

2) The one who uses force is afraid of reasoning

\* Outline:-

1) Introduction:-

## Arguments can be made more better

Thesis statement:- The person who lacks reasons, fears accountability and considers other methods adopts forcible ways of implementation but <sup>some</sup> uses the use of force is justified also.

2) What does the statement signify?

(i) It portrays the adoption of a forcible way to implement the processes by a person, who

(ii) It presents a situation of adoption of a use of force by a person who is

(i) It highlights the fact that <sup>the</sup> a person who is not ready to get accountable in front of others, uses <sup>getting</sup> forceful ways of making things done.

ii) It portrays the use of force, as the ultimate weapon of the one who lacks rational thinking.

3) Why there comes the use of force instead of using reason based problem solving?

3A) The person who fears from <sup>getting</sup> accountable in front of others, uses force as the tool  
Case in point: Israel attack on Gaza, <sup>by</sup> rejecting all the warnings issued by ICJ.

3B) Lack of reason also compels the person to use forceful ways

DATE: \_\_\_ / \_\_\_ / \_\_\_

Case in point:- Bangladesh's youth revolution due to the silence of the government.

3C) The person who considers others as worthless in front of his might, also adopts forceful ways.

Case in point: Dictatorship as of ~~Hitler~~ Hitler,

3D) <sup>The</sup> Use of force is also adopted when a person the state claims over another territory and rejects table talks.

Case in point:- India's illegal occupation in Kashmir.

3E) Colonial Expansion & also the situation of adoption of use of force over innocents.

Case in point: Bloody attacks of British-Americans on the native Indians, to capture the lands.

4) How when the use of force can be justified instead of giving reasons?

4A) Raising the Public revolt against some oppressive rule can allow for the use of force instead of peace talks.

Case in point:- Public protests all over the US against Trump's support for Israel

4B) for the defence of the territorial boundaries or borders of the state, the military use <sup>to relate in time</sup> can better handle the situation.

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

Case in point: Pakistan's swift retaliation against Indian airforce planes in Pakistan's boundaries

4c) The use of force is also justified to protect civilians from internal revolt or terrorism

Case in point: Pakistan's war on terror against TLP to ensure Pakistan's security

4d) International organizations can enforce sanctions on any country <sup>which is</sup> destroying the peace of the world, as a forceful act.

Case in point: IJC declared Israel's brutality as terrorism.

5) Conclusion.

Not a comprehensive outline to prove the one who uses force is afraid of the reasoning

Properly demonstrate your points how the one who is afraid of reasoning adopts coercive means to justify his acts.

You have mention the use of force but how they are devoid of reasoning .. take both the points side by side