

## Topic

Is privatization of Public Services beneficial or detrimental to developing Countries?

Such a one length sentence does not carry any significance

### 1. Introduction

Thesis statement: Indeed, Privatization of Public services is detrimental to developing countries, imposing the economic, political and technological Syndrome in order to secure personal interests under the cycle of neo-neo imperialism.

2. Privatization of Public services - The trend in the 21st century: Beneficial or detrimental - A brief overview.

3. Privatization of Public Services is detrimental to developing countries

(3.1) Making influence on government policy

(3.2) Pursuing personal interests on projecting policies

(3.2) Capturing political and economic decision making policy -

E.g. Elon Musk

(3.3) Holding tendency of neo-neo colonialisation

(3.4) ~~Implementing~~ economic structural reforms

(3.5) Imposing institutional monopoly

(3.6) Securing Labour market-force on spreading business

(3.7) Building center of Capitalist ideological influence

4.

(1.8) Influencing masses on the social media advertisement

(2.9) Promoting elite-interest-based projects

(3.10) Designing framework of ~~for~~ socio-economic condition for people on making leverage

(3.11) Manipulating institutional policy cycle for global power hegemony

4. Privatisation of public services is beneficial at global level.

a) Improving economic condition of a country

b) Providing experienced policies for improving institutional delivery services

(1)-

c) Promoting sustainable developing goals for socio-economic conditions of people

d) Strengthening energy and economic improvement of a country.

5. Conclusion

"The tendency of <sup>Colonization</sup> ~~Colonialization~~ still prevails in the world, but in the new version of colonialization, is the shape of privatization of public services and also leverages the focus on masses and on its interest. Moreover, ongoing influence of privatization is the reflection of neo-neo imperialism that grasped its influence on its system of a <sup>sv</sup> country, holding political economic and decision making power of a state. Recently, this ~~has~~ ~~come~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~form~~ ~~of~~ ~~privatization~~ ~~and~~ ~~has~~ ~~sustained~~ ~~its~~ ~~vested~~ ~~interests~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~country~~ ~~of~~ ~~a~~ ~~country~~ - George ~~Matthew~~ - the social reformer of the USA. Therefore, It remains ~~the~~ <sup>no</sup> doubt about ~~the~~ ~~ongoing~~ leverage of privatization on the countries. It is also serving its interests and pushing countries towards the policy of ~~a~~ global powers. Moreover, ~~privatization~~

Plz avoid such vague references

is detrimental for developing countries to make influence on government policies, <sup>personal</sup> interests, ~~personal~~ <sup>personal</sup> interests on projecting policies, capture political and economic decision making <sup>power</sup> and holding tendency of neo-colonialization. Similarly, privatization is interpreted as economic structural reforms, imposing institutional monopoly and securing labour force on spreading personal business activities. However, privatization is also beneficial for public services delivery on the small and limited scale, improving economic condition of a country, providing experienced <sup>human</sup> resources for institutional delivery services and promoting sustainable development goals for social economic conditions of people. ~~Therefore~~ <sup>Therefore</sup> conclusion is that privatization is truly detrimental for ~~public~~ services delivery.

To start with, the 21st century

is the history of hybrid regimes,  
in which warfare and economic  
systems in which privatization  
of public services is a decisive  
role in a state policy decision  
making power. According to George  
mill, "I am observing the complex  
developing growth of under developing  
countries, but their policy cycle remains  
influential owing to the prime  
role of private entities which  
come with their vested interests. So,  
privatization - in this historical era -  
remains the extension of colonial  
influence.

Privatization is detrimental  
for public services to make influence  
on governmental policies. Governmental  
policies always support the public  
welfare so that people can improve  
their socio-economic condition. However,  
privatization obviously impacts on  
policies. In instance, The third world  
countries, keeping the government  
structure, are being treated by

by private entities in terms of their institutional delivery position" Ahmed Bilal Menboob, therefore Privatization always builds hindrances to social delivery.

Plz solidify argument here

Similar, Personal interests is the great and front policy of privatisational institutional strategies on the policies. This is the familiar phenomenon that private entity pursues its personal interest the first policy. As the prominent example is the growing influence of Independent Power purchasing Unit (IPP) which is constantly working on energy projects on capacity payment of a country. This entity directly grasps its interest on behalf of country's consensus agreement.

Privatization of institutions captures political and economic decision power. In a country's government system, the decision making power would decide the

future of millions. When institutions move around private rules and regulations, system can not deliver the best. According to the global economist Aiyang Bangah, "Privatization is the first organizational entity that attracts own interest first in terms of political and economic level". Ergo, Privatization captures the capability of decision making power of a country.

Besides, Privatization holds tendency of neo-imperialization on developing countries. Moreover, imperialism is the umbrella structure through which one state controls another state, applying political and economic capturing tactics. However, it impacts the internal structure of a country. According to Sir Ranjith Maroor, "English model still exists in a shape of private group and organizations which annex country".

through 'psychological syndrome'.  
Hence, privatization is a neo-  
hee colonization.

