-		
	AI: A Potential Threat to Mankind	
1.	Introduction	
	1.1. Hook	
	1.2. Background/General Statement	
	1.3. Thesis Statement: Although Artificial intelligence	has
emerged	as a revolutionary technology in recent times, its un	regulated
	tion poses an imminent threat of job displacement, se	
	acy infringement and other socio-political and econom	11
concer		
	2. Ethical concerns raised by AI	
	2.1.AI's potential to errode personal privacy and ena	ble
	mass surveillance	

	Date:	The state of the s
	2.2. Using artificial intelligence for creating de	e-fakes
	2.3. Infringement of intellectual property rights	1000
	2.4. Lack of Transparency in Al models.	
	Esmanic Challenges	
	3.1.AI's potential to exacerbate unemployment via	displacement
	3.2. AI's potential to contribute to socio-economic	inequality
	by disproportionately benefiting wealthy individuals	N N
4.	Social Threats posed by AI	
T.	4.1. Al' has the ability to perpetuate and amplify	biases
	through stereotyped algorithms	
	4.2. Overdependance on AI can hamper creativity	
	4.3. AI data centres contribute to ecological das	rage .
	in the form of carbon emissions	
5.	Possible political and military misuses:	
	5.1. Spreading misinformation for political propaga	anda
	5.2. Utilizing AI to manipulate public opinion	
2.44	5.3. Integrating AI into autonomous weapont	
J. 16.	Way forward: Regulating AI	L. Jan
A Distall	6.1 Establishing ethical frameworks and guidlines	+0
	monitor the use of AI	Harrist T.
	6.2. Developing transparent algorithms	take stay
24.	6.3. Promoting the acquisition of AI literacy and	ethical
	understanding	285 33
7	Conclusion	- 4
		- 25

Week 22 May 2018 Another noticeable point is the reflecting federal budgetisallocated for military. As Rs. 1.8 trillion for defence budget, out of 180KR total budget for fiscal year 2023.

This overspending on defence institutions the bosic needs of a a plajor hindrance man 12.30 Pakistan's progres The military's colonial 130 mentality is further exemplified by its repeated interventions in the political process 239n the past. The military dictators overthrew the elected governments, showcasing disregard for public mandate. Ayob Khan in 1958, and Pervaiz take over by 1999 are reminiscient of colonial ib Musharrafi practices. These authoritarian approaches seaused an irreparable damage to the stability democracy and economy of Pakistan, Brutti reversing the country's progess In comparison, our eastern Heighbour, India, by upholding 7.30democracy has achieved economic jevelopment evolving as 3rd largest economy of

The education system	J		M	AY'18	. ,	,*
Pakistan is also under the	M	T	W	T F	S	S
The July 13 dige of the		1	2	3 4	5	6
				10 1		
				17 _1		
evident from stark disparities	21	22	23.	24 2	5 ,26	27
	28	29	30	31		

Week 22 June 2018 in the quality of education provided by public sector in contrast to private sector. Although the government institutions charge q curricula and lack of factities compromise on private institutions offer better learning experience, but at a relatively higher obst. Therefore access to quality education becomes a privilege reserved for the affluent, mirroring colonizers' attitude prioritizing quality for only their children For example, LUMS, one of the most prestigious universities of pakistan charges an exorbitant amount of PKR 500,000 per semester, catering only the elice Ultimately, the colonization of education creates socio-economic inequalities, blockading Pakistan's pathways towards progress The compulsory teaching English as a second language in Pakistan's education system is also a manifestation o colonial mentality, prior-1613,ing foreign language over national language Higher education in Pakistan requires a suspicient command of English language. A majorit of students in Pakistan learn English as a second language, thereby © facing difficulties in proper understanding of concepts, leading to lower academic achievements 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

June 2018			1				Week 22
and hi	ghet drop-	out rat	: P. A.	cordi	ng	co a	stud
8.30by High	er Education	n Com	mission	nof	Par	tista	h(20
Student	er Educations who structured to fail	991e w	ith En	glish	land	7009	e on
9.3Were lik	ely to fail	in Cor	npetit	ive e	Mam	s de	Spite
havina e	quivalent i	intelled	Lual	abili	tre	He He	ence
10.30 Prioriti	zina color	nizers	langu	uge	is	mpe	
Pakista	izing color	ional	Prosp	Ubi			
11.30			1.00			14. 1	1, 167
			-	· ·			-
12.30	The refere	nces sh	ould b	o etroi	na ar	nd/	13.9
			IUUIU D	<del>c suo</del>	<del>iy ai</del>	IU-	1
1.30	<del>evidence-l</del>	<del>oased</del>	*1 1	, .			
e p		. 19 533	1	J			
2.30	Same to	-9.	1 T,				_
- 18	L I		2.1		•		
3.30		*1				1,	. 14
			1 1	2		-	
.30		7 "					
			1				
i.30							
3	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			· ,	- 1, .		1
.30							
<b>.</b> 30						-4,7	/3
		1/2		1			
3.30				- ;		l'	
2. 1. 1.				1 2			
						JULY'18 V T F	S S
			J = 2		30 31		1
					2 3 4 9 10 1		7 8 14 15
			41 ]		16 17 1		21 22