Read the following passage and answer the questions that follow.

Knowledge is acquired when we succeed in fitting a new experience in the system of concepts based upon our old experiences. Understanding comes when we liberate ourselves from the old and so make possible a direct, unmediated contact with the new, the mystery, moment by moment, of our existence. The new is the given on every level of experience - given perceptions, given emotions and thoughts, given states of unstructured awareness, given relationships with things and persons. The old is our home-made system of ideas and word patterns. It is the stock of finished articles fabricated out of the given mystery by memory and analytical reasoning, by habit and automatic associations of accepted notions. Knowledge is primarily a knowledge of these finished articles. Understanding is primarily direct awareness of the raw material. Knowledge is always in terms of concepts and can be passed on by means of words or other symbols. Understanding is not conceptual and therefore cannot be passed on. It is an immediate experience, and immediate experience can only be talked about (very inadequately), never shared. Nobody can actually feel another's pain or grief, another's love or joy, or hunger. And similarly, nobody can experience another's understanding of a given event or situation. There can, of course, be knowledge of such an understanding, and this knowledge may be passed on in speech or writing, or by means of other symbols. Such communicable knowledge is useful as a reminder that there have been specific understandings in the past, and that understanding is at all times possible. But we must always remember that knowledge of understanding is not the same thing as the understanding which is the raw material of that knowledge. It is as different from understanding as the doctor's prescription for penicillin is different from penicillin.

Questions:

How is knowledge different from understanding? ins Valuable Vail

(4 marks each)

2. Explain why understanding cannot be passed on.

3. Is the knowledge of understanding possible? If it is, how may it be passed on?

How does the author explain that knowledge of understanding is not the same thing as the understanding?

How far do you agree with the author in his definitions of knowledge and understanding? Give reasons for your answer.

Question no! (Ansules): Knowledge is different from under standing because the Jorner is accounted the standing in be passed on in because the former is acquired with the help of archaic experie already existing knowledge. Former in I the aid of available of Solved mysteries but the later is the awareness of course Solved mysteries, but the later is the comprehension of the Joines can be riselectual, while understanding is not being the Jornes can be passed, while the later is deprived passed on. on because it is not a concept on the other hand, Knowledge is conceptable and there? means. That is why we have it can be passed through various quality of being possed on quality of being passed on Question no 3 (Answer): Yes, the fenowledge of understanding is possible. It can be passed on One can be aware of circum stapees through which a person is going on A person may be experiencing, for enstance, pain, grief etc, and another may know about it, but that person cannot understand or zeel these emotions. Knowledge of understanding can be pessed on through words on various kinds of symbols. Question no 4 (Answer): The author has explained his/her Stones of Knowledge of understanding not being the same thing as the understanding by quing the example of the doctor has the knowledge of penicillin being a pain killer, which its elz is an understant for such a manner and not a knowledge because it is not a concept and cannot be passed. But, through the doct as Knowledge con eque and be passed on by prescribing it to a petient. In this manner, it can be laid that knowledge of understanding is

