

# World Peace is Choice of Super Powers

## Outline

### I. Introduction:

The ever changing dynamics of the world have sparked a debate if the peace of the world is choice of super powers. In reality, the world peace is choice of super powers. However, for their own interests, they put the world into chaotic situation.

### II. The world peace is not choice of super powers - the counter argument:

A. Extremism in the third that is responsible for chaos across the world.

B. Israel-Hamas conflict which has brought the world into quagmire of restlessness.

C. Lack of education in the developing countries - a cause

of turmoil

III. The world peace is a choice of super powers -

A. The US which can unilaterally stop ~~middle~~ the Middle East crisis - let alone Hamas-Israel conflict

B. Can avoid containment policies

C. Can stop export of lethal weapons

Do not start every argument with the same word

That looks monotonous

D. Can stop creation of AI integrated weapons

E. Can lead the world towards economic interdependency

F. Can bring out the developing countries from poverty that is a cause of chaos

G. Can resolve Ukraine-Russia war

H. Can resolve territorial disputes in the third world

I. Can stop using media to attain ~~press~~ their interests

that has always repercussions on the world ✓

IV. Incomplete efforts that have been done by super powers to bring peace:

I. The establishment of the UN ✓

II. China's peace policies towards the world ✓

V. Conclusion:

The world peace is a choice of super powers. However, for their own interests, they compromise world peace, making ineffective the existing organisations that sole purpose is to bring peace in the world. ✓

---

## Essay

The ever-changing dynamics of the world have sparked a debate if the world peace is a choice of super powers. Consequently, two school of thoughts have emerged. One school of thought has claimed that the world peace is not choice of super powers by arguing that poverty in the third world, Hamas-Israel conflicts and lack of educational development in developing countries are responsible for anarchic situation of the world. However, this notion is misguided. No doubt, these are the trigger points of global chaos, but the super powers can ~~stop~~ bring peace in the world by resolving the issues of the third world, and stopping containment policies, and export of lethal weapons to the world. Also, super powers can bring

peace in the world, as they can resolve Hamas-Israel conflict, territorial disputes in the third world and stop using media for their own interests that has grave repercussions on the world. Thus, the world peace is a choice of super powers and those who claims otherwise is a flawed argument.

Those who claim that world peace is not choice of the super powers have been arguing that extremism in the third world is responsible for the global chaos. However, this is wrong. The triggering point of extremism is poverty. Many third world countries have already come out from poverty by boosting their economies. For instance, India has become the world fifth largest

with help of economic ties with super powers,  
Russia, the US and China.  
economy. Thus, the third world is emerging  
from poverty and extremism will  
automatically control. So, those who  
claim world peace is not choice of  
super power is a flawed argument.

Those who claim that world peace  
is not choice of super power just they  
argue that Hamas-Israel conflicts  
has led the world ~~to~~ towards the  
third world war. ~~However, this~~ and  
put the world into quagmire of  
restlessness. However, this is wrong  
notion, as the US unilaterally stop  
this conflict. To bring truce in  
Hamas-Israel conflict, a resolution  
on the UNSC has proven, but the  
US vetoed it. If the US had not  
vetoed the resolution, the truce would  
have been achieved so far. Thus,  
the super powers have choice  
of world peace and those who  
claim otherwise is a flawed  
argument.

Additionally, those who claim that the ~~third~~ world peace is not choice of super powers are providing an evidence that <sup>the</sup> lack of educational development in the third world brings anarchy across the world. This is wrong. No doubt, illiterate mind can easily mold by terrorists factions to strengthen their toward objectives, but the reason behind lack of illiteracy in a country is that a country spend more GDP on military rather than education. Consequently, the literacy rate decline. For instance, Pakistan spend 9.6% of GDP on military and 1.9% on education (World Bank). As a result, the more spend on military, the more dem impact from super powers. If the super powers stop to export

arms, then countries like India Pakistan etc. would spend more on education and literacy rate would increase. by leading the world towards peace. Thus, peace of world is not choice of super is a flawed argument.

The aforementioned evidences proved that world peace is not a choice of super powers in an illogical notion, and world peace is choice of super powers is a reality.

World peace is a choice of super powers that the US unilaterally bring peace in middle Est by negotiating Iran and bringing Azure in Hamas conflict. In Syria, rebel groups against Bashar al Assad government have been supported by Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabia buys weapons from the US. The flip side is that Iran support ~~Bashar~~ Bashar al-Assad government and

take military help from Russia.  
Iran and Saudi Arabia engaged  
in Yemen and Bahrain as well.  
If the US stopped the arm  
export to the KSA, and negotiated  
with Iran, the Middle East  
can come out of the conflict  
zone. Also, the US can bring peace  
to Hamas Israel conflict by stopping  
military help to Israel and  
not vetoing the resolution. Thus,  
the world peace is choice  
of super powers.

