

New War fronts lie in Economic Zones.

Outline

plz read the topic again
it is not about winning or loosing

(1) Introduction:

(a) In modern age no one can win war.

(b) In modern age the nature of conflict has been change from physical to economic war.

(c) **Thesis Statement:** The threat of nuclear war, the human and economic cost of war, and dependency of all other sectors on economy has shifted war fronts from traditional to non-traditional and this shift can be justified world powers by using economic sanction and economic institution as a war tool against enemy.

(2) Why war front shift from traditional to non-traditional:

(a) The threat of nuclear has mitigate the possibility of traditional armed conflict to large extent.

(b) The human and economic cost of armed conflict has also scolded out the logical basis of physical war.

(c) In this modern age no one can win the physical war.

(d) In modern world the progress and development in all sectors is dependent upon economic worldwide.

(3) How new war fronts lie in Economic Zones:

(a) IMF using as a weapon against the

energy by world powers.

(b) Economic sanction using as a war tool against energy.

(c) World bank using as a tool to secure the interests of world powers in energy countries.

(d) The debt trap strategy of world powers against energy.

(e) BRI a strategic tool of china to gain geo-political and geo-strategic interest.

(f) B3W a counter war tool of West and US to curtail china.

(g) US-China trade war

(h) Weaponisation of dollar by US against third countries.

(i) BRICS as rising power of global south and counter the dollarisation of global order.

(j) War today is a race for more economic gains and the country who holding the largest global economic share should dictate the world order.

(4) The wayforward to curtail the economic wars:

(a) The need to reconfigure a world order in line with the democratic ideals:

(b) The economic institutions should be depoliticised to promote cooperation among economies:

(c) The world leader should shift focus from national interest to collective human development:

(3)

(d) Protectionism should be done away and
open up multiple areas of cooperation among nations:

(5)

Conclusion:



(1)

Are modern wars holy wars?

start with more relevant description of the topic

Outline

(1) Introduction:

(a) In modern age war is more destructive:

(b) Modern wars are unholy because it has no religious motivation:

(c) Thesis Statement: The modern wars are politically motivated, threat to more economic gains and to secure the national security interests these factors justified the unholy nature of modern wars.

(2)

Why Modern Wars are not Holy Wars:

(a) Modern wars are politically motivated instead of religion:

(b) Economic interest play a vital role in modern wars instead of religion:

(c) National security is primary reason of war in modern age instead of religion:

(d) Modern conflicts influenced by geo-politics rather than spread of religion:

(e) The modern wars waged to stop the violation of human rights in place of religion:

(f) The modern wars waged to show technological superiority in alternation to religion:

(g) Modern wars mostly fight under secular

(2)

governments which have no space for religious motivation:

(h) Modern wars waged to prove the cultural superiority instead of spread of religious doctrine:

(i) Modern wars waged on ideological grounds rather than religious manifestation:

(j) The civil wars fight to gain control over country in place of religion:

(k) Terrorists and insurgents use suicidal bombs as a tool of war which is against religious doctrine:

(l) War crime justified that modern wars unholy because it not follows religious war doctrine:

(m) Modern wars ended with diplomacy rather than religious reconciliation:

(n) Modern wars are unholy because it destroy the social fabric of society:

(3) **Conclusion:**



Sir please give some improving suggestions