

CSS-2021

In its response to 9/11, America has shown itself to be not only a hyperpower but increasingly assertive and ready to use its dominance as a hyperpower. After declaring a War on Terrorism, America has led two conventional wars, in Afghanistan and Iraq, demonstrating its overwhelmingly awesome military might. But these campaigns reveal something more: America's willingness to have recourse to arms as appropriate and legitimate means to secure its interests and bolster its security. It has set forth a new doctrine: the right of pre-emptive strike when it considers its security, and therefore its national interests, to be at risk. The essence of this doctrine is the real meaning of hyperpower.

Prime Minister Tony Blair has consistently argued that the only option in the face of hyperpower is to offer wise counsel. But increasingly this is a course that governments and people across the world have refused. The mobilisation for war against Iraq split the United Nations and provoked the largest anti-war demonstrations the world has ever seen. And through it all, America maintained its determination to wage war alone if necessary and not to be counselled by the concerns of supposedly allied governments when they faithfully represented the wishes of their electorates. Rather than engaging in debate, the American government expressed its exasperation. The influential new breed of neoconservative radio and television hosts went much further. They acted as ringmasters for outpourings of public scorn that saw French fries renamed 'freedom fries' and moves to boycott French and German produce across America. If one sound-bite can capture a mood, then perhaps it would be Fox News' Bill O'Reilly. At the height of the tension over a second Security Council resolution to legitimate war in Iraq, Mr O'Reilly told his viewers that the bottom line was security, the security of his family, and in that matter 'There's no moral equivalence between the US and Belgium'. It is, in effect, the ethos of hyperpower articulated and made manifest in the public domain of 24-hour talk. And America's willingness to prosecute war has raised innumerable questions about how it engages with other countries. Afghanistan has seen the removal of the Taliban. But there are no official statistics on the number of innocent civilians dead and injured to achieve that security objective. The people of Afghanistan have witnessed a descent into the chaos that preceded the arrival of the Taliban, a country administered not by a new era of democracy under the tutelage of the hyperpower, but merely by the return of the warlords. Beyond Kabul, much of the country remains too insecure for any meaningful efforts at reconstruction and there is enormous difficulty in bringing relief aid to the rural population.

Questions

1. Why does the doctrine of power set by neo-imperial America deny space to counselling? (4)

The neo-imperial America valued wise counselling before wars to the world. Later, the Americans realised the counselling contributed to the largest anti-war demonstrations. Also, the provoking of United Nations pushed

to deny space to counselling.

2. What is the essence of 'moral equivalence' whereas War has no moral justification? (4)

There exists no moral equivalence between a nation that attacks the others' territories and the one that lives with peace. The wars have always risen questions about morals violations. Therefore, all nations do not morally justify wars.

3. Why do countries occupied and under the tutelage of hyperpower have no peace? (4)

Nation states with hyperpower always try to influence the world order. They aim to ensure their security through domination of the world. The occupation of others' countries provides chance to hyperpower not have peace.

4. Arguably Europe and hyperpower US are at cross purposes over the concept of war. Are they? Why? (4)

Europe and hyperpower U.S. share common objectives though morally different in the justification of wars. The United States has always carried wars aligning European nations for national interests. European states are bond to the alliances with the U.S. Thus, Europe and hyperpower U.S. are at the cross purposes over the concept of war.

5. What Tony Blair's meant by 'wise counsel', and did it prevail? (4)

Tony Blair's doctrine of wise council refers to counselfation with the United Nations before waging raid or wars against other states. The doctrine of Blair's wise counsel changed after experiencing huge protests against US war in Iraq.

Marks Obtained

	YES	NO
1. Idea was picked?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Language structure is appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Quality of organization and cohesion?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Grammatical structure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Length as per requirement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6. Response is Correct?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7. Overall quality of response?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8. Spelling(s) quality?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments

READ THE PASSAGE CAREFULLY
ANSWERS ARE IRRELEVANT AND INCORRECT
NOT SATISFACTORY
6/20