## Make a precis of the given passage, also give a suitable heading" (20)

The official name of our species is homo sapiens; but there are many anthropologists who prefer to think of man as homo Fabor-tho smith, the maker of tools It would be possible. I think, to reconcile these two definitions in a third. If man is a knower and an efficient doer, it is only because he is also a talker In order to be Faber and Sapiens, Homo must first be loquax, the loquacious one. Without language we should merely be hairless chimpanzees. Indeed \vc should be some thing much worse. Possessed of a high IQ but no language, we should be like the Yahoos of Gulliver's Travels- Creatures too clever to be guided by instinct, too Self-centered to live in a state of animal grace, and therefore condemned forever, frustrated and malignant, between contented apehood and aspiring 'humanity. It was language that made possible the accumulation of knowledge and the broadcasting of information. It was language that permitted the expression of religious insight, the formulation of ethical ideals, the codification to laws, It was language, in a word, that turned us into human beings and gave birth to civilization.

Language turned homo saprens into human beings and gave birth to civilization. Whatever a man do and know is only because of talking, which is only possible because of language as coded in world homo saprens. It is also helpful in unceding religious teachings. Without a language a man is like an animal which makes him seef centered and ill manneres.

Title: Language: A source of making humans.

Inportance of longuage: