

Science is not compatible with religion.

1. Introduction:

Thesis Statement; Indeed, Science and Religion are not compatible with each other. The very fundamentals of these two are contrary. However, some scholars have made a veiled attempt to intertwine them.

2. An insight to incompatibility between Science and Religion

3. Historical evolution of Science and Religion.

4. Conflict theories of Science and Religion:

- Science and Religion; reason vs belief.
- Science is manmade and Religion is divine or natural.
- Science is objective while Religion is subjective.

5. The incompatible nature of Science and Religion:

- Competition between atheist and theist.
- Science erodes values and ^{Religion} Science replication.
- Science rejects intuitionism and Religion welcomes it.

b. possible indifferent grounds of Science and religion.

a. Life could be possible without science but incomplete without religion.

b. Religion induces peace and science imposes chaos.

c. ~~Science~~ Scientific predictions could be wrong but not that of religion.

7. The believers of compatibility between science and religion.

a. Science and religion are in the same addressing different domains.

b. Ahamksha type and arguments of moderation.

c. Theist Scientists: Galileo Galilei, ~~James Watson~~ and Sir Francis Bacon.

8. Conclusion:

Numerous scholars claim that science begins where religion ends. which manifests that they are not compatible with each other. As far as the modern research is concerned, it is not possible for anyone to bring close together these two extremes. And they never came close together in the previous history. The first and foremost reason is the conflict theory, which means that the teachings and functions of science and religion are always been in conflict. It includes its nature and subject matter. Their very way of dealing things are totally different. Moreover, the nature of science and religion is also contrary i.e., it is a competition between super-natural and real. Science encapsulates real things while religion is the exponent of beliefs and supernaturalism. Furthermore, there are a few more possible differences of science and religion i.e., science erodes values and religion replicates it and bestows hope. Science is based on hypothesis and observation which could be mistaken, but there is no such things in religion. Religion has its fix rules which are not prone to mistakes. However, some scholars have made an attempt to intertwine these two extremes and they put forward this argument that certain scientists were theist and they stuck to their religions along with science.

Incompatibility represents the clash and conflict of ideas. Here in this context, science is not compatible with religion, means that the innovations and developments that are taking place each day, is contrary to the religious beliefs. More simply, they are entirely in contrast to each other. Retrospectively, almost all the scientists are atheist and they credited rational thinking while beliefs on religion for them is reprobated. According to Pew research conducted in 2022, the divorce between state and church in the medieval age of Europe took place because of the impracticality of the church. which means that, state evolves on realism and needs real approach rather an imaginary approach which the church uses. therefore, this example and numerous more show that science is not compatible with religion.

The evolution of science is marked by numberless ups and downs. In fact, the reason of ups and downs were its conflict with religion. The conflict came when Charles Darwin presented his philosophy of biological evolution and spread a wave of atheism among the people. Science flourishes when go apart from religion and becomes dominant.

When comes close to religion. For instance, with the advent of Renaissance in Europe, science and political state parted their ways from church. And in few decades following the Renaissance, science revolutionized. In contemporary world, there is complete break up between religion and science which is the reason that science prospers beyond and bounds.

The first reason of incompatibility between science and religion is that science seeks rational ground for happenings in the world. It attempts to offer an analytical solution to the problems and issues. While religion is a set of beliefs in which reason and rationale work very limited. Or else there is no room for reason in religion. The concept starts from the enlightenment era of Europe when the church barred all those developments which were contrasting religion.

The clerics and popes emphasized that the religious beliefs are not concrete and they always deal with imagination and abstractness. The conflict started and after a century or so, science prevailed and superseded religion.

Similarly, science ~~studies~~ is manmade and artificial while religion is divine and natural.

And it is almost impossible to put in competition two extremes which are ultimately different in composition. Science is the outcome of struggle and hardwork while religion is achieved without struggle. It is a blessing from God. So, it is divine and that is why

the belief system is divine and natural.

In short, they are out of space and time-while. On other hand, science hark from man and his efforts, thus its composition is within space and time

and a layman can conceive it. According to a research conducted by the

Greater Kashmir which says that
 science comes with empiricism and
 religion is Mercy which is transmitted
 through His poor messengers. In fact,
 the age of science is too less as
 compare to religion which manifests
 that science is short lived and there
 is permanence in religion. The reason
 is that one is manmade and other
 is natural.

~~For~~ last but not the least, is
 that religion is subjective and science
 is objective which means that science
 requires proof and analytical solutions
 like mathematics which is universal
 and less prone to mistakes by nature.
 It is more probably the same all
 across the world. On other hand, religion
 is subjective and individual. Each
 of the persons, is responsible for
 one own beliefs to the Almighty God.
 Hence can answer no body except
 God. One set of beliefs can be
 different in irregular places of the

planet earth. For instance, Newton's laws of motions will be the same all across the world. But at the same time two set of beliefs are hold by Iranian and Saudi Arabian Muslims. Hence proved that religion is subjective and science is objective.

As it is vivid from the conflict theories that science and religion cannot overlap with one another. Here is some more details about the incompatibility of science and religion.

Science and religion, is the competition between atheist and theist. One disagrees with the existence of God and another wholeheartedly embraces the existence of God. Science encapsulates the practical proof and existence of God when they fail to prove and identify. Both cognitives and empiricists fail

to persuade someone else about the existence of God. While on other hand, in religion, one easily believes the existence of God as a matter of faith. According to Alister McGrath, when science and religion come close to each other. The world will collapse, because they are completely opposite about the existence of God. which shows that complete opposite either attract or destroy each other. In the view of Alister, it will destroy each other.

