عروج و زوال هر قوم کی داستان ہے۔مگر اس کےلیے احساس زیاں اور قومی حمیت ضروری ہیں۔دوسری جنگ عظیم کے بعد جاپان پر سخت آزمانش کی گھڑی تھی۔ ایٹم بم کی تبابی نے دو شہر پہلے بی اجاڑ دیے تھے۔ مگر جاپانیوں کے لیے اس سے بھی بڑا المیہ ایک بادشاہ کی تذلیل تھی۔ جنرل میک آرتھر کا بتک آمیز رویہ جو اس نے بادشاہ کے ساتھ اپنایا تھا، نے جاپانیوں کے اندر بدلے کی چنگاری کو بوا دی۔ میدان جنگ میں نہ صحیح،جاپان نے بر دوسرے شعبے میں امریکا کو مات دینا شروع کر دی، بالآخر چند ہی دہانیوں میں یہی قوم اپنا کھویا ہوا مقام دوبارہ حاصل کرنے میں کامیاب بو گئے۔ اس داستان میں پاکستان کےلیے بہت بڑا سبق ہے۔

anslation realization of loss and national rage started detec translate word to word 5/10

Q. 3 Read the following passage carefully and answer the questions that follow.

(20)

The classic example of fallacy is a scene in a British court of law. As the attorney for the defense takes the floor, his partner hands him a note: "No case. Abuse the plaintiff's attorney."

If you can't shake the argument, abuse the person who advances it, and so discredit it through the back door. Go from facing the issue, which jurists call ad rem, to the man, ad hominem.

A story is told about Lincoln as a young lawyer. In one of his first jury cases, he showed his political shrewdness by an adroit and quite non-malicious use of ad hominem. His opponent was an experienced trial lawyer, who also had most of the fine legal points on his side. The day was warm and Lincoln slumped in his chair as the case went against him. When the orator took off his coat and vest, however, Lincoln sat up with a gleam in his eye. His opponent was wearing one of the new city-slicker shirts of the 1840's, which buttoned up the back.

Lincoln knew the reaction of frontiersmen, who made up the jury. When his turn came, his plea was brief: "Gentlemen of the jury, because I have justice on my side, I am sure you will not be influenced by this gentleman's pretended knowledge of the law. Why, 'he doesn't even know which side of his shirt ought to be in front!"

Lincoln's ad hominem is said to have won the case.

This fallacy, like over-generalizing, has been around for a long time. The Sophists must have used it freely, and I suspect it goes back to the dawn of the race.

The health of President Eisenhower was an important consideration in the nominations of 1956. Was he well enough to serve out another four years in the toughest job in the world? Similarly with Franklin Roosevelt in 1944. But when the enemies of Roosevelt charged that a given government policy was wrong because it originated with "that cripple in the White House," they were practicing a particularly vicious kind of ad hominem.

OUESTIONS:

Q1. After reading this selection, do you have a clear idea of what 'ad hominem' means?

- Q2. How did Lincoln succeed in convincing the jury?
- Q3. How was Lincoln tactic 'non-malicious'? In view of the result, does it matter whether the tactic was malicious or not?
- Q4. What risk did Lincoln take by using ad hominem? If you had been an opposing lawyer, how might you have countered Lincoln's move?
- Q5. Write the meanings of the following words: a) fallacy b) gleam c) plaintiff d) cripple e) vicious.

Date: / /20 Comprehension ALLE Ad hominem means to prove som wrong without any solid arguments. First a person is mable to defend language then this incorrect read the passage against a weak point rather than any fact arguments to counter his opponent. 2= Lincolon succeeded in convincing the jun with the use of ad nominen He convinced jury by saying that my opponent lawyer had no idea regarding the front and back side shirt then how could his arrigine be considered right and worthwhile. In this way, he convinced the jury. A=3= The Eactic of Lincolon was non-malium because he justed wanted harming anyone. his apparent footness to prove him and irresponsible lawyer. u inview of results it does no whether the tactic used to opponent was malicious

hominem was the opponent up the back. uttoned nim ignorant and lacked knowledge about how w the complexities of Knowledge attend to pointed out mistakes need improvement over all 7.20 shining brightly to fragile, stambling bad intentions and plaintiff is the one who brings the suit