

Topic

"World Peace is a
Choice of Superpowers"

Outline

Keep writing

1- Introduction

Thesis Statement: The peace of the whole world has been assured and deteriorated many times. Superpowers have played a major role in disturbing world peace. It is still in doldrums because they are still playing the role in geo-strategic arena through soft-power.

2. Importance of World Peace

3. Peace in the world is not a choice of Superpowers (Theor)

a. British colonialism and imperialism disrupted peace

b. Role of US in world

in spreading chaos. ✓

c- Leading role of Superpowers
in cold war ✓

d- Voracity of resurgence has
shaken peace ✓

e- Recent role of Superpowers
in escalation of Palestine conflict ✓

4. Peace is a choice of Superpowers (Antithesis)

The Britain secured peace by
accepting new states ✓

Superpowers role in securing
peace through non-proliferation ✓

Established peace by stopping
the expansion of USSR ✓

Mediative role in resolving
conflict for peace ✓

Role of Superpowers in de-escalation
peace through treaties ✓

5. Despite playing role in
World peace, Superpowers
do not consider World
peace for their own interests
(Synthesis) ✓

- a. Britain aggravates peace dilemma after ending colonialism & imperialism
- b. US in double jeopardy and disrupting peace through defense pacts
- c. Deteriorated peace by superpowers to maintain their status - win
- d. Secured peace as mediator for their own selfish interests
- e. Aggravating Palestine conflict as permanent members in UNSC.

6- Conclusion

Essay

According to Robert Fulghum, "World peace is not something you wish for, it is something you make, something you are, something you do and something you give away." It implies that how much important the world peace and highlights its core value and

its dimensions. World peace is all about the genuineness and purity of all and it is not the responsibility of a particular nation or a group of nations. The peace of the whole world has been assured and deteriorated many times. Superpowers have played a major role in disturbing world peace. It is still in doldrums because they are still playing the role in geo-strategic arena through soft power. The nature of peace varies according to Superpowers' perception of peace and stability. The peace of the whole world was affected by Superpowers' hegemonic nature and voracious intention to captivate

captivate the whole world
 through imperialism and
 colonialism. The belligerent
 role of US in WWII,
 saga of its rivalry with
 its arch-rival "Russia" in
 cold war and in response
 Russia's desire to reemerge
 in the whole world are
 the main determinants
 to affect peace globally.
 Recently, role of superpower in
 escalating the Palestine
 conflict is the recent optic
 of the herve. Superpowers
 have also maintained peace
 in a number of ways
 as the British accepted
 the emergence of other
 new states, made non-proliferation
 regime and stopped the
 the expansion of Russia
 by US. Various major treaties
 and pacts have also

Structurally not correct

been infiltrated by these Superpowers and their positive role in diplomacy is also the part of history. Despite all these efforts in ensuring peace, these hegemonic powers have always disrupted peace also. As the role of British in creating and making Germany a stigma, their cunning roles in defense sector and for maintaining their status and hegemonic power, they did not care for peace. The diplomatic relations are also encircled their personal interests. And last but not the least, Superpowers are playing the leading roles in international institutions as in United Nations Security Council.

The nature of peace varies from state to state. Peace is very important and diversified at the same time. It is very important for the stability and proper development of the world because the conflict of any kind in any part of the world shakes the roots of earth globally and disturbs the stability overall. The peace is like allowing the flow of things in a region according to the will and writ of the sovereign of that region. But with the passage of time, whereas the nature of peace changes, its importance also increases and

and it becomes more crucial
 for the existence and
 survival. A conflict in one
 corner disrupts the peace
 globally. As Russia-Ukraine
 war was the reason
 of the price-hike in
 the whole world. In a
 nutshell, peace of the world
 is the part and parcel
 of the maintenance and
 guarantee of survival.
 Peace in the world
 is not a choice of
 superpowers, ~~and~~ as history
 is replete with such cases.
 and the era of colonialism
 and imperialism of the
 British is one of such
 evidences. The epoch of
 their brutal hegemony was like
 never-ending ~~sun~~ and
 never-setting sun because
 their colonialism and imperialism

encompassed many parts of the world like Africa and ~~Asia~~. They usurped cruelly all the rights and resources of other countries for their own benefits either by the use of military force or cunning way. As in sub-continent, the British came with ^{patent} intention of trade and then ruled there for almost ninety years. So, their era of such anarchy disrupted the peace of the world.

