Read the following passage carefully and answer the Questions in your own words. All questions carry equal marks.

(20)

Colonel Claus Schenk von Stauffenberg, the man who, on 20 July 1944, placed a bomb next to Hitler in his east Prussian headquarters, the Wolf's Lair. The bomb failed to kill Hitler, merely blowing his trousers to ribbons. That night, when the coup was seen to have failed, Stauffenberg was shot in the courtyard of the army headquarters in Berlin on the orders of General Fromm, his superior, who was in on the plot and hoped - in vain to save himself. The film 'Valkyrie' is true to most of the facts of the plot but fails to convey any sense of the catastrophic moral and political vortex into which Germans were being drawn. Nor does it give much sense of the immense charisma of Stauffenberg, to whom generals and politicians deferred and who had for some time been tipped as a future chief of staff. And the film gives no indication at all of Stauffenberg's background and philosophy: he fitted perfectly into the German tradition of Dichter und Helden, poets and heroes. For a start, he looked the part, tall with classical features; he was often compared to a medieval statue of a knight. Even Hitler believed that Stauffenberg was the embodiment of a German hero. Stauffenberg's stroke of genius was to subvert the emergency plan for defending Berlin against insurrection, Valkyrie, into a plan for a putsch after Hitler had been killed. As Hitler became more paranoid, it seemed that Stauffenberg was the only one who had both the access and the resolve to kill him. He was fully aware that the chances of success were slim, but he felt that he needed to demonstrate to the world that there was a better Germany - what he thought of as secret Germany - and perhaps that he was the agent of history.

- 1. Who was Stauffenberg?
- 2. How far was the movie 'Valkyrie' successful to present the true picture of Stauffenberg and his struggle?
- 3. Was the plot by Stauffenberg to kill Hitler justified in the opinion of the writer?
- 4. What kind of contrasted and complementary sentiments are evoked in this passage?
- 5. What would have happened if Stauffenberg had survived the War?



	Name: Tayyaba Maqsood Roll no: 010N:
	Koll no : 010 N
	Precis Mock-3
	Let seem he story to trig aft. If
	Some of the second will be a little of the l
	Curstinia vice costocil
	COMPREHENSION
	A SHORT CONSIDERATION OF THE SHORT OF THE SHOT OF THE SHORT OF THE SHORT OF THE SHORT OF THE SHORT OF THE SHO
	Answer 1:
	Stauffenberg was the man who
	made the failed attempt to
	assasinate Hitler by placing a
	bomb in his headquater Later,
	he was shot dead in the army
,	headquaters of Berlin on the
	order of General Fromm, who
	was his senior.
	Answer 2:
	The movie "Valkyrie" did presented some true facts of the plot
	but failed to potray the exact
	true picture of Stauffenberg
	and his strugales The movie
	and his struggles. The movie failed to present the heroic
	character of Stauffenberg and
:	his courage during the war.
- 11	The body.

indition : same
Answer 3:
In the opinion of the writer,
the plot by Stauffenberg to
Kill Hitler was justified. As
per him, only stautfenberg
had the access and the capability
to kill the paranoid Hitler
at that time:
oder your soll took production to i
Answer 4:
In the given parage, some contrasted and complementary
sentiments are evoked as
the writer believes the stauffenberg
had immense chrisma which
was not truly presented in the
true context. Stautfenberg
was also got compared to the
was also got compared to the medieval statue of a Knight
in the passage.
productional the and and and
Answer 5:
If stauffenberg had survived the war, he would have been

regarded as the hero of Germany	
regarded as the hero of Germany as he tried to make it a better	
place Although the chances of	
place. Although the chances of Success was mere but he took	
the risk to anasinate Hitler,	
ine risk to assess about the	
potraying his heroic character	

