Read the following passage and answer the questions that follow.

Knowledge is acquired when we succeed in fitting a new experience in the system of concepts based upon our old experiences. Understanding comes when we liberate ourselves from the old and so make possible a direct, unmediated contact with the new, the mystery, moment by moment, of our existence. The new is the given on every level of experience - given perceptions, given emotions and thoughts, given states of unstructured awareness, given relationships with things and persons. The old is our home-made system of ideas and word patterns. It is the stock of finished articles fabricated out of the given mystery by memory and analytical reasoning, by habit and automatic associations of accepted notions. Knowledge is primarily a knowledge of these finished articles. Understanding is primarily direct awareness of the raw material. Knowledge is always in terms of concepts and can be passed on by means of words or other symbols. Understanding is not conceptual and therefore cannot be passed on. It is an immediate experience, and immediate experience can only be talked about (very inadequately), never shared. Nobody can actually feel another's pain or grief, another's love or joy, or hunger. And similarly, nobody can experience another's understanding of a given event or situation. There can, of course, be knowledge of such an understanding, and this knowledge may be passed on in speech or writing, or by means of other symbols. Such communicable knowledge is useful as a reminder that there have been specific understandings in the past, and that understanding is at all times possible. But we must always remember that knowledge of understanding is not the same thing as the understanding which is the raw material of that knowledge. It is as different from understanding as the doctor's prescription for penicillin is different from penicillin.

Questions:

How is knowledge different from understanding? ins Valuable Vail

(4 marks each)

2. Explain why understanding cannot be passed on.

3. Is the knowledge of understanding possible? If it is, how may it be passed on?

How does the author explain that knowledge of understanding is not the same thing as the understanding?

How far do you agree with the author in his definitions of knowledge and understanding? Give reasons for your answer.

comprehension CSS 2011 Question no! (Ansulus): Knowledge is different from under standing because the Jormer is accounted in different from under standing because the former is acquired with the help of archaic experie already existing knowledge the ormer is the aid of available of already Solved mysterics but the later is the authorise of course Solved mysteries, but the later is the complehension of the Joines can be riselected, while understanding is not heing the Johnst can be passed, while the lover is deplused passed on. Question no 2 (Answer): Understanding cannot be passed is conceptual and the concept on the other hand, knowledge means. That is why understanding is deprived from the quality of being passed on. quality of being passed on Question no 3 (Answer): In the fenowledge of understanding is possible. It can be passed on One can be aware of circum stainer through which a person is going on . A person may be experiencing for instance, pain / grief etc and anothernmay Know epout it, but that person cannot understand or zeel these emoti-ops. Knowledge of understanding can be pessed on through words Question no 4 (Answer): The outhor has explained his/her Stones of Knowledge of understanding not being the same thing as the understanding by quing the example of the doctor has the knowledge of penicillin being a pain killer, which its elf is an understand for such a manner and not a knowledge because it is not a concept and cannot be passed. But, through the doct ar's Knowledge to can be passed on by prescribing it to a petient. In this manner, it can be laid that knowledge of understanding it Limit the answer to 5-7 lines.

