering, steel, cement, and petrochemicals,

to secure control over strategic economic sectors. This targeted large-scale industries critical to industrial output.

1974 Phase: Extended nationalization to all private banks (e.g. Habib Bank, United Bank) and insurance companies, aiming to democratize financial access and regulate capital flow. This phase was pivotal in expanding rural banking.

1976 Phase: Included small-scale enterprises, such as flour mills, cotton ginning, and rice husking units, to broaden state influence over local economies. This phase, however, stretched administrative capacity.

4. Administrative Mechanism:

The policy established state corporations, such as the Pakistan Steel Mills Corporation and National Insurance Corporation, to manage nationalized entities. Private management was replaced with bureaucratic entities (oversight), often led by civil servants, to align operations with national goals. However, this shift, as critiqued by Suwaidah Qadri and Samra Saifraz Khan, introduced administrative complexities due to limited expertise in managing diverse industries.

5. Labour & Social Reforms:

The policy incorporated worker-friendly measures, including wage increases, job security, and improved working conditions in nationalized sectors, aligning with PPP's pro-labor stance. It also nationalized selected private schools and colleges to standardize education and enhance access, particularly in urban areas. These reforms aimed to empower marginalized groups but faced implementation challenges in rural regions, as noted by PIDE (2023).

6. Geographic Scope:

The policy primarily targeted urban industrial hubs like Karachi, Lahore, and Faisalabad, where major industries and financial institutions were concentrated. It also aimed to extend benefits nationwide, particularly through rural banking expansion, with branches increasing significantly to serve underserved areas. This dual focus, as analyzed in Pakistan Horizon (2018), sought to bridge urban-rural divides but was limited by logistical concerns.

Impacts of Nationalisation:

Bhutto's nationalisation policies had mixed economic, social and political impacts, with short term stabilization but long term industrial decline. It seems likely that the policies reduced wealth concentration initially but led to fiscal strain distrust over time.

1. Economic Impacts:

The short term economic impacts included stabilization during the global recession of the 1970s, with rural banking access expanding by 75%, reducing wealth concentration among elites. However, disruption to private sector operations reduced industrial output, as evidenced by the decline in GDP growth from 6.8% in the 1960s to 4.8% in the 1970s. Long term consequences included industrial stagnation, with private investment declining due to nationalisation fears and fiscal strain from subsidizing loss-making state enterprises, increasing deficits. The informal economy grew, with businesses shifting to unregulated sectors and investor confidence waned, leading to capital flight and business relocations abroad.

2. Social Impacts

Socially, the policy enhanced labor welfare through wage increases and job security, boosting PPP's support among urban workers. Educational nationalisation standardized access in urban areas, but rural outreach was limited, deepening class tensions. Ayesha Jalal notes that the policy shifted wealth control to state bureaucrats, perpetuating inequality rather than alleviating it, with labor leaders criticizing its effectiveness as written in *The State of Martial Rule, 1990*.

3. Political Impacts:

Politically, nationalization strengthened PPP's populist base, aligning with pro-worker policies, but provoked opposition from industrialists, intensifying polarization. The concentration of economic power in state hands fueled perceptions of authoritarianism, undermining democratic legitimacy and contributing to political unrest, culminating in Bhutto's 1977 ouster. (The Pakistan Paradox, by Jaffrelot, 2015)

4. Institutional Impacts:

Institutionally, the policy centralized economic control under bureaucratic ministries, enhancing state capacity but fostering inefficiency and patronage. State corporations like Pakistan Steel Mills were established, but reduced market-driven innovation. The legacy of state-dominated governance persisted, influencing subsequent economic policies, as noted by PIDE in 2023, creating a lasting impact on institutional dynamics.

Critical Analysis

Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto's nationalization policy is rooted in PPP's Islamic socialism, aimed to transfer private industries, banks and utilities to state control and subsequent ordinances, targeting economic disparities post 1971 war by dismantling elite monopolies and promoting public welfare as analysed by Christophe Jaffrelot. Implemented in 3 phases, the policy established state corporations aligning with global socialist trends but straining Pakistan's institutional capacity. While achieving short-term economic stabilization and social equity through pro-labor reforms, the policy's centralized approach led to long-term industrial decline, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and political polarization, undermining sustainable development. Certain research tanks like SIPRI had analysed that reliance on state led models reduced market innovation while Economic Insights Pakistan (Youtube, 2024) notes that the policy's ideological zeal overlooked practical economic realities.

The policy's legacy, as Shah Rafi Khan and Ayesha Jalal argue, ~~that~~ reveals a tension between socialist ideals and Pakistan's structural constraints, with state corporations fostering patronage over efficiency. While initially empowering the state to address disparities, the policy's overreach alienated key stakeholders, weakened institutional agility and set a precedent for state dominated governance that later required privatization.