Comparison of Hobbes, Locke and Rousseau on Social Contract:

Start with the summary of the answer as intro

as intro	The second secon	
Thomas Hobbes	John Locke	J.J. Rousseau
	1	
	Introduction	
Hobbes political		
Philosphy is beyond	The preservation	Man is born
all comparison	of property	free but is
the most	is the chief	found
imposing structure		everywhere
that the period	state."	in chains."
of english war		
produced."		
~(Sabine)	ب (Locke)	~ (Rousseau)
According to	All people had	According to
Hobbes, the	three natural	Rousseau, social
essence of	Rights_ life,	contract means
Hobbein	liberty and	the process by
philosphy is	proposty.	which the state
found in		of nature

Attempt by giving headings and subheadings



	his book	, Social contrac	t comes to an
	his book. "Leviathan"which	agreement blu	· ·
	was published	the till an	d political
	in 1651.	the people.	society is for
	L		
		2-B1091aphy	
			Rousseau way
	Hobbes was	He was English	
7	born in Westport	philospher inspired	France, Green many
	England · Itis	1	on his early
	experience during	was Born	life, his mother
	a time of	in Chiefset,	was died
	upheavel in		and 7 ather
	England influence	1632.	was prisoned.
	his thoughts.	1032,	
	His Zamous work is		
-	Leviathan. He		
	Lied in 1679		
	2160 111 10 11	3-Context of	
		Theory	
	Hobbes - regarded		
	as a	Locke was	Greatly influenced
	contractualists.	influenced from	by conditions
		logic and	of France.
	_	suierce.	In Flance
			111111111111111111111111111111111111111

