

Free Speech Should Have Limitations

Outline:-

1) Introduction

2) Modern ~~human~~ ways to express thoughts.

- Social media and its community guideline
- Electronic media's role.

3) Too much freedom of speech can cause chaos.

- Mob lynching a result of freedom of speech

- Exploitation of religious beliefs by terrorist organizations.

4) Freedom of speech can disunite people.

- Role of hate speech to disunite people

- Too much freedom of speech can hurt religious sentiments.

5) Democracy and Freedom of speech

- Collective voice of people which compels the government to bring people's friendly policies.

- Protest is the jewel of Democracy.

6) Exploitation of power by dictators and

6) Role of freedom of

6) Freedom of speech and its connection with other human right.

- Case study of North - Korea.

- Role of social media in stopping the coup in Turkiye.

7) Limitations that are ^{inevitable} necessary.

- Should not hurt emotions
- Must abide by the constitution.

Conclusion.

Essay

1 The first amendment to the
2 US constitution was "Congress shall
> make no law... abridging the
4 freedom of the speech or of the
5 press". Freedom ways in which people
> contact with each other are
continuously evolving. Humans first
invented language, then learned to
write. After that media and social
media is invented. Nowadays humans
can interact with huge masses as they
can could in the past. But one can
have ^{see} positive and bad impacts of
such techniques ~~to~~ on the society.
Like wise freedom of speech is
necessary for human community to
achieve its basic human needs.
But this liberation can have ~~so be~~
~~a~~ cause put a bad impact on
human community. So, there should
be some proper regulations which
shouldn't ^{not} disturb freedom of a person
to speak and also don't disturb

peace, unity and harmony in human community.

Nowadays, through social media a person ^{share} express his thoughts with million of users world wide. These thoughts are individual thoughts which can be shared.

According to an estimate every eight out of ten people uses social media in western countries. This creates a new online community.

But this community has some guide lines to not to evade privacy or hurt the emotions of people. Without such guide lines social media would be a place of hate rather a platform to express thoughts.

Print and electronic media is another way to express thoughts with the masses. But it also has to abide by the constitution.

of otherwise, they would face the charges. These types of media can share people's thought collectively, not individually. So, in ^{any} each case there should be a proper guideline for a platform through it can communicate with the masses.

~~Mob lynching is a dark consequence of freedom of speech if~~

Mob lynching is a dark ^{consequence} side of uncontrolled freedom of speech. Mobs can be accumulated through any type of media or by simply talking with ^{one another} each other. The perspective behind which mob is accumulated accumulates can be wrong. But certainly, due to wrong perspective of a group of people can result violence or killing. Such types of mobs are uncontrollable. Their direction of behaving can be change at any point. Mashal Khan

murder case and Joranwalla incident are examples of such uncontrolled mobs. That led towards killing and huge economical losses. Thus freedom of speech is necessary but strict regulations should have to be available to avoid such incidents.

~~Another misuse of speech is used by Terrorist organizations.~~

It is true that law cannot make a man love me, but it can keep him from lynching me. I think that's pretty important.

- Martin Luther King.

Another misused of speech is used by terrorist organization. They mostly control the loud speakers, of mosques, radio or other ways of communication in areas they hold a hold, of their

to spread infuirtion against the state. In swat "the radio mullah", Maulana Fazlullah used radio to spread hate and convey his wrong ~~messages~~ ^{messages} to the people. Such types of acts can rise violence and people among people. So a state should keep in mind of such things while making constitution about the free speech.

Apart from the acts of violence free speech can spread among hate among the masses if properly not regularized. In a country people live together having different languages, wear different clothes and comes from different cultures. Unusual criticism on someone who is not alike with the majority can cause hate among people. Such society can never flourish because every one plays a role in the society. Like wise in Pakistan where Baloch ^{is} criticized ^{by} Punjabi in Punjab.

and Punjabi is criticized in
K.P.K and Balochistan. This is
criticism is a can be widely seen
in platforms like Facebook. Thus,
it had lead towards polarization
among masses in the country.

Freedom of speech without
limitation can also hurt the
religious sentiments of people,
especially the minorities. When
people criticize about the someone's
religion, that is a breaking point
of that person. If it is done on
a large scale it can cause
disunity and some times it can
leads towards violence.

In 21st century, majority
of our countries are democratic.
Freedom of speech plays an
important role in the
democratic process of a country.
Without freedom of speech

a country cannot be called democratic. In fact it is the freedom of speech which compels governments to make decisions according to the will of people. If an issue is not according to the will of people, people can criticize ~~and~~ about it. Criticism can be done by opposition parties or by the common man itself.

A democratic government is incomplete without an opposition. whose main job is to criticize ~~decisions~~ government's decision which are not in the favour of common man, so, criticism is also inevitable for a nation to progress.

some

When these decisions are not according to the will ~~power~~ of people. it can lead towards protests. Protests are large scale expression of thoughts which demonstrates something is not according to the will of people. When large people gathers

to protest it put larger impact
on the other people that something
is going wrong. Larger the protest
is larger will be the impact.

"I disapprove what you say
but I will defend to death
your right to say it"
- Voltaire.

whom we talk about
freedom of speech -

It is important to mention
to of the link of Freedom of
speech with other basic human society.
Ex. In North Korea the people are
not allowed to express what they
want. They are even banned to
use communication platforms like
social media. Due to which

the dictator Kim Jon un do what he wants. No one can criticize his government ~~due to~~ which prolongs his governments. Without giving the people a right to speak he is taking other important human rights from the people. So, freedom to speak is a must to ~~to~~ which assures other human right.

If an example is needed how social platforms of speech can ^{ensure} give the best answer would be the military coupe of Turkey. When at night Turkey's military went to take control of its capital it was through social media through which people came to know about such thing. Otherwise military would have taken the control of Istanbul.

So, human +

So, freedom of speech is necessary for the people to achieve basic human rights. ~~But every thing should be controlled.~~

But excess of anything is bad.

Freedom of speech should be in such manner that it should not hurt emotions of people which cause disunity. People should learn to respect other people's class, colour and creed.

Secondly, criticism should abide the law of the state. Breaking a ~~could~~ ~~can~~ ~~cause~~ laws can cause violence and other can put a bad impact on country's economy as well. Every citizen must learn to ~~remain~~ ^{act} ^(according to) the rule book i.e. constitution. Otherwise strict actions should be taken.

In conclusion, Freedom of speech is necessary for a country to ~~to~~ create a proper atmosphere for people to live. It is inevitable to ensure other human rights within the country. But sometimes it can lead towards violence and disunity. So, there should be limitations ^{on} for freedom of speech which can hurt to religious sentiments and can cause disunity and violence.