

# Ballot is stronger than bullet

## 1) Introduction

Thesis statement:

It is true that ballot is stronger than bullet because of its wide and peaceful applications such as; it is the power of ballot which prevails ultimately and can do what the bullet cannot. Although, there are some of the other view, but they are wrong in their notion because ballot is a weapon. Dangerous than bullet.

Avoid writing generic statement

② Understanding the Phrase: Ballot is stronger than bullet

③ How ballot is stronger than bullet.

(a) Ballot gives rights, bullet deprive from these

(b) Ballot ensures freedom, bullet nulls freedom

(c) Ballot ensures political participation, bullet nulls political participation

(d) Ballot strengthen democracy, bullet makes democracy flimsy

(e) Change through ballot is longlasting, change through bullet is temporary

(f) Reason drive ballot, bullet is devoid of reason

(g) Ballot ensure accountability, bullet is

immune to accountability.

- (h) Ballot brings social progress, bullet brings destruction and social decay
- (i) Power achieved through ballot lasts long and is stable, power achieved through bullet is unstable and temporary
- (j) On long run the power of ballot prevails
- (k) Ballot decides to solve issues, bullet further aggravates them

(4) Historical incidences and examples manifesting, ballot is powerful than bullet

- (a) Replacement of autocracy through democracy e.g. France, Great Britain
- (b) fall of Hitler and Mussolini
- (c) failure of the war of 1857 and independence of Pakistan and India through ballot
- (d) Growing democracy with time

(5) ~~The effort and time~~

(a) It's easy to do things through bullet than ballot

(b) Change and progress through bullet is hasty and easy.

(c) ~~Count~~ Reputation the opponents view

- (a) Ballot does what bullet can't
- (b) Change and progress through ballot is gradual but ~~iterative~~ and long lasting

(7) Conclusion.

Start is interesting and

There is no denying in the fact that each and everything has its own strengths, weaknesses and applications. The usefulness of its applications defines what is good, what is bad and what is strong or weak. Based on this, ballot is a weapon, stronger than bullet. It is so, because of the wide and useful applications of ballot in comparison to bullet.

Similarly, the applications and uses of bullet are limited which makes it weaker in front of a ballot. In the same way, through ballot things that can't be imagined through bullet are achieved through ballot.

Ballot works in a more peaceful way, while bullet cause destruction and instability. That is why ballot results both in social and political progress while bullet is the top known adversary to social and in particular,

Before writing about applications, at least define both

Avoid redundancy of expression plz

Political progress and development. Also, ballot is synonymous to freedom, democracy, Political participation and human right while on the contrary, bullet avails all these. Similarly, in the long run it is the power of ballot which prevails and rules because change through ballot is long lasting and permanent while bullet brings temporary changes. As opinion and experiences varies therefore, the opponents bear another notion, that is bullet is stronger than ballot because they are of the opinion that through bullet they can do what ballot can't do and doing things through bullet ~~and~~ is easy. But, they are wrong in their opinion because it's the ballot — which is productive and rule in the long run.

The phrase "Ballot is stronger than bullet" emphasizes on the importance of ballot that ballot is of greater importance and more powerful than bullet. ~~Ballot here~~ is the meaning of ballot here is not limited only to the ballot paper that is used during voting. But it is used in a broader sense which means freedom, liberty, rights, right to choose, right to elect, freedom of opinion, rule of law and democracy. Similarly, ~~bullet~~ the meaning of bullet is not confined to just a bullet-used cases in gun-but here it means, coercion, use of force, war, destruction, quelling the voice and freedom of others and dictatorship. So the phrase in general mean that democracy, freedom and liberty is of more importance than the use of force and dictatorship and ballot has more power than bullet because through ballot one can do more achieve more than bullet.

In various ways ballot is stronger than bullet. First, ballot empowers people their right while bullet deprives people from their basic human rights. Ballot give human the power to choose, express their opinions, thoughts and ideas. In short,

P.S. explain logically, you are repeating the same point again and again

Ballot is a medium which ensures human to express and give their right of expression which is a basic human rights. Such as, it is through ballot that people elect their representatives in various organisation and on state and global levels. On the other hand bullet does not give anyone the right to choose or elect. It deprive and suppress one of their expression and suppresses others opinions. Therefore, ballot is stronger than bullet.