Likewise, science erodes values and religion replicates it. As far as the modern researchers are concerned, science deals only with material gains and incentives. Science gives no consideration for values in the community. While on other hand, religion expounds values and a complete code of conduct. All the religions of the

World are based on peace and this peace comes with sound community and sound community can only be constituted through following values. According to a research conducted by Oxford university, all the evils of the world are imported by the march of science and religion attempts to ameliorate it. Even scientifically advanced nations have also realized the need of vanishing values and they seek for the rebirth of those values. Thus, science is a germ to values and religion is its savior.

Not but not the least, science does not believe on intuitionism while in religion, it occupies a reasonable place. Science deems intuition as deception and something not really possible. And secondly, intuition cannot be analytically calculated. And those were discouraged.

who claim of intuition including preceding and succeeding. While religion welcomes intuition, because it can only come with consistent and constant worship and meditation. For instance, scholars of neo-pragmatic school of Europe adamantly reject intuitionism while the theologians of medieval age of Islam endorsed intuitionism as a true source of knowledge. The world is marching towards a complex zone and the role of intuitionism is fading away very fast.

As the incompatible nature of science and religion has been dealt, and it is more vivid that their compatibility is critical and not possible. Here is a few more details about the indifferent nature of both science and religion. Their very nature itself indicates that their being come close together, is impossible.

Life could be made possible without science but could not be possible without religion. As science evolved in the seventeenth century and life was there before its advent. But it is not the case with religion. God has given religion to the person who was first created in heaven and then sent him down to the earth, which represents a complete code of conduct, while science on the other hand, provided ease to life. According to Bletchely report, the ongoing advancements in science will create ease for human beings, but at the same time complexities too are inseparable. Numerous more examples signify that science evolves on the basis of religion and they parted its ways.

Similarly, religion induces peace and science engenders chaos and tumults to the world.

The reason is that science is materialistic and incultates love of materials which give birth to chaos and elimination of peace. While on the hand, religion is spiritual and ends on sacrifices which spread peace, harmony and contentment in the world. According to United States Institute of Peace, in the ongoing war in the Middle East, religion can play the role of peace making and advance ~~armed~~ weapons exacerbate it. which manifests that science and religion have two different ends and thus they could not coexist. And they are not compatible with each other.

Last but not the least is that the predictions of science could be wrong but not those of religion. In fact, science and its data is based on probability, which

could be either right or wrong. No body can claim fully about the rightness or wrongness of science's predictions. While religion's predictions, as said above, is a matter of belief system. So, all the predictions of religion are true and perfect.

According to Pew Research system based in America, about 65% of people were tested about the co-existence of the predictions of science and religion. About 70% said that science has shape thoughts and cultures inappropriately. which shows the dissatisfaction of the people about the scientific predictions. Hence, the predictions of science are not reliable as compare to religion, which is reliable.

As indifferent grounds of science and religion has been dealt. And gives the result that science and religion's nature are contrary to each other. Here is some details about the scholars who intertwined science and religion.

Firstly, the scholars put the argument, that science and religion are the same things addressing different domains. Both facilitates human beings and their lives. In fact, science evolves from the holy-testaments and books. People realised the march and development of science when the society entered into a complex and technical zone. So, the dire need was to invent things which help the complexities and make life easy which the religion could not make it over, according to the scholars like Hallmark and Luthmann. Moreover, the religion prefers simplicity and benevolence which the industrial society could not accommodate. Thus in such situations, the evolution of science was inescapable.

Similarly, Allama Iqbal, who was a great muslim thinker of that time (20th century). He said

That Islam needs to upgrade so to counter and embrace the increased necessity of the society. Science should be welcomed to the Islamic society, because it enhances the worth rather descends it. He was fully aware of the fact that science evolved from religion and it cannot harm the society. That's why, he said to take help from science in the propagation and purgation of the decayed society. As Iqbal famously said:

- سائنس کی روشنی میں دینی عقائد کو
 تازہ و نیا بنانا ہے۔

which is evident that he called for the moderation of religion.

Furthermore, in order to place compatibility between religion and science. Numerous scientists were theist at the same time. For instance, Galileo Galilei, who said that the sun is positioned

So that the earth revolves around it, which means that an invisible force is there which could be God. Hence, he revolutionized the then concept of science by bridging physical happenings with God. The second theist who claimed inductively that nature, action and purpose come with God. And that was none other than St. Francis Bacon. He deemed it as a special revolution. Therefore, through these means, these theists bridged together science and religion. But again, these were weak arguments, because if God exists, he might be proved analytically.

In a nutshell, science is not compatible with religion. As been mentioned that their very fundamentals don't overlap. Retrospectively, science evolved because of the uncomfortability with religion. With the course of history,

The march of science parted its ways even further. And in future, it could more aptly collapse. The reasons are their conflict with each other. Science is entrusted with reason and religion encourages belief system. Science is manmade and objective while religion is divine and subjective. Moreover, their incompatibility exists in its nature i.e., competition of theist and atheist, science rejects intuitionism and religion strongly endorses intuitionism. Science eradicates value system and religion preserves it. Furthermore, the indifferent grounds of science and religion are that life could be possible without science but meaningless without religion. As religion engenders peace and science prevails chaos. And most importantly, the predictions of science can be challenged but not that of religion. Lastly, some scholars have made a failed attempt to weld science and religion close.

together. Like Alama Iqbal about
moderation in religion. To strip science
and religion, Galileo and Bacon were
scientists but at the same time, they
were theists. And they reached upon the
conclusion that science and religion
are the same addressing different domains.

The topic is broader in scope, so
address it comprehensively