

Argumentative Essay ①

Topic: Is peace possible in a world full of weapons?

1 - Introduction

Peace is feasible to acquire in a world laden with weapons, as they serve as a deterrent to avoid conflict and violence among nations globally. Consequently, peace prevails throughout the world. Moreover, disarmament policies, UN scrutiny and various treaties never allow peace to be undermined.

2 - Relationship between peace and weapons

3 - Peace is possible in a world full of weapons

- (a) Weapons serve as a deterrence mechanism, which ensures peace in the world.
- (b) It is essential for national security and for safeguarding national sovereignty, this ultimately leads to peace.
- (c) It helps in balancing power dynamics that no single nation enforces its power over other nations to breach their peace.
- (d) The world teeming with arsenals is preventing aggression and expansionist policies.
- (e) International institutions and organizations advocate for peace categorically.

4 - Peace is not achieved when the world is rife with weapons

(a) Weapons are responsible for an aggressive behaviour and an escalation of conflict in the world

(b) Robust arsenals can lead to economic drain, resulting in internal conflicts that go against national security and sovereignty

(c) It arises competition among nations to advance their weapons as per their economies, which creates inequality of power

(d) The country equipped with modern arsenals can widen its territorial expansion

(e) The efforts of international institutions and organizations have not yielded to a productive outcome so far.

S- Weapons are the strongest deterrence against any conflict in the world

(a) Fear of the weapons can avoid more destructions and casualties during conflict

(b) When a country security measures are strong enough so that country more focus on economic advancement

(c) Competition and the development of

modern weapons are important to stop war in the world

(D) Balance of the equipment of weapons do not let ^{the} territorial expansion

(e) International institutions approaches to peace like diplomacy and negotiations help the world to not initiate war

6- Conclusion

"Peace through Strength -

Strong deterrence to aggression - is the surest way to prevent war." Ronald Reagan.

The quote of 40th President of United States, Ronald Reagan suggests the idea that having strong deterrence can prevent war and promote peace. This

indicates that the world having weapons are not the source of conflict but

peace. Therefore, peace is feasible to acquire in a world laden with weapons,

as they serve as a deterrent to avoid conflict and violence among nations

globally. Consequently, peace prevails throughout the world. Moreover, disarmament

policies, UN scrutiny and various treaties never allow peace to be undermined.

Furthermore, peace is possible in a world full of weapons because weapons serve

as a deterrence mechanism which ensures peace. They are essential for

national security and sovereignty which are the means to achieve peace.

Besides, weapons prevent power dynamic, aggression and expansionist policies. And

with the help of international peace maker institutions peace is evident in the world.

on the other hand, excessive presence of weapons are threat to the world's peace.

As weapons are responsible for aggressive behaviour, economic drain,

competition among nations for weapons development.

1

and territorial conflict. on this regard, the international institutions' efforts are not ~~successful~~ succeed to bring peace in the world. Even though, a world is life with weapons, peace is still a realistic possibility. Fear of weapons avoid more destruction and casualties in conflict. When weapons defend a country, it avoids territorial expansion issues, allowing a country to focus on economic development. And international institutions consistent efforts to prevent world from sliding into war are also the most important factor to bring peace across the globe.

2.
3.-

a)

The relation between

crunch
Paragraph

b)

Peace and weapons is complex. It is also commonly says that where there is peace, there is no weapons.

c)

However, some historical events and the current world with full of modern weapons proves that weapons are the essential tool to control war in the world.

d)

In past 27 BCE - 180CE the Roman Empire's relative peace and stability were maintained through strong military presence. And in 1989 - 1991 the end of the cold war

e)

due to diplomatic efforts ^{and the presence of nuclear weapons} lead to peaceful international environment. Moreover,

f-

Paul Bracken in his book, "**The Second Nuclear age**", explores the nature of nuclear weapons and their influence on global security. ^{He also discussed} their balance deterrence and their ^{persuasion} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~possibility~~ ^{possibility} to peace. All these show that peace is possible with the presence of weapons.

