Q. 2. Write a précis of the following passage and suggest a suitable title:

(20)

On the question of freedom in education there are at present three main schools of thought, deriving partly from differences as to ends and partly from differences in psychological theory. There are those who say that children should be completely free, however bad they may be; there are those who say they should be completely subject to authority, however good they may be; and there are those who say they should be free, but in spite of freedom they should be always good. This last party is larger than it has any logical right to be; children, like adults, will not all be virtuous if they are all free. The belief that liberty will ensure moral perfection is a relic of Rousseauism, and would not survive a study of animals and babies. Those who hold this belief think that education should have no positive purpose, but should merely offer an environment suitable for spontaneous development. I cannot agree with this school, which seems to me too individualistic, and unduly indifferent to the importance of knowledge. We live in communities which require co-operation, and it would be utopian to expect all the necessary co-operation to result from spontaneous impulse. The existence of a large population on a limited area is only possible owing to science and technique; education must, therefore, hand on the necessary minimum of these. The educators who allow most freedom are men whose success depends upon a degree of benevolence, self-control, and trained intelligence which can hardly be generated where every impulse is left unchecked; their merits, therefore, are not likely to be perpetuated if their methods are undiluted. Education, viewed from a social standpoint, must be something more positive than a mere opportunity for growth. It must, of course, provide this, but it must also provide a mental and moral equipment which children cannot acquire entirely for themselves.



Do not repeat "author" multiple times. Just
once at the start of precis is enough. humanity through education
The subject of education have three
prefixes. The main difference among them
s how much autonomy should be given to
children. The prevailing view demands complete
autonomy of children, an exchange of modurity
The author criticizes this theory because it
undermines considers education a way to gain
materialistic growth only. The author argues
that education provides may to co-ordinate
and ensures collective human survival. The
author says that, supposters of complete
automomy only believes in materialistic gain
which also demands accountability of every
economic gain but also enriches child with morale
economic gain but emrich child with mosale
that can not be achieved individually.
Subject verb disagreement found.
Market Control of the