

Dialogue

"At the time the murder was committed, I was travelling on the 8 o'clock train to London," said the man.

"Do you always catch such an early train?" asked the inspector.

"Of course, I do," answered the man. "I must be at work at 10 o'clock. My employer will confirm that I was there on time."

"Would a later train get you to work on time?" asked the inspector.

"I suppose it would, but I never catch a later train."

"At what time did you arrive at the station?"

"At ten to eight. I bought a paper and waited for the train."

"And you didn't notice anything unusual?"

"Of course not."

"I suggest," said the inspector, "that you are not telling the truth. I suggest that you did not catch the 8 o'clock train, but that you caught the 8:25 train which would still get you to work on time. You see, on the morning of the murder, the 8 o'clock train did not run at all. It broke down at Ferngreen station and was taken off the line."

Paragraph

The man told that he had been travelling on 8 o'clock train to London when murder had been committed. Inspector inquired the man if he ~~is~~ always ^{caught} ~~catch~~ that train. The man answered certifiably that he did to be at work at 10 o'clock and his employer would confirm that. Inspector asked if a later train had got him to work on time. So, man replied that it would be but he never caught a later train. Inspector further inquired ~~that~~ at what time he had arrived at the station. To this man answered that at ten to eight he had bought a paper and had waited for train. Inspector asked suspiciously that the man had not seen anything unusual. Man replied that definitely not. To this inspector suggested that the man was not telling truth because he (the man) had caught 8.25 train rather than 8 o'clock that it still had got him on time. As the 8 o'clock train had not run at all on murder morning because it had broken down at Ferngreen station.