Superpowers also disrupted peace and its hallmark was the role of US in WWII. The World War II was the turning point and the most tyrannical event of history. At that time, the world order was

was in the hands of US because it was the Superpower. The US played a mammoth character in disrupting peace which was already scattering in that war, ~~and~~ but it hammered the last nail in the coffin of peace. As the US exploded two nuclear bombs in Japan which resulted in many casualties and injuries and spreaded chaos globally. Hence, US played a havoc role in disrupting peace of the world.

The never-ending miasma of Cold-war also shooked the the tectonic plates of the peace. The Cold war was fought between two arch-rivals i.e Russia and USA. It was fought

because both of them avoided direct conflict due to mutually assured destruction. It has disrupted the global peace when both tried to make its rival weak by various tactics. For example, when Russia started its expansion towards eastward, the US used Pakistan to stop it through mujahideen, and Afghanistan became the place of their rivalry for many years and disturbed stability. So, Cold war's era was marked as an era of escalation of conflicts and shaken world peace.

The desire of resurgence by Russia has also affected peace recently.

The resurgence of Russia is the hot-topic of

of global politics. It started its resurgence many years ago in 2014 when it annexed Crimea. The thirst and voracity of emergence again has deventomised the maintenance and flow of peace. The resurgence of the polar bear has become the optics of the nerve a few years back which was shown by Vladimir Putin's move. As in Feb 24, 2022 Russia invaded Ukraine which resulted in global price hike especially the world has faced fuel price hike overall. In short, the rise of resurgence have also played role by superpowers in disrupting peace which can be seen even now. The superpower, 'USA's

role in escalating the Palestine conflict is the recent attempt in affecting peace. The on-going conflict in Palestine is showing the selfish role of superpower "US" very clearly. Palestine has become a place of bloodshed and here and there. Many countries and international institutions have tried to de-escalate the conflict in many ways but US has become the shield of Palestine in every matter. As when the conflict started in last year October 2023, the US gave statement globally that the US was supporting Israel and was always on her back. Many International institutions are trying to stop this

dilemma by passing resolutions and diplomatic tactics but US is the main hinderance. For example, UN has passed resolution to de-escalate the on-going Palestine conflict but US has vetoed because of being permanent member. So, US is behind the disturbance of peace in ~~and~~ Palestine and in turn overall.

Henceforth, some opine that superpowers have not disrupted peace of the world, rather they have played a positive role in peace making. According to them, the British maintained and established peace of the whole world by accepting the emergence of new states. The British history is replete with

many diplomatic strategies to end their own colonial and imperial era in various parts of the world. Many states started revolting and struggling for their rights and self-rule. There was a miasma of rebellion and hue and cry and in order to save their own powerful nature, the British accepted new emerging states. Just like in sub-continent, the Muslims started their movement of a separate homeland i.e. Pakistan due to the British hypocritic policies which led the British to accept the emergence of Pakistan and they peacefully transferred to the two dominions of

Sub-continent, India and Pakistan. So, the British has played a role in establishing peace of the world.

Another point which they give in favor of Superpowers is US's role in non-proliferation and disarmament of after WWII.

Those who believe that US has played a brutal role in WWII, deny the fact that it was US who

played a leading role in disarmament and non-proliferation to make peace. After WWII,

many countries got involve in nuclear race to excel each other and became

nuclear powers one after the other which was

certainly the existential threat in case of direct conflict of two rivals.

The US stepped forward to stop this race and maintained peace. As, the US based organized initiated the Non-proliferation Treaty between 1965 to 1985 which slowed down the nuclear race. Thus, the US was the one who maintained peace at that time.

Another step which Superpowers has taken to secure peace of the world is to stop the expansion of Russia. If some believe that the cold war left the miasma which will never end by the Superpowers, but they do not realize the fact that it was again a Superpower who stopped the expansion of Russia towards eastward. If Russia

had been expanded, the situation of the world would have completely changed. In fact, there would be only means and means because it would demolished many states. The Superpowers came forward and especially it was US who warned Russia to go ahead Afghanistan and kept them confine to only that area. In this way, the US was the country who retaliated and stopped expansion of Russia in cold war era.

