

WORLD PEACE IS A CHOICE OF SUPER- -POWERS.

Outline:

1- Introduction.

Thesis statement: When the world

faces conflict, it becomes the

responsibility of superpowers to

restore peace. But most of

the time, this responsibility is

the matter of choice for

superpower. By influencing

this choice with humanitarian

concerns and not economic

gain, world peace won't be

a forlorn idea.

2- World Peace and Superpowers.

3- World Peace is a Choice of Superpowers.

a- Laid foundations of international

organizations with aim of global peace

b- Choose peace to secure ^{their} ~~its~~ economic

interests.

c- Attempt to restore peace by storing their

... hegemonic aim.

d- Use peace treaties and pacts as a tool to bind enemies to peace.

e- ~~Use~~ flagship peace to promote own soft image.

Superpowers are Bound to Observe and Maintain ^Ppeace

a- United Nations put a stop on confrontational wars

b- Humanitarian intervention at hefty costs to secure peace.

c- With leadership came the greater responsibility of peace.

d- Mutually consented treaties to reduce the impact of conflicts.

e- Public scrutiny made superpowers wary of their stance on peace.

Despite responsibility, superpowers oblige peace as a option to them.

a- Superpowers violate UN resolutions whenever they deem fit.

b. Pre-emptive strikes in guise of

humanitarian intervention in support of ~~their~~ ~~interests~~

c- Peaceful aims ~~do not~~ mean securing one's state.

d- Superpower violates and withdraw from treaties whenever they want.

e- Crucial information about peace and war ~~shared~~ ~~too~~ is made public too late.

6- Conclusion.

ESSAY

'The price of greatness is responsibility.' Winston Churchill explained the cost of being a superpower effectively in above quote. With greater authority comes great responsibility, responsibility to restore peace, order, law and justice through out the world. When the world is in conflict, its restoring peace becomes the responsibility of super power. But most of the time, this responsibility is a matter of choice for these nations. Upholding humanitarian concerns rather than economic can influence this choice in maintaining and keeping peace. Super powers utilises the option of peace to secure their interest and power.

is an option to them rather than necessity. Their primary purpose to secure their leadership position, attain hegemonic aims, economic interest, showcase soft power and bind others enemies to not violate their proposition.

But sometimes because internal and public scrutiny, fear of global opinion and humanitarian intervention forced superpowers to promote peace at some cost to their economies. But observance of all these is conditional which makes peace just an option for superpower opted for own interest and gain.

Human race has never been without conflict, be it tribal fights or wars. In one or another, conflicts and fights have been influencing the dynamics of peace in the world. In an attempt to restore peace after disastrous World War, organizations like League of Nations (after World War I) and United Nations (after World War II) came into being. History is replete with incidence of significant role played by superpowers in world affairs. According to

criteria in Permanent - Five (P-5)

member of UN, United States of America (USA),
 United Kingdom (UK), France, China and Russia
 are major superpowers while Japan, Germany,
 Brazil, India, Canada etc also influence
 world politics. These countries are considered
 the sole arbitrator in international
 conflicts. Most of the global affairs
 and decisions are direct or indirect influence
 of their decisions. ^{however,} The idea of P-5 was
 to inherit these nations with power restoring
 and keeping peace, whenever they deemed
 it fit. They deploy their economic, military
 and diplomatic means to achieve this
 aim and, hence, have most influential
 effect on World Peace.

However, peace seems to an
 option to superpowers rather than a
 need. Most superpowers opt peace just
 when they need to serve their self-centric
 aims. They create and violate institutions
 which are guardian of peace, when according
 to their national interests. For example, after

deadly and disastrous war of 1914 to World War I. Welt saw the need to establish an organization to settle disputes. Some world national behind establishing United Nations in 1945 after Second World War (1939-45). This shows the great powers spend time in war as much they needed to settle dispute and when they deemed it necessary to have an international surveillance tool for peace, they establish such organization with mutual consultation among themselves. So, peace is an option chosen when it is fitted for interests of superpower, otherwise they had a long history of settling disputes through war.

Like wise, sometimes the great powers use peace as an option for their economic interests. This the case when a superpower puts itself in a conflict with a country of some power or when a competition exist within

DAY: _____

DATE: _____

superpower. Instead of devastatingly destroying each other, peace becomes their choice. As is the case with China and USA. Both countries - countries have somewhat equal economic, military and global might. and conflict of any type can have many repercussions, so both has attained economic cooperation.

Moreover, according of Department of Defence (USA), the top U.S. military contractors in 2022 accounted for \$297.88 billion in market capitalization in Ukraine war. Hence, superpower supports war or peace depending on what serves their interests best.

Besides economic interest, superpowers have been using option of peace to support their hegemonic aims. Superpowers delve into treaties, pacts and intervention in name of restoring peace to fulfill their hegemonic aims. Preemptive peace has been used as an excuse to intervene into

foreign territories for the goal of peace.