One of the reasons that  
the world has not yet wit-  
nessed peace is that the  
containment policies of the  
super powers. Russia, being  
a revisionist power, is challenging  
the status quo of the ~~US~~  
US. China is expanding econo-  
mically. Consequently,  
the US making strategic alliances

To ~~contain~~ contain China and Russia. The US support Ukraine military instead of bringing both parties on the platform of negotiation to defeat Russia. Also, the US provoking Taiwan against China - "If China invaded Taiwan, the US would military help Taiwan (the US President, Geo Biden). If the US did not provoke the Taiwan avoiding strategic alliance, and help Ukraine military to defeat Russia, the world peace would be achieved. Thus, the world peace is a choice of the US in particular and the super powers in general.

Additionally, the world is not witnessing peace so far as ~~the~~ a result of arm export of super powers. Russia has been exporting weapons to India. Pakistan has got J-10C jet from China.

India got Rafale from the US which has edge over F16 fighter. Consequently, the South Asia has been a marsh of impacting <sup>the weapons</sup> and balancing the power of rivalry countries. Thus, super powers are engaging developing countries in arm race by posing threat to the world peace. If the super power stopped arm race, the South Asia would achieve peace in every domain. Thus, peace is a choice of super powers.

The turmoil across the world because many countries are not economically interdependent. Interdependency leads to peace. For instance, Germany and the UK were at logger-head in past. However, they have built cordial relations

by engaging themselves ~~in~~ <sup>economically</sup>.  
Thus, those countries which are  
currently at loggerhead can  
build cordial relations by  
looking into trade agreements with  
the mediation of super powers.  
Super powers can mediate trade  
deal between rival countries  
through harsh policies of  
sanctions if they will ~~is~~ <sup>do</sup>  
bring peace in the world. Thus,  
world peace is a choice of super  
powers.

Furthermore, poverty is also  
leading the world towards peaceless  
ness. Poverty makes a person terrorist,  
~~that~~ poverty makes a person thief,  
and poverty makes a person greedy.  
Consequently, such a person, who  
have been suffering from poverty,  
is being used by a state to  
fight proxy war. That is exactly  
happening in the South. However,

super powers has potential to address these ~~grievances~~ grievances of the South. For instance, super power can provide soft loans for socioeconomic development of developing countries. Consequently, these countries ~~can~~ could address the problem of poverty, which is a cause of global turmoil. Thus, the super powers have a choice of world peace.

Russia-Ukraine war that has posed a significant challenge to the world peace can be settled down with the efforts of super powers, the US, ~~the UK~~, China, Germany, France etc. These countries can stop and achieve truce by bringing both parties on negotiation table. The US and Europe have been supporting Ukraine.

military that further exacerbate the world peace to defeat Russia. So, it is in dire need and these super powers has potential to ~~brought~~ bring both parties on the platform of negotiation by stopping exporting arm to Ukraine for their own interest. Thus, the world peace is a choice of super powers.

The West uses Western media for the attainment of their interests. These media channels only show one side of coin which is in favour of the West. For example, the BBC and CNN has not been covering ~~causalities~~ casualties of ~~Hamas~~ and Palestinians. Also, they do not highlight how many ~~Red~~ Indian have been killed in the US due to gun culture but they highlight Mensa Ameen case for months. Such bias media

creates hatred toward the South and vice versa. Consequently, the world is in the quagmire of peaceless society. Thus, the super powers have a choice to bring peace in the world avoiding the use of media for their interests.

The aforementioned evidences proved that world peace is a choice of super powers. However, super powers have so far done incomplete efforts to bring peace in the world.

The creation of the UN is a significant achievement of the super powers to bring peace in the world. However, the veto powers make the organisation an undemocratic platform and proves the incomplete efforts.

of the super powers to bring peace, as veto power has been used ~~for~~ a a tool of super power foreign policy. Thus, the super powers intentionally introduce the veto power for the attainment of their interests. So, the creation of the UN is incomplete efforts of super powers.

China peace policy towards Russia-Ukraine war is a significant achievement. However, this policy could address the both party grievances. That is why it has proved not effective. Thus, China being one of the super power cannot address the Russia-Ukraine war because the other super powers are not willing to address this issue.

In not shell, the peace

of the world is a choice of super power as they have potential to address extremism in the developing countries and elimination of poverty from the South. Also, they can prevent the arm race in globe. Those who claim that world is in situation of chaos due to extremism and illiteracy is a wrong notion. Thus, the world peace is a choice of super powers and those who claim otherwise is an illogical notion. However, the world would see peace and prosperity one day as result of collective efforts of all nations.

Work on grammar mistakes and maturity of arguments