The argument that the voracity of resurgence disrupted peace globally, overlooks the diplomatic role of Russia to assure peace in the past. Russia's role in

peace making has always been on point in many states. Pakistan and India were fighting a deadly war against each other which was disturbing the stability of the world and particularly peace in South Asia due to their arch-rivalry. It was the need of the hour to negotiate a ceasefire. As Russia came out and negotiated a peace treaty between Pakistan and India and declared the ceasefire was declared. In short, Russia's diplomacy was proved a major turn in securing world peace. Another argument who think that Superpowers has secured and are securing

the World peace give weight to the various treaties and negotiations ~~initiated~~ initiated by them. They think that many major treaties were initiated by the superpowers. The world order has always been in a doldrum in one way or the other. The US ~~is~~, in fact, backed many major treaties, its the Treaty of Versailles was initiated by US which ended World War II and established world peace. Pakistan and India was also in conflict over Kashmir after its emergence to resolve it. Again it was US who brought both countries on the plebiscite observation recommended by UN. So, the US also secured the

World peace overall. All the above mentioned arguments given by the supporters of Superpowers do not hold water due to one reason or another. For example, seeing to the evidence provided by the supporters of Superpowers regarding the acceptance of new states by the British to secure peace, one may observe that their argument is not valid. The British disrupted the world peace and gave it a new dimension after ending her long colonialistic and imperialistic regime. As the partition plan was announced in sub-continent on 3 June, 1947 which was the real bone of contention. The

British viceroy Lord Mountbatten announced early power transfer in the same year which resulted in the unfair demarcation of boundaries between India and Pakistan and both countries are still in clash over Kashmir which is an undecided issue. So, the British disrupted peace of the world in a new way.

Those who table an argument in favour of Superpowers role in peace and say that it de-escalated non-proliferation, are highly mistaken because the US is playing a double jeopardy role in matters of defense agreement. The US is doing and has done many defense

agreements with other States and thus violating the treaties, and is playing a role in nuclear race.

From 2009 onwards, US and India's ties are getting strong in defense department, and India is ~~benefitting~~ taking advantage of US nuclear technologies.

In 2016, US & India signed a defense agreement LEMOA which is a proof of US's double role in global politics. It means that Superpowers' choice of world peace has always been disrupting.

As far as the opposition of cold war miasma is concerned, Superpowers did everything in it to maintain their

own status quo and hegemonic nature. The cold war started with idea to kick the enemy in any way and in any form of race. The US stopped the expansion of Russia to keep the world order in his own hand and contained her in a certain place to learn her a lesson. It was US's hegemonic nature that urged her to persuade Pakistan to send Mujahideen to help Afghan Taliban because there was no other country who ~~can~~ could stand by US to maintain ^{its power} and contain Russia. Thus, all was done with an intention to maintain unipolarity.

Those who opine that Superpowers' role in diplomacy was always on point, are confused and need to understand international relations carefully with a great insight. The arena of international relations is filled with very selfish interests, not with global peace concerns. They provide the evidence that Soviet Union played a role in de-escalation Pakistan and India's 1965 war does not make such sense because behind every negotiation and meditative role, there is always, always some personal and selfish interests which led States to initiate them. As if we

See closely in geography of these countries, we came to know that the India is border with Pakistan and Russia. ~~and~~ If a country's two neighbors are in war, it is a direct threat of existence. So, Soviet Union did mediation due to her own interests. Hence, superpowers have affected peace negatively. And last but not the least argument which they present to counter US role in Palestine conflict and defend it by saying that US has played a mammoth role in securing world peace through initiating various treaties is only a one-sided optic of the issue. In fact, all the superpowers are

influencing the whole world by holding prominent positions in international organizations. Particularly in United Nations Security Council, five permanent members are superpowers and it is enough to turn the tables anytime and anywhere. The UN has passed resolutions recently to assure ceasefire in Palestine but US and UK vetoed which resulted in aggravating the conflict. In a nutshell, world peace has not been the choice of superpowers and has affected the peace in a derogatory sense.

To conclude, it can be easily said that world peace is not

choice of superpowers. It is considerable to some extent that they tried to play a role in assuring it but they did just because of their own self-interests. The world is still facing many dilemmas and it is need of the hour to take initiative by superpowers because they must and can ensure peace globally by playing a positive role. After every dark night, there is always a bright day and world peace can be maintained and assured very easily ~~and~~ by playing role of every nation or a group of nations because with each drop of water, an ocean is made.