It includes strategies like regime change, warmongering and monopoly along with

suppression. US invasion of Libya and Afghanistan (after 9/11) are the incidents

when peace was chosen to fulfill its hegemonic aims. For this purpose, it

invaded Libya and Afghanistan amidst

turmoil to 'restore' peace. Therefore, peace

becomes just an option to great powers

when it is incumbent to achieve their

hegemonic aims

Another argument given in

favor of 'peace is a choice of superpower'

is that these powers use peace in form

of ~~three~~ treaties, pacts and organisation to

suppress retaliation and bind others to

their interests. By doing they clearly

define the rules of conduct of affairs

in international arena and formulate

policies to further their aims. As the

great powers have been in the

favor of nuclear disarmament and control regime through different pacts and treaties like Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty, establishment of International Atomic Energy Association etc. All these are aimed at securing peace and put a stop to attainment of nuclear power or proliferation, so that global peace is not just a dream. Through these treaties and organizations they ban any new country to attain nuclear power but do little to de-nuclearization of super power and their continuous upgradation of nuclear arsenal. So, peace is a way ^{used to} ~~to~~ bind others to ~~their~~ terms of superpower as they will.

Despite having highly militarized history, the superpower ^{choose} ~~use~~ peace to promote their soft image to the world. They promote their peaceful portrayal after intervention, military operation and destruction in countries of chaos to

DAY: _____

DATE: _____

legitimize their act. For example, the Hiroshima and Nagasaki explosions ^{still} have drastic impact on future generation but the USA justified its such heinous attempt of mass destruction as it was the only way to restore global peace. Moreover, by supporting peace pacts, treat treaties and newly establish democracies, it tried to convince other states and its public of its peaceful aims. So, peace has always been a choice of superpower, given by they wanted to utilize that option based on their motives and interests.

However, sometime peace is not an option but a responsibility for superpowers. Assuming leadership role, they laid foundation of United Nations in 1945 to resolve international conflict and stop conflict to become war. Later on, United Nations served in purpose of peaceful coexistence and prohibited

DAY: _____

DATE: _____

super powers to indulge in war. Best example of this is cold war era. Though there were many skirmishes between USA and USSR in form of economic blockade, competition for nuclear power and block politics still from 1945 to 1979, there was not a single war happened between them on military lines. Both were aware of consequence of war and violation of United Nation rules. So, the fear of penalty and destruction led to a relative peace despite heightened tensions bet among USA and USSR.

Moreover, post world war era saw ^{slow} spurring of nation-states. Many new states were rising on the global map and new elements like globalisation and terrorism were affecting the international system. States like Libya and other MF states were chaotic and no sign of peace was there. USA as a

global leader, had to restore peace in those high conflict zones at its own expenses. Recent example of such humanitarian intervention is Afghanistan.

In order to purge the state of terrorism, United States of America ~~has~~ spent \$ 2.26 trillion dollars in

Afghanistan, since 2001. (Costs of War Project, Brown University; 2021). Hence,

to restore peace in high conflict states at such hefty economic expense shows peace is a responsibility of superpower rather than mere a choice.

In the same way, superpowers are inherently aware of their responsibility towards global peace, being leaders of the world. With leadership comes great responsibility so all states look unto global leadership whenever struck by crisis. The meeting convening of Security Council and

DAY: _____

DATE: _____

General Assembly of the United Nations is a proof of awareness of great power of their obligations. Resolutions are passed and conflicts are resolved through consensus of all states especially superpowers. For example, when Yugoslavian conflict arose in 1990s, violent extremism, violent conflicts, ethnic rebellions and civil unrest were curbed and deposed great responsibility on world powers. The Sporadic armed conflict ended with peace deal which envisaged a political agreement on power sharing, disarmament of militia and deployment of a NATO monitoring force. All of this was possible because of superpowers' responsibility of restoring and maintaining peace.

~~With~~ With advent of nuclear age, there an intense competition was initiated to have an upper hand in this race. But the innovations and spread posed a great threat of

nuclear conflict i.e. use of weapon of mass destruction ~~was~~ had the capacity to wipe out whole humanity from this planet. So, soon realizing the importance of peace, great powers urged their colleagues and other nation to sign treaties and become part of ^{Nuclear} Non-Proliferation regime. Therefore, futuristic viewpoint of superpowers led them to sign treaties like NPT, CTBT etc with the aim to secure global peace.

Superpowers were bound to adhere to their stance of peace because of overwhelming public opinion. Democratic states strictly follow peace because of scrutiny posed by public opinion. One of the super power i.e. USA faced intense criticism by its public on his Iraq and Vietnam war as unjustified military intervention. Same way, it adhering facing intense criticism because its stance on Gaza war. According to Seins College pollings.

