

PART-II

Q. 2 Make a précis of the following passage and suggest a suitable title.

(15+5=20)

I speak of peace because of the new face of war. Total war makes no sense in an age when great powers can maintain large and relatively invulnerable nuclear forces and refuse to surrender without resort to those forces. It makes no sense in an age when a single nuclear weapon contains almost ten times the explosive force delivered by all of the Allied air forces in the Second World War. It makes no sense in an age when the deadly poisons produced by a nuclear exchange would be carried by the wind and water and soil and seed to the far corners of the globe and to generations yet unborn. Today the expenditure of billions of dollars every year on weapons acquired for the purpose of making sure we never need to use them is essential to keeping the peace. But surely the acquisition of such idle stockpiles, which can only destroy and never create, is not the only, much less the most efficient, means of assuring peace. I speak of peace, therefore, as the necessary rational end of rational men. I realize that the pursuit of peace is not as dramatic as the pursuit of war, and frequently the words of the pursuer fall on deaf ears. But we have no more urgent task. Some say that it is useless to speak of world peace or world law or world disarmament, and that it will be useless until the leaders of those nations, perceived to be hostile by us, adopt a more enlightened attitude. I hope they do. I believe we can help them do it. But I also believe that we must re-examine our own attitude, as individuals and as a nation, for our attitude is as essential as theirs. And every graduate of this school, every thoughtful citizen who despairs of war and wishes to bring peace, should begin by looking inward, by examining his own attitude toward the possibilities of peace. First, let us examine our attitude toward peace itself. Too many of us think it is impossible. Too many think it unreal. But that is a dangerous, defeatist belief. It leads to the conclusion that war is inevitable, that mankind is doomed, that we are gripped by forces we cannot control. Our problems are man-made. So let us persevere. Peace need not be impracticable, and war need not be inevitable. By defining our goal more clearly, by making it seem more manageable and less remote, we can help all peoples to see it, to draw hope from it, and to move irresistibly toward it.

Preserving Peace. ✓

incorrect interpretation and sentence is too long

The author advocates for peace because the deadly weapons acquired by the great powers are far more dangerous than that of the earlier ones.

Today, a single nuclear bomb has the potential to destroy the world - and its consequences would ~~spread~~ continue for generations to come. These

weapons are considered as fools???? or people?

weapons of mass destruction are considered a fool for preserving peace; by never utilizing them.

upon that the author argues that this is a viable approach to safeguard the peace. Although some people believe that talking about peace is worthless, until the leaders of the nations adopt a comprehensive approach towards it.

no need to mention author again and again

Thereon, the author emphasizes, people need to reevaluate their own attitude by overcoming the thoughts that war is unavoidable and peace is an elusive to achieve. The problems surrounding the world are man-made and it can be resolved via optimism. ✗

main idea is picked
there is no sense and coherence of the content
not satisfactory
precis exceeds word limit
0/20
title is irrelevant
need improvement in all aspects