Ballot is synonymous to freedom and democracy while bullet is synonymous to a willing and suppressing the freedom. Ballot ensures people their freedom, they can live their life according to their own principles and choices. Ballot does not force anything upon anyone but in reality it represents the will of the people and according to theory of the Rousseau theory of contract, something that represents the general will or will of the people ensures democracy and freedom, therefore, ballot is the flag bearer of freedom. On the other hand bullet kills stripes and freedom, freedom and bullet does not go side by side, at each point of time

only a single of them can exist. Such as during the reign of Hitler in Germany, there was no freedom. So, on the basis of this, the ballot is more stronger than bullet.

As aforementioned, that ballot is synonymous to democracy, therefore, ballot ensures political participation while bullet is anti democracy, therefore, it diminishes the political participation. In the contemporary world all of the majority of the elections are carried through ballot, people vote for their desired candidates through ballot and raise them to power. Similarly, it is the ballot through which the people express their will such as through referendum. Similarly it is through the ballot that laws and acts are formulated in the parliament and it is the ballot which is used by the people to oppose anything or express their disliking or rejection for something such as, vote of no confidence. That is how ballot ensures political participation and encourages people to participate. But when there is bullet, the will of the people is not considered, things are imposed by those who hold the power, therefore, depriving the

Formalization of expression is needed in paragraphs

People from political participation. Hence ballot gives while the bullet takes.

Similarly, the ~~beauty~~ foundation stone and beauty of democracy is freedom which is ensured by ballot, therefore ~~strengthening~~ strengthening democracy while bullet, as aforementioned, deprives from freedom, therefore, ~~weakens~~ weakens makes democracy weak and vulnerable. Societies where there is no liberty, freedom and rights ~~are~~ are weak or in other words ~~pseudo~~ pseudo democracy such as Pakistan, India, Iran and Saudi Arabia. The democracy in these nations and plenty of others is weak or absent due to the ~~absence~~ absence or less use of ballot. Majority of countries — where there is lack of democracy — heavily rely on bullet and the use of force such as Afghanistan. The use of bullet is one of the major reasons behind weak democracy in Afghanistan and other like nations to it. To boil it down, where there is ballot e.g. England the democracy is strong and where there is bullet e.g. Afghanistan, the democracy is weak.



In the same way any change introduced or put out through ballot is long lasting in comparison to that of the changes brought through bullet. As ballot represent the will of majority while bullet represent the will of minority so, any change brought through ballot is supported by the majority, the will of people is with such changes and such changes are not enforced through the people. Similarly changes through ballots are introduced in a peaceful way and are according to the wishes of the people, therefore any such change introduced through lasts longer such as any act passed from the parliament with majority becomes the law. On the other hand changes introduced through bullet are devoid of the will of people, people do not support them because they are against their wishes and are imposed upon them as per the will of imposers, therefore, such changes, reforms do not stay for a longer period of time. So the longevity of reforms and changes introduced through ballot makes it stronger than bullet. Other than that, ballot the driving force of ballot is reason, while bullet is devoid of reason. Anything accompanied by

Reason carries more weight than that of anything which drive by force. For instance, during the time of voting, people vote as per their own logic and reason, neither force nor anything else drives them, in such cases they decide things as per their reason. Similarly in the presence of ballot, there is democracy, liberty and freedom therefore people are not forced to do anything, they do things as per their own logic and wishes. While in the presence of bullet, people are deprived of their rights, there is war and excessive use of power which people are not in position to do things as per their logic and reason but simply they have to obey and do things as imposed. In short, ballot and reason can't go hand in hand because bullet don't let anyone to use their own reason, which makes it a weapon, weaker than ballot.

Similarly, ballot is a symbol of accountability while bullet present immunity to any sort of accountability. Societies where there prevail ballot such as that of the west, there is greater accountability and rule of law, while societies where there

prevails ballot, the span of accountability is very narrow e.g. Syria, Yemen, Myanmar etc. As ballot represent freedoms, rights, liberty and democracy therefore, the people feel empowered to question those in authority, through ballot they can elect therefore those who control the strings of power are aware of the fact that without the support of masses they can't rise into power. So, in the presence of ballot they feel accountable to the people and can't do anything harmful because next time they will not be elected. On the other hand ballot represent use of power, war and destruction, therefore where there is ballot people rule through force and fear they do not need the support of the people but what they need is power so, they don't feel accountable to anyone e.g. the dictators such as Hitler, Mussolini, Stalin etc. those who rely on the use of ballot can't be held accountable.