Without a doubt weapons serve as a deterrence mechanism, which ensures peace in the world. Peace is inevitable to live a quality life. In this technological era weapons are the source to bring peace across the globe. The access and balance of modern weapons ^{among} nations act as deterrence to initiate the catastrophic war that would ^{be} resulted to the devastation of the world and human lives. Steve Pinker on his book, "The better angels of our nature: Why violence has declined" argues that the role of governance, moral shift, weapons and military force are the factors that declined violence in the world. This book draws the importance of weapons for the promotion of peace and stability in the world. Thus, weapons are ~~prevention of violence~~ serve as a deterrent to war and a promoter of peace.

Similarly, weapons are essential for national security and for safeguarding national sovereignty, this ultimately leads to peace. The presence of weapons and military forces create strategic stability. The balance of power among nations can prevent any state to become overwhelmingly dominant which reduces aggression and maintains peace. For example, the balance of nuclear

weapons between Pakistan and India -

The two rivals since their inception -
played an efficient role in deterrence.

As a result of this power a country's sovereignty remains preserved and independent.

Hence, national security and sovereignty maintain and peace is evident due to the presence of weapons.

Likewise, they also help in balancing power dynamics that no single nation enforces its power over other nations to breach their peace.

When one nation possesses huge number of arsenals and military strength so that nation exploits those nations, ^{that lack} ~~which lack~~

such facilities. Therefore, weapons possession create mutual deterrence. For instance,

during cold war both United States and Soviet Union possessed nuclear weapons.

This mutual deterrence contributed to the peace globally. At that time if one nation had such possession led to everlasting and devastating outcomes. That is why balance of weapons are important to bring peace.

Furthermore, the world teeming with arsenals is preventing aggression and expansionist policies. A country for becoming strong and superpower of the world needed wider territory. As a result, the country tries to capture the near land to his location and created violence on this regard.

However, the other side of the country having some facilities reduces the issue of expansionist policy. This is evident in the case of Ladakh and Indian illegally occupied Kashmir, where due to the strong ^{nuclear} power of each country, no one dares to capture the respective dispute territories due to their deterrence.

Hence, lack of weapons in the world lessens the issues of ^{territorial} expansionist policies across the globe.

Last but ^{not} ^{least} International institutions and organizations advocate for peace categorically. The world becomes more powerful in the field of technology and the innovations of new and modern weapons. Because these are the need of the time to every ^{country} according to their own security and defence and development. To regulate their utilization the vigorous set scrutiny of ^{institutions} international and organizations ^{lead} ~~contribute~~ to a peaceful world. The invention of weapons are ^{not} bad if they use for their sovereignty and security in order to reduce their opponents threat. And ~~the~~ with all these the United Nation, International Peace Institute (IPI), organization for security and co-operation in Europe (OSCE) and International Court of Justice (ICJ) etc are always put their utmost efforts, planning and strategies to sustain peace in the world.

The IPI Involvement in the Conflict

region (Sahel) of Africa and through their recommendations address the the root cause of the conflict and promote peace over there. Hence, the role of Peacemaker organizations are effective to maintain peace throughout the world.

on the other hand, Peace ~~is~~ cannot be achieved when the world is rife with weapons. Because they ^{are} responsible for an aggressive behaviour and escalate the war in a world. Advanced weapons, including firearms, missiles and explosives has potential to significant harm and casualties. Moreover, having the ^{strong} strength of weapons with a country ~~inertia~~ incites him to initiate war and shows aggressive behaviour to his enemy. For instance, during the Korean war, some Americans urged escalation of the war through bombing of the People's Republic of China. And also According to Defence Casualty Analysis system "15 million military personal and 38 million civilian deaths in world war II due to the use of different weapons. Hence, weapons are the source of major conflict in the world.

Moreover, robust arsenals can lead to economic drain, resulting in internal conflict which shakes the national security and ~~action~~ sovereignty. Countries for their defence allocate more budget.

for it. Consequently, other sectors of the country remained neglected such as education, health and agricultural etc. According to the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute of 2021, United States \$801, China 293 and other ^{countries} spend \$302 on their defence system.

This shows that how countries are working for conflict during their peace time. Hence, economic drain is occurs because of the accumulation of weapons and military strength.

Coupled with, weapons also arise competition among nations to advance their arsenals as per their ^{economies} capabilities which creates inequality of the power. The developed countries possess modern and more efficient equipments than the developing countries which causes disparity among ~~one~~ each other one another and leads ^{causes} towards exploitation. According to Aljazeera, 2023,

the pentagon plans to field these thousands of drones and other high tech military equipment within next two years to counter china's weaponry advancements. This acts of US ~~is~~ indicates the preparation of war for the future. Therefore, competitions of weaponry development impedes peace in the world.