state and the gave theory the morarch nature of to Justify the was exploiting sovereign power. Power of the farmers were of the said, parliament and Farmers were of the said, parliament and ordinary. The said or suppost and ordinary and ordinary and not chool gifted. Ashley cooper. men suffered at the hands of could be the fands of the people and from the chains of nobles. 1-Social Contract Theory 1) Human Nature Man is selfish, Generally, Good. Homan nature Dishonest wind, optimistic, is neither to people and for power. Nan has lust cooperative good nor bar. 1- Social Contract Theory 1- Social Contract Theory 2- Social Contract Not plentific is neither 2- Social Contract Not power. Not power. Sey, proporty No Bale Noble Savage.				
sovereign powers. power of the farmers were parliament and Farmers were parliament and samers were the said, parliament and seconomic slaves, and ordinary. I have is suppost and ordinary. I have people and the hands of could be the people and	-		Homey	the morarch
sovereign powers. power of the farmers were parliament and Farmers were parliament and samers were the said, parliament and seconomic slaves, and ordinary. I have is suppost and ordinary. I have people and the hands of could be the people and	1	state and	He gave they	or plaiting
Sovereign powers. powers of gardiament and Farmers were parliament and Farmers were shifting in of his griend's Economic slaves. State is suppost and ordinary men suffered at the hands of could at the hands of could be so, to liberate the people and year them year them year the chains of nobles. 4-Social Contract Theory 1) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Grenerally, Good. Human nature Dishonest kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good nor bar. 100 proposty Nople Noble	1	1 0	In Tushity	ucc . O
ohigin of his quiend's Economic slaves. State is suppost and ordinary man-made, Ashley cooper. men suffered at the hands and not Good gifted. Good gifted. So, to liberate the people and from the chains of nobles. 4-Sacial Contract Theory 1) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Generally, Good. Human nature Dishonest Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative Good nor bar. Jor powers Ser, proposty Nospie Noble	3	so vereign powers.	power of	The fam
State is suppost. and asdinary and asdinary and not at the tands at the tands at the tands at the people and prom the chains of nobles. 4-Social Contract Theory 2) Human Nature Man is selfish, Generally, Good. Human nature Dishonest: Kind, aptimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative Good nos bad. Took powers Sev, proposity Nother the people and prom the chains of nobles. 4-Social Contract Theory 2) Human Nature Man is selfish, Generally, Good. Human nature Dishonest: Kind, aptimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative Good nos bad. He is naive Nospie Noble		un coid	Parliament and	Farmers coc.
State is man-made, Ashley (ooper. men suffered at the hands and not God gifted. God gifted. So, to liberate the people and From the chains of mobles. 4-Social Contract Theory a) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Grenerally, Good. Human nature Dishonest kind, optimistre, is neither Man has lust cooperative Good nos bad. Took powers Ser, proposity Nospie Noble		osigin of	his quiend's	Economic slaves,
man-made, Ashley (ooper. men suffered at the hands and not God gifted. God gifted. God gifted. So, to liberate the people and From the chains of nobles. 4-Social Contract Theory a) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Generally, Good. Homan nature Dishonest. Man has lust cooperative good nor bad. Too powers Sev, proposty Nosple Noble	and the same of th	State is	WPPO8+	and ordinary
and not God gifted. God gifted. So, to liberate the people and Tree them Trom the chains of nobles. 1-Social Contract Theory 1) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Generally, Good. Human nature Dishonest. Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good nor bad. Too power, Sey, proporty Nogel Noble	-		Ashley Cooper.	men suffered
God gifted. God gifted. So, to liberate the people and Tree them Trom the chains of nobles. 4-Social Contract Theory a) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Grenerally, Good. Human nature Dishonest. Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative Good nor bad. Too power, Sey, proposty Nogel Noble	-	,	0 1	at the hands
So, to liberate the people and Tom the chains of nobles. 4-Social Contract Theory 1) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Grenerally, Good. Human nature Dishonest. Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good nor bar. Too power, Ser, proposty Nosple Noble				
the people and 7 see them 7 rom the chains of nobles. 4-Social contract Theory 2) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Generally, Good. Human nature Dishonest Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good nor bad. 700 powers Ser, proposty Nosple Noble	-	(200 Jil 150)		
Theory Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Generally, Good. Human nature Dishonest : kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative Good nos bar. Too powers Ser, proposty Nobel Noble				the people and
A-Social Contract Theory The				7000 them
A-Social Contract Theory a) Human Noture Man is selfish, Brutal and Generally, Good. Human nature Dishonest. kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good nos bad. Jos powes. Leature. He is naive Sex, proporty NoBple Noble				1 /
Man is selfish, Brutal and Grenerally, Good. Human nature Dishonest. Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good nor bar. Jos powers. Ser, proporty Nospie Noble				
Theory a) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Generally, Good Human nature Dishonest . Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative Good nor bad. Jos power, Sex, proporty Nospie Noble				
Theory a) Human Nature Man is selfish, Brutal and Generally, Good Human nature Dishonest . Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative Good nor bad. Jos power, Sex, proporty Nospie Noble		***************************************	4-Social Conts	ract
Man is selfish, Brutal and Grenerally, Good. Human nature Dishonest. kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good nor bad. Jos power, Grenerally Good nor bad. He is naive NoBple Noble		17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
Man is selfish, Brutal and Grenerally, Good. Human nature Dishonest. Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good not bad. Jos powers. He is naive Sex, proporty NoBple Noble		a mangan dan sa		
Brutal and Grenerally, Good. Human nature Dishonest. Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good nor bar. Jos power, Gerative He is naive Sex, proporty Nobele				
Dishonest. Kind, optimistic, is neither Man has lust cooperative good not bad. Jos power, containe He is naive Sex, proporty Nobele Nobele		Man is selfish,		
you power, cooperative good nor bar. Jos power, cooperative Good nor bar. He is naive NoBple Noble		Brutal and	0,00	
you power, cooperative good nor bar. Jos power, cooperative Good nor bar. He is naive NoBple Noble		Dishonest.	kind, optimistic,	is neither
Jos power, mature. He is naive NoBple Noble		Man has lust	cooperativo	good not bad.
		Job powers	me '	
and money savage.		sex, proporty		No Bple Noble
#집 [188] [188] - 그리고 하는 이 모든 이 집에 되는 것이다. [188] - 이 스티스 스티스티스 스티스티스				savage
Description of the order of the control of the cont		U		