As ballot is accompanied by accountability because of which it brings progress, prosperity and development in the society. Ballot on the other hand

opposes all these things for the sake of development, progress and prosperity it is necessary to have freedom, liberty and participation from all corners of the society which is ensured by ballot. Such societies where there is ballot such as Sweden, Finland, Denmark etc. there is high progress, development and prosperity in comparison to those societies where there is use of power, social unrest and war such as that of Afghanistan, Iran etc. Ballot is the pre-requisite for human progress and prosperity, human societies are prosperous and progressive in the use of ballot. As according to political philosophers, humans are born free and humans prefer freedom and liberty therefore, ballot is necessary for human progress, it prop up the human development and progress while on the other hand ballot lead cause slavery and decay of human development and prosperity. Such as Syria and Iraq.



Both ballot and bullet are used to achieve power but power achieved through ballot is long lasting and more stable than the power achieved through bullet. Power achieved through ballot is inclusive, majority of the people have share in it and such power is given to an individual or group of individual with the consent and will of the people. Therefore, such power has the support of the people.

For instance, government comes into power with the help of ballot such as, through election are more stable and they stay in power for a long period of time as long as they have the will of majority. On the other hand power achieved through bullet such as dictatorship, by conquering others, enslaving others or using intimidation is temporary in nature and mostly unstable. They do such power do not last long because it is devoid of the will of the people and against their desire therefore people at each and every moment of time oppose that. Such as the rule of dictatorship in Pakistan doesn't last much longer, the dictators themselves started relying on ballot to stay in power. which is a clear indication of the fact that the power of ballot is long lasting and

Similarly, in the long run it is the power of ballot that prevail, because of its inclusivity and support from the people. People can't be rule and control for infinite time through force, fear and intimidation is particularly, in the contemporary world rule through bullet is something unachievable. The will of the people must be brought into consideration. Any power, reform, change or policy to be long lasting in nature must be counter signed by the will of the people, that is how their longevity can be ensured. The history has also witnessed that, therefore the world is transforming and democracy is spreading day by day. Kingship of the old times are rarely present nowadays, the system of slavery has come to its decline. Similarly, those who were ruling through bullet have faced their decline such as Hitler, ultimately their countries have transformed into democracy and rule of the ballot. On its contrary, countries, where there was democracy they are still intact such as the USA, the UK and the rest.

last but not the least, ballot has the power to resolve issue while bullet

You also need diversified of examples

further complicate and aggravate them.

For From a family level to societal, to national and international level, the ballot has successfully resolved abound no of issues and where there instead of ballot, bullet is used, the issues are further made further complicated. Such as the issue of Kashmir and Palestine. Both the issues, exist till date because the countries involved have resorted to the use of bullet instead of ballot. Even if today, the participating countries resolve to the use of ballot both the issues can be easily solved once found for all. Similarly, the independence of Pak and India didn't happened as a result of the war of 1857 but it happened by using the power of ballot that ultimately resolved the Conundrum of Hindus and Muslims and both the country came into existence. Ballot is successful in resolving the issue because majority of the people, a their support is involved while resolving issue through bullet most of the lack the will of the majority, further that is not a peaceful way of resolution. That is why ballot resolves the issues while bullet further aggravates them.

We need stronger application of idea

there are various historical examples which have proven that, the ballot is stronger than bullet. Among such examples is that of the replacement of minority of the bloody revolution by democracy. Russia, France, Britain came into existence have seen bloody revolution, where there was the excessive use of bullet, power, war and destruction but with the passage of time the power of ballot grew there and today there is the power of ballot and England known as the mother of democracy. Although, there was kingship in England which was totally against the power of ballot but with the passage of time the kingship transformed into democracy by the power of ballot, hence bullet was defeated using ballot.

• Defamation Law:

Similarly, the fall of Hitler and Mussolini - who were the proponent of use of bullet - is another example of ballot being powerful than bullet. Both of them used to rule with an iron hand and were totally against the use of ballot, but their rule - through bullet - didn't last longer and ultimately both came into decline and today there is rule of ballot there, which is the ballot that rule in the long run.