Apart from this, the country equipped with modern weaponry can widen its territorial expansion. The weapons have the ability to capture

entry

the of

m

the areas with proper and threaten use of the holder of them. In history and modern time it has evidenced to all over the world. This actions violates human rights and cause to conflict and problem to peace. For example, ^{Russian} annexation of Crimea (2014) and now the war with ~~an~~ Ukraine illustrates how the use of force and military intervention can lead to changes in territorial control.

Hence, the more weapons surge the issues of expansion of territories in the world which prevents peace across the globe.

By the same token, the efforts of international institutions and organizations have failed to bring peace in the world. Many conflicts, violence, genocide, and human rights violations are confronting ^{to} people across the world. However, these organizations are not given the safe and peace environment to these people.

any

uses

2023,

er

Pakistan permanent ~~to~~ N. Pakistani ambassador. Munir Akram told that occupied Palestine, Kashmir striking examples of UN's failure. Hence, ~~the~~ peace maker organizations are failed to make peace available to all.

In spite of weapons adversaries still those are the strongest deterrence to any conflict in the world. Because

fear of the weapons can avoid more destructions and casualties during conflict. The country having strong weapons are frightened by the opponents to carry the conflict for long term. In the case of Hamza due to their less and weaker weapons does not able to proceed its war with Israel because of its modern arsenals. Moreover, in the cold war the war is ended to stop more casualties because the both opponents were equipped ^{with} nuclear weapons. This leads to peace. Thus, fear of weapons are important to control wars.

In addition to it, when a country's security problem is resolved then the country automatically boosts its economy. The ^{country} brings foreign investors and builds the their trust and development its economy. The ~~country~~ country focuses on all those sectors which would boom its economy. According to Gallup, the countries with highest level of national security live a prosperous lives which includes, Singapore, Norway and Iceland etc. So, national security is important to attract more money. Weapons are one of the factors to help on this behalf.

In a like manner, the competition and the development of modern weapons are important to stop war in the world. When the countries have balance of power, so no one attack on each others because they knew that the war would ~~to~~ cause more destruction and no side gets the desired results. Therefore, it plays significant role to pause the war and promote people. Such as In case of Pakistan and India since their empowerment with nuclear weapons, eradicate the chance of war on each states so far. Hence, the competitions of weapons' accumulation is important for a peaceful world.

Correspondingly, ~~the~~ when balance a country independent on its internal affairs in the case of military, economy and security measures so, the opponents do not have courage to cease or annex its territories. As a result, the balance of equipments of the nations reduce the ~~expansionist~~ expansionist policies of the country. North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) is the alliance of different countries to cooperate with each other to promote peace in the world and reduce the risk of ~~expansion~~ expansionist policies of the countries. Hence, weapons are important for dispute areas not captured ~~Military~~

Lastly, International Institutions approaches to peace like diplomacy and negotiations help the world to stop war and sustain peace. The efforts of the organization cannot be overlooked because their struggles today enables peace for the people of the world.

The lack of peace maker organizations and institutions provide a chaos world because there would not be anyone to regulate and scrutinize the activities of the world. Same is the case with war and peace can be ignored and bloodsheding prevail in entire world. Their long term strive cannot be neglected.

For instance, UNAMA is a political mission of UN, supports peace negotiations between the Afghan government and various insurgent groups. And their diplomacy promotes peace and stability in the regions.

Hence, International peace maker institutions are the backbone of peace in the world.

To bring it all together, peace is possible in a world laden with weapons due to their deterrent nature. They also safeguard national security.

and sovereignty, enabling prosperous lives. Moreover, expansionist issues can be resolved through equal equipment of weapons among nations. Furthermore, the participation of international institutions and organizations in this regard is also fruitful. The collective efforts of people and ~~state to~~ stakeholders can lead to peaceful world.

Let's believe in peace, and
work as one,
in a world where weapons
can be undone.

For peace is no illusion,
but a noble goal,
A beacon of hope, to
soothe the soul.

(Nand Nagle)

8