

A Tale of Two Organizations

The world has witnessed emergence and downfall of several organizations. Organizations have been key to achieve socio-economic goals

These organizations are mainly established by masters of world system to retain their domination or deter their rivals. NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization) was fashioned in 1949 to provide collective security against Soviet Union during the cold war. It was founded by twelve members and now the number has increased to thirty two, with the Sudan to last to join. However, Cold war ended long time ago, NATO is still burgeoning its power. And now it comprises of thirty members

The organization does not follow the policy of non-interference and mostly intervene in the domestic affairs of its members by violating International Law. America, who has a significant history of command over NATO, has always looked out for its interests. For instances

Use which or that with America
like in Afghanistan, Iraq war, and intervention in Libya were the key interests USA achieved through NATO. Although the scope of NATO is narrow, as it only focuses on defence and security.

Another organization which is called as 'NATO of East' and is alleged to be made to counter NATO is 'Shanghai Cooperation Organization' (SCO). SCO was founded in 2001 with the aim

of promoting cooperation and peace among its member states, as well as strengthening regional security and stability. Moreover, SCO is not only limited to defence; it is a wide umbrella under which the member countries discuss economy, political prosperity and peace. It has a slogan to curb three evils:

Deliberated upon

terrorism, separatism, and religious extremism. Non-interference, equality, mutual benefits and respect for sovereignty are core principles of this organization. Though NATO is senior in history to SCO, but now it is becoming 'Sick Old Man'.

But now it has become

It is failed in resolving Ukraine-
was due to its slow pace in aiding Ukraine as its member. Along with this, US's personal interest

of spreading western democracy and values through NATO's force has created internal drift in organization. This is evident from Spain's premier who

said, "We don't have double standards... we

are supporting Ukraine because we are defending international law, this is the same what we

have to do towards Gaza". On the other side, USA

continues its tradition of vetoing ceasefire resolutions.

If it is compared between NATO and SCO that

Who has heavy weight in the room, NATO takes

the lead of having three permanent members of UNSC and nuclear states in its boundary. While, SCO

has two permanent members of UNSC, which are also nuclear powers. But, addition of India

and Pakistan in 2017 changed the digits of ~~scale~~ scale. India and Pakistan both are nuclear power countries and possess the tendency to lead the world if Kashmir issue is resolved. That's why, SCO holds great promise of solving Kashmir issue which is ultimately a threat to NATO's preeminence. Moreover, economic growth of BRI under SCO ^{which} Thus it is premature to predict about their both of these organizations' future position, current global trends favour the SCO's approach.