Title: Critical Analysis of Lawrence's ideology. indent the para give space in 1st line Lord Russel disagrees with the palitical philosophy of D.H. Lawrence. Lawrence beleived in fascist ideologies. He said that people can chose their immediali supervisions but they don't have the try to elect the executive super-Head of the state must have abcolute powers, like a King. Russel contraises these ideas and calls them unrealistic. the sage that Lawrence would never publish his words in a book or express them loudly in public of the would condemn the state of affairs in world but upuldn't de anything to improve them. Russel Gijal hat Lawrence's rolear, at best's can produce a devoted group of folkowers; otherwise, They are overly imaginative and furile. main idea is picked and discussed over all basic are fine be precis and to the point Total words = 336 work on presentation plz write short title and give suggested Kindly Evaluate and give maroks out of 20. titles at the end too 8/20

anways or ships are doing caped years later.

## , Practice Exercise

I was a firm believer in democracy, whereas he (D. H. Lawrence) had developed the whole philosophy of Fascism before the politicians had thought of it. "I don't the whole provention of the politicians had thought of it. "I don't believe,-"he wrote, "in democratic control. I think the working man is fit to elect governors or overseers for his immediate circumstances, but for no more. You must utterly revise the electorate: The working man shall elect superiors for the things that concern him immediately, no more. From the other classes, as they rise, shall be elected the higher governors. The thing must culminate in one real head, as every organic thing must-no foolish republics with no foolish presidents, but an elected king, something like Julius Caesar," He, of a course, in his imagination, supposed that when a dictatorship was established he would be the Julius Caesar. This was the part of the dream-like quality of all his thinking. He never let himself bump into reality. He would go into long tirades about how one must proclaim "the truth" to the multitude, and he seemed to have no doubt that multitude would listen. Would he put his political philosophy into a book? No in our corrupt society the written word is always a lie. Would he go in Hyde Parkand proclaim "the Truth" from a soap box? No: That would be far too dangerous odd streaks of prudence emerged in him from time to time). Well, I said, what would you do? At this point he would change the subject Gradually I discovered that he had no real wish to make the world better, but only to indulge in eloquent Soliloquy about how hard it was. If anybody heard the soliloquies so much the better, but they were designed at most to produce a little faithful band of disciples who could sit in the deserts of New Mexico and feel holy. All this was conveyed to me in the language of a Fascist dictator as what I must preach, the "must" having thirteen underlining." (Lord Russell)

Practice Exercise

atemporary man is to discover how to use his training of human