	Mien No. 5 12-11 CSS Jonn 10 1 dillottate dale da	
	Graham Allison has dewised different models for	
-	making choices in forsign policy decision. Compare	
	and contrast the national actor model and burgarculic	
	Politics modela to illustrate foreign paley decisions.	-
	which model better explains Parkistan's decision in	
	2015 to decline Saudi Arabia's call for armed support	-
	Restructure your introd	U
	Introduction t	-
	In 2015, Saudi Arabia and the allies	
	mainly the UAE intervenced the Country of Yemen on	
-	the prefect of providing assistance to the country's	
-	former ousted president's government. What followed	
-	were brutal girstickes from the saudi and Enirali	-
	sides	
-	In the medist of thes intervention, Saudi matia	
-	called on its allies and friendly states to provide	
-	armed support in the way. It called on Pakistan to	
	provide the same, i however, Pakistan putting its	
	strategic and national interest a head politely declined.	
	Parkistan's strategic calculus paid off and the war in	_
	y Venen widespread condemnation.	
	The foreign policy decisions that led to	
	this cituation according to Graham Alleson in hes	
	book 'Essence of Decisions', were based on two models	-
	rational actor and brewaatic politics model.	
	Pakistan's poreign policy opted for the rational actor	
	as the result reflect the outcome of this model	-
	Both models advocate for nationality, but their	
	methods varied and the results closely with the	

Introduction ought to be in one paragraph




ATIONAL ACTORS MODEL (RAM 8-TRENGTHS Graham Allison argues that in this model states indego the following process while thatpollowing this model Roblem Recognitions The problems are identified by a cential or unitary body of decision makers. Goal Selections All the available interests are taken into account and catured for. Identification of Allenatives Rationality requires that all possible alternatives are exhausted before proceeding and Cholce ? The best choke Ps then selected which the highest chance of achievering the fool a) Strengths & Drawback. It has a unitary and centralized decision making process with complete emphasis on rationality. Goal of this Ps utility maximization and decisions au pairly consistent and pudiclashe keeping in line with interest. However, this is an idealized standard, where actors in reality pours or consequentalism and bounded rationality.





RAM BETTER XPLAINS ow AKISTANS 2015 ECISION Rational Actor model br(RAM) better explains the decessions that Pahistan took. The country took the following? into consideration when making a decision. Strategic Calculus / Action Assessment of the regions 0) At the time Pahistan was embroited in the own enternal was against terror. Particularly, operation 2arb-e-A2b had been in full swing and toops on the ground were committed to bighting with the TTP. Moreauer, potential barlyash from the Iranians was also respected. Therefore, as to conserve manpower and not provoket sectarian conflect. Thes devision was taken. 6) Evaluation of all options: Limited Support or declining support Pakistan and Saudi Anabia share warm and condial relations. The latter have helped the country through various means financial diplomatic of limited An option was also considered but supported but that intailed the country getting dragged into a long warthat Pakistan hab no



getting rato: A long and hand con business of - an Optimal Choice & Declining Armed Support Request & The offimal choice, a aording to the rationalactor, model was to decline the request. Pakistans balancing alt paid of o when international cuticism led to global perception of the Saudi being tarnished. Moreaver, Paleistans neutral Stance tast the Saudiers mediate tensions between led if proportised squed and naintained and Inanis. Finally, it so national interest presources considerations. during these 2015 How Bpm CONSIDERS THE ECISIOAdd subheadings Bureawaatic Politics model or BPM considers The outcome of the 2015 Decision was based on the compromises and bourgaining of the relevant departments and the military. Foreign Appaire Ministry of The cont gracy, this on decision was Strategic level-and of the heghest rations at leadership of any way, compromiser were seen some wort of support fino 20 Support avoil gaining been put forward. Therefore, if doesnot completely explain the decision. is not consistent



ONCLUSION8 Pakistan's strategic calculus paid off in a way that it put both its strategic . interest at home and altread aread of the landis ohh nequest. It asserted "feelt on the global areana and also kept its place as a neutral obsance in the complict. The Bational actor made & consistent with the calculus and calculation performed by the country althout time whole the preseventic politics model, does not computely explain this decision. Concise your conclusion Good luck! You have got potential