(2023) published in ^{The} New York Times, the approval rating of Joe Biden (President of USA) declined to 36 percent given by his government stance on Israel-Gaza war. Also, there were numerous reports on antigovernment protests in Russia after it invaded Ukraine. Hence, public opinion and scrutiny does work as a bridle to keep check on its states' stance on peace and superpower tend to pay more importance to peace than hegemonic goal.

~~Having said that,~~

However, United Nations mostly observe peaceful resolution of conflict and the UN General Assembly and Security Council passes resolution for this purpose. The resolutions are mostly advisory in nature and not binding, which makes it easy for superpowers to evade their obligations. Great powers only abide by these resolutions when they deem fit and

avoid when it clashes with their interests. For example, after Russian-Ukraine war in 2022, UNGA called its session and asked for immediate reversal of Russian advances in foreign territory of Ukraine but it declined to follow stating its own reasons. Moreover, in current case of Gaza war, many a times UN members have called out for permanent ceasefire among Israel and Palestine but US always vetoed it as it doesn't fit its economic interest - So, though UN is present to observe and restore peace in globe, the superpowers only abide by its resolution when they want.

Like wise, peace is conditional for superpowers when they desire it. These powers take the responsibility in restoring global peace on humanitarian grounds and attempt to do attempt pre-emptive strikes. First, it's not

not acceptable to attack a state based on a rough guess. Second, no one can compromise their sovereignty whatever the cause of intervention be. But superpowers have used pre-emptive strike to gain an advantage in global arena, in which US has a history. US has used the excuse of pre-emptive defence while invading Iraq, Libya and other Middle Eastern countries. But turned out, instead of restoring peace, the situation of these states worsened and converted them into high conflict zones. Therefore, intervening and destroying the sovereignty of a state in name of peace shows it was used as option to ~~get~~ gain own interests.

Also, the concept of peace is so self-centric for superpowers. Peace for them is when there won't be any threat to their security. So, instead of resolving conflict, they respond to threats

that are disproportionate to the damages caused to them. Like, US responded to 9/11 incident with a 21 years war in Afghanistan, responding to a terror threat posed to it. Same way, Russia invaded Ukraine in 2022 for its attempt to get accession into North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). Hence, superpowers have linked concept of global peace with their own security and defence. ~~and~~ So, they fluctuate between war and peace to attain that and chooses whatever option suits them best.

Though to observe and maintain peace, superpowers had taken some political steps and laid down foundation of many treaties. Treaties like ~~NPT~~ NPT, CTBT etc aimed to secure peace by non-proliferation of nuclear weapons. But the states are in continuous competition to gain superiority in nuclear arsenal and are further

upgrading their nuclear systems. This is polarizing the world and possibility of conflicts are rising day by day. Moreover, these treaties are voluntary in nature and any state can withdraw from it any time. For example, in November, 2023, Russia withdrew from ratification of Nuclear Test Ban Treaty, also known as CTBT which has escalated the tensions between US and Russia over Ukraine conflict. Hence, such withdrawals further escalates chances of face offs and conflicts. So, though treaties are made to restore peace but voluntary admission and withdrawal further affects the peaceful global environment.

Furthermore, public opinion and scrutiny has been the major concern of superpower while facing a conflict. Sometimes, public pressure proves fruitful in securing peace and revision of stance by superpower but the information crucial to one attempt of a country

in a global issues a bring forth date.
like, US invaded Iraq in 2003 but
the public got to know about the ^{dangerous} ~~risky~~
steps of state. Later in 2007-2008.

Likewise, After 3 month conflict in Gaza,
the public and congress now get to
know about secret provision of
warheads to Israel by United States
of America. So, public pressure do
criticize acts of its state but due
to late realizing is unable to play
significant role in decision and policy
making of its state. Therefore, peace has
always been an option for superpowers
whenever it served their interests.

In conclusion, global
peace is a lobby for superpowers
on which they can jump and ride
whenever they want. Be it US, Russia,
China, France or United Kingdom, all have
in one way or another used peace
as a bait to gain diplomatic,

military or economic interests. Though there
 are instances which show great concern
 of world powers in restoration of peace
 but even those 'genuine thoughts' were
 manifestation of their own national
 interests. They propagate war or peace
 as per their state's interest and the
 result is failed states like Syria,
 war-torn countries like Iraq and Afghanistan
 and still the ^{menace} ~~menace~~ of war and
 foreign invasion has not ended i.e Russian
 Invasion 2022, and Gaza conflict 2023.
 Numerous human and civilian casualties
 have been reported (i.e 100000 in Ukraine,
 21,000 in Gaza) still peace is an option,
 Superpowers will use when it will fit
 their interest. Superpowers need to realise
 that peace is not an option but a
 responsibility!

X=====X