Economic structural reforms  
rely on privatisational policy  
which is detrimental for developing  
countries. According to Asian  
Development Bank, 39% countries  
are under structural reforms  
in the economy. However, without  
help of private groups, reforms  
can not be revisited. This  
policy proves that economic  
structural reforms revolve around  
the privatization which includes  
to personal gain.

Privatization of public  
services is detrimental to  
impose institutional dominance  
and monopoly. Every institution  
becomes prey to private  
group. For instance, in Pakistan,  
PIA, Railways, Energy projects,  
Health and Education are being

This is vague kindly prove your point

regularized by private business  
makers that impose the institutions.  
Therefore, the poor  
endure the most

Labour market force -  
mostly in the third world countries -  
is working under the private  
business. ~~It is the backbone~~  
~~of the country's growth. If they~~  
~~are not there and private~~  
As per the report of International  
Labour market Form (ILMF),  
developed countries train the  
labours for protecting their  
business in the particular  
countries under an influence of private  
organization. The estimated number of  
labour forces go with instructions  
of private policy which brings  
the hurdles in developing  
countries.

Privatization of public  
services is the building center

of the capital ideological influence. The west emphasizes the free market, free trade and free economy. However, its policy is replete with exploitation of lower class which is developing countries. According to Wilsonian center of America, 21% global economic under the influence of group centric policy which drastically deteriorates growth of a nation so, fact is privatization exploits the developing country's ~~and~~ delivery.

Privatization of public services influences masses on the social media advertisement. The 21st century is the century of social media influential tools. Most of the institutions, being controlled by private entities, grasp the influence of people to use media as a power tool for gaining interests. Elon Musk is the richest

man of the world to take  
a help of media for capturing  
the media influence. He also  
holds the economic power of  
the third world countries by using  
economic decision power. So,  
Such tycoon always misguides  
people for promoting publicity  
of products.

Privatization of institutions,  
paying public services, promote  
elite interest-based projects.  
For instance, Indonesia, Sri Lanka,  
Bangladesh and India are  
growing the elite based economy  
through different projects such  
as energy, health, education,  
and public infrastructures. All  
the projects are basically  
promoting the elite based-  
interest projects. That mostly  
gives benefits to private  
group earning to people  
are depriving of basic  
services.

Framework of Socio-economic condition of people, designed by private organization makes leverage on people. In fact, Socio-economic condition decides the per capita income of people. As the report of the Wall Street Journal pointed out, "Mostly Socio-economic service delivery becomes compromised due to two state policy, relying on private group agenda. Service delivery becomes a source of gaining profits. Thus, Socio-economic framework loses the governmental influential public private interest."

Privatization of public delivery institutions manipulates institutional policy cycle for injecting power hegemony. The global governance structure revolves around the hegemonic power. For instance, IMF, WB and ADB are influencing the

internal structure of countries' institution, serving hegemonic policy mechanism! So policy mostly impedes the social service development-

However, privatization of public service is also beneficial to improve economic condition of a country. As per report of World Bank since 2005, most of the countries have been accelerating the economic dynamics due to privatization of public service institutions. This report advocates that privatization boosts economic and social growth of a country.

Similarly, Privatization of public services provides experienced policies for augmenting institutional delivery services. Most of the countries are known as the Asian tigers because

they got the shift in the public policy services. For instance, Japan, Malaysia, Indonesia and central Asian countries made their public services more effective with regards to privatization of public services. In ~~the~~ ~~privatization~~ ~~process~~, the ~~successful~~ ~~model~~.

Sustainable development goals require the public private partnership in the perspective of privatization. "The global organizations are constantly ~~and~~ engaging in the public services delivery to enhance target of SDGs goals thoroughly because of constant policy of private organization," Jeffrey Sachs. Therefore privatization focuses on 6 SDGs goals.

Strengthening energy and economic improvement come

Under the efficiency of privatization. ~~Barro and~~ ~~energy~~ ~~basic~~ ~~requirements~~ ~~of~~ ~~countries.~~

For instance India is the third largest economy and fifth energy production. It happened due to constant and persistent efforts of private organizations. So, privatization plays a pivotal role in social service delivery. What I read is good discussion

To conclude this debate "The world structure is revolving around the politics for national and individual interests by imposing the leverage of private policy in terms of ~~the~~ economy" John Meishmeir. The fact leaves no room for a doubt that privatization is detrimental for developing countries in terms of public services, manipulating institutional policy cycle to global hegemony.

building center of capitalist ideological influence and securing labour market - forces for controlling business. To add to it; privatization of public services poses influence on governmental policies and holds the tendency of neo neo colonization. On the other side, this same privatization of public services improves economic condition of a country and provides experienced policies for improving institutional delivery services. Hence, the privatization builds the strong hold on public services.

Work hard on your arguments skills