
Extremism in the defence of Liberty is no vice and moder ation in the pursuit of justice is no virtue I. Introduction: Thesis statement: Failure of moderation in addressing injustice proces the necessitates for taking entreme measures in defending liberty. I Enplaining the quote: Barry Goldworks II. Kly extremism justified in depending liberty, while moderation b not: A. Failure of moderation in addressing deep-seated injustices prester Exacendationist efforts for suces. (Moderate action against climate Change worsening globe) CS CamScanner

B. Urgency in de-escalating the Crisis requires extreme actions Interrention is Kospovo 1990-Schocide) C. For breaking the status quo, entreme exports are justified (Martin When King Jr.'s stance against racial injurfice) For broade societal change, entrene measures act as catalyst E. Entreme actions against injustices serve as accorrent to future Visitation Violation ( Protection of burnan rights) Entremism ensures comprehensive <u>Change</u> while moderation leads to partial reform [Radical land reforms in post-revolutionary china eradicated. G.



feudalism In depending the moral principles, extremism becomes onecessity Engaging in a civil was necessary to end slavery) H. In combating authoritarianism, entreme actions are pivotal in defence (The velvet Revolution in Czechoslova -Kía) J. Extreméson draws quick response which moderation cannot draw Greenpeace's bold environmental activism draws global attention towards climate change) J- Margin ward segnents have the only resort to highlight their concerns through entremism; moderation goes



unheard. K. Extreme actions ensure accountability for those in power [The wate gate scandal's extreme investigative journalism by the Washington post led the resignation of president Ninon) L. To fight against global threat, entremem is justified [Global fight against terrorism] Tr. Conclusion It is quite relevant and well organised Good