4/

c) Social contract

To overcome		1 0000	r
the anaschy, 1	Main purpose	a) Each gives	-
ale alexanded	of the contract,	himself up	
760	he protection	absolutely	a redicate
	ne poorce		_
	and preservation	all do this	
Manual Street,	of natural	unconditionally	
over them which	Rights i'e	c) Each recovers	
will preservo	if Liberty		
thei's sight to	and property.	the equilent	
life and so		of everything	
people will	7	he loses as	-
people es		natural freedom	
make the		is given up	
authority		in exchange	
(Sovereign)		for social	
(Levrathan) to	1	free dom , where	
control them		nu-one is	_
to ensure stability		dependent on	
		another and	
		each obeys	
	d) views	hime	
	c) Alema		
saw the Era	all solic	Born of	
of English war	optimistic	switzerland,	
Pessimis lic	Niews	The state of the s	
view	The state of the s	wixed views	-
	, tea		1

e) Books

Leviathan	Two treaties of	The social
	Government	contract
4)	Tipes of Good	erpment
Absolute	Constitutional	Demociacy
Monarchy	system	
q	Rights of city	zens
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
Right to	Right to Dife,	conectively
security	liberty and	Decided pights
	property	
h)	Right to over	HOW (TOVERD)
When Zails		
to provide	when fails to	when fails
security	rotoct cardinal	act on general u
	Rights	
<u>i)</u>	Ampact on Fi	angers
Governments mus	7	
	arnovt must be	axrout, vesponsi
protect the	lesigned to	and aligned
people from	Protect people	with genera
themselves	from the gout	
	protonal Right	Individual wir
	must be secured	to general w
DATE OF THE PARTY		The state of the s

5- Implications of The Theory

	•	And the same of th	
Thomas Hobbes	Locke	Rousseau	
۵	Polifical organ	rizations	
state is uscated so political organization	/ -		
will not be present.	resent		
p: 60 C1 . 1	b) state		
As people did a contract, so	people were	. Astificial state.	
state was	fed up		
the fear	c) Sovereign		
		, Greneral will	3
King will be Sovereign	be sovereign	including Real and actual	
		will would	
		be sovereign.	
			1

1) Notine	of	contract
9/144/14	l.o	Ro

Hobbes a) Natw	Locke e of con	ract
Non-revokable because it was a Unilateral contract and king did not demand it by himself.	Revolcable Bilateral contract	Contraction Revokable and Bilatera Beause people theme are responsible tos the whole community.

6- Critical Analysis of Hobbes, Locke and Rousseau

6-1- Critical Analysis of Hobbes Social Contract Theory

6.6.1- Hobbes Concept of absolutism

It is totally a vague concept in the present scenario. Democracy is

the need and examples may be taken from Burma and other nations. 6.6.2. Concept of sovereignty According to Hobbes, the sovereign should have absolute authority. This is against the rule of law because absolute power in one authority Lings arbit variness. 7- Critical Analysis of Locke's Social Contract theory 7.1- concept of state of nature Locke concept of state of nature is vague as any conflict with regard to property aways leads to havoc in any society. Hence, there cannot be a society in peace if they have been conflict with segard to property.

7.2 - Concept of laissez-faire Locke concept of laissez-faire is not of wafare oriented. Now in present scenario, every state undertakes steps to form a welfare state 8-Crifical Analysis of Rousseau Social contract Thosy 8.1_ concept of state of nature Initially harmonious, dissupted by societal inequalities. 8.2- Concept of Role of Goot: A participatory government reflecting the general will of the people, aiming god common good. Rousseau propounted that state, law and the government are interchanged te, but this in present scenario is different.

Even though Jovesnments can be overthrown but not the state. A state oxists even there is no government. Conclusion: Conclusively, In modern times Rousseau's Ideas about pasticipatory democracy. Individual freedom within a collective frame work, and governance based on general will might resonate more in Lemocratic societies that Prioritize individual Rights, pasticipatory governance and collective decision. Ultimately, the applicability of these theories in nodern times voices depending on the specific context, societal values, and the balance between Individual liberties and the need for governance and order. Societies often adapt elements from these theories to address contemporary social and suitical changes.