

## Comprehension Paper 2021

Why does the doctrine of power set by neoimperial America deny space to counselling?

The doctrine of power set by neoimperial America denies space to counselling because it does not suit their hyperpower struture. America wants to use hard power and assert its' dominance, even when there were wide spread protests against the war in Iraq, America countered it by putting forward a hyper nationalist perspective. The country is not willing to entertain any opinion that comes in the way of their agenda.

What is the essence of 'moral equivalence' where as War has no moral justification?

Even though war has no moral justification, expressions like 'moral equivalence' are used to carry out a comparative analysis where one party tries to establish its moral superiority over the other. It is basically establishing that during war, ends justified the needs and an indication that US was far better in conducting war from moral aspects as compared to Belgium. Moral equivalence also reiterates that US abides by humanitarian values, while those raising fingers on it, do not.

Why do countries occupied and under the tutelage of hyper power have no peace?

Countries occupied and under the tutelage of hyper power have no peace because the power is not interested in settling the occupied country. They ~~solely~~ do not aid in instilling idealistic democratic values in the country. Once the intended outcome of war is achieved, the hyperpower is not interested in anarchy and chaos that prevails, as seen in the case of Afghanistan.

Arguably Europe and hyperpower US are at cross purposes over the concept of war. Are they? Why?

Europe and the hyperpower US are at cross purposes over the concept of war because of the way they interact and see the world right now. US has had power maximizing tendencies since the 9/11 attacks, it is ready to go to any length to secure its' national interest. Europe on the other hand is not on the global leader pedestal nor has its' national pride been hurt hence it is more suggestive towards peacemaking liberal values.

What Tony Blair meant by 'wise counsel', and did it prevail?

By 'wise counsel', Tony Blair meant that the country with hyperpower aims must be explained with reason. It is basically suggestive towards dialouge to calm down the situation. He specifically used the term wise to indicate that the convincing and reasoning must be done by someone capable. Unfortunately in the very case, it did not prevail, instead the US became against everyone that opposed its' narrative and point of view with respect to War in Iraq.