Differentiate between Washington consensus and Beiging Consensus. Is Beiging Consensus a challenge to Washington comensus? AKEWER: 1. UNTRODUCTION Washington consensus is a policy socument ordheres to mostly by the western-bloc or, more generally. The First world Countries. It represents a pure culmination of liberal economic tradition. Post-cold war era witnesses bouts of optimism and victory of liberalism against a socialist ideology. With the US left at the helm in a unitedar world order, a consensus as a blueprint for economic Sevelopment of other countries was hammeres out which uphels western values one ideologism. At the turn of a new millenium, a new policy socument sought to challenge the Western-centric " Weshington-knows-best" sort of consensus. The new consensus, namely Beijing consensus, countered monopoly of the former and envisaged a new economic order. At present, in the context of the United States and the People's Republic of China (PRC) representing two poles in the global readjustment of center of gravity, it is vital to compare the two visits and evaluate whether Beijing Consensus will displace. or worst, replace - Washington Consensus. 2. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO CONSENSUS I. GDP or Quality of Life: what defines Sevelopment metric? Washington Consensus (WC): Washington Consensus as given

out in the same	by John Williamson, envisages Gross. Domestic Product (GOP)	
	as a sole parameter defining development of a state.	
	In fact, GDP Joes not insicate a quality of life:	
	higher GDP Joes not translate as a better standard of	1
	Living. According to the United Nations Desetopment	
	Program, Sevelopment "aims at the constant improvement	
	of the well-being of the entire population and of all	
	indivisual." (The UN Declaration on the Right to Development)	
The second second	The one personal state of the s	
	Beijing Consensus (BC): Beijing Consensus views economic	
	growth as a secondary measure. First privaity of every	
and the same of th	economic agenda must be the citizens of the state. This	
	mose of sevelopment couls be seen in action when	
1	PRC liftes over 800 million people out of extreme	-
	proverty since the Late 1970s. or has been the largest	
1000-1	global resuction in inequality in modern history.	
	you want to have a second to have a seco	
9	II. Universality 'us' Localisation of Development Models	
- Calor	Mosels	
****	WC: It views liberal agendas as universally applicable.	1
-	In this perspective more than anything else, hegemonic	
7	designs are manifest the most. Through what Ha-Joon	
	Change calls "Unholy Trimity" of JMF, WB and WTO,	
	the us-les bloc is involved in enforcing its neo-liberal	
1 1 2	agensa. In this parlance, WC has more than asvisory	
-	role: tyrannical, as it is	
	7 00 20	
	BC: While Beijing is not arosse to liberalism outsight.	
	it supports factoring policy as national context	-
	Semans. Free-market is not me ans but it can be	
	ens-goal. Paiority should be given to national resilience.	-
	jens-goni. 1	

The first blow to the Washington Consensus

was the 1997 Agian Crisis In a Somino effect, a

	Date
C	ontagion of falling currency gapped East and Southeast
A	sian nations, starting with "Thailand when WC's"
A	ecommenses shocks were administered it only worsened
IT	he crisis into a catastrophe.
	b. 2008 Global Financial Crisis
	Beijing Consensus game? currency in the wake
-	of 2008 Financial Crunch It began when Lehman
1	prothers files for bankrupty which sent recessionary
-(wowes across the globe. One of its main causes was
1	The chief underpinning of the Washington Consensus:
	the thee wiser wining of the head of lawer force
	Deregulation of economy at the head of larissez-faire
	Loctoine.
	- how Commented to solve
75	II. Beijing Consensus offers acutely needed afternative to neo-liberation driven governance
	affernative to neo-workallism somen sovernance
	Colin Provide as island the sold and some
	Countries heavily saddles with debts and faces
-	with an inevitable liberalism, acutely need an new
-	ans pairer global level-playing field. Every this
-	world country has knocked doors of IMF due to
-	recessionary and inflationary pressures effecting a
1	Livide between the First and This works economies.
-	The escape is offered by Beijing Consensus which
+	seeks for a touly horizontal and non-discuminatory
+	map of the globe.
1	- A4 - A:
1	II. Attactivent of Beijing Consensus model
1	due to its narrative of self-determination.
1	
	Beiging Consensus Soes not seek to impose

	Date	
	self-seleminstion in choosing economic or political models	
	of governance, in stack contast of wie continging	
	loans and aids on somestic policy reforms.	
	4. CONCLUSION:	
	The position asoptes in this sis course can	
	be summed up in the following way: there are	
	a number of Jeep Jivisions between the two	
	consensus onot only on economia, but also political	
	Lines. Also, in this aftermalt of 2008 Global	
	Financial Crisis, Washington Consensus is in	
	shress but not completely sureplaces, not	
and the second	even Simplaces, by the Beijing Comensus while	
	still awaits this fest of time, something this former	
	has faces miserably at.	
	Good	
	Highlight imp jargons	
	Add flowcharts and	100
	references from books	
	Application of theories	is
	must	
		-
		1