

More and more International military engagement by the United Nations, is the world moving towards peace?

1- Introduction

2- Thesis statement: The beauty of UN is to maintain peace by peaceful negotiations, while international military engagement is short term policy to approach peace.

3- The advancement of technology and use of military is a big threat.

4- Overcome the loose holes of UN is essential.

5- Military Engagement is short term policy for peace.

6- Common interest and mutual interdependence as a tool to achieve peace.

7- Humanitarian loss through military engagement avoid through Diplomacy and Diplomatic Relations.

8- Institutional overlapped a trigeminal ~~to~~ block politics and bad for peace.

9- The concept and awareness about global village.

10- Steps of UN to promote peace.

11- Energy dynamics and the UN peacekeeping.

12- Conclusion

Introduction.

The ancient method to resolve the conflict is militarization, after WWII to resolve the disputes by peaceful means world powers had made international organisation named as United Nations.

The main purpose of UN is to maintain the peace of world and avoid the war between states. The UN works in different dimensions.

to protect the interest of human beings such as ~~that~~: Human rights missions, development and growth missions, education and food missions and peaceful settlement of dispute mechanism. The main strength of any international organisation is its structure and work force. Different states also delegates their army officials and strength for different missions of UN. UN has engaged in different regions by military against aggressor states and non state actors. The concept of collective security emerged like EU and NATO. They are work for own interest. Similarity of UN is engaged in different fronts by military it can not promote peace for a long time. The United Nations has so many loose holes in their wings, like in UNSC the concept of veto. Where the interest of veto powers and UN military contradicting each other the problem will rise more furiously. The UN has to need to implement policies of peaceful negotiations for long term peace and cooperation.

The world has progressed very fast in terms of technology and advancement. The use of military against other state is just like a suicide bombing for the work because of autonomous weapon system. So, the main purpose of UN will fail and war can be generated. Similarly, the UN engaged militarily with more fronts symbolizing the rigidity and creed of hard power by UN, which can damaged the soft image of UN. The role of UN

become less effective for the member states and the concept of might is right has emerged. To ensure the world peace except hard power, the main tool is empowerment of international law. The law has strong the peace via mode. The UN has an option to make a such policy which only work on empowerment of international law and treaties such as: Nuclear non-proliferation treaty, those state which have signed NPT will never work on the development of nuclear weapons.

Secondly, United Nations need to overcome the loose holes like veto. All the countries have right to deal equally. Their power and development can not be matter in case of justice, those who do wrong will deal accordingly without any discrimination. Some scholars argued that veto is a tool to exploit less powerful countries and platform for powerful countries to fight proxies in developing countries. Similarly, the contradiction among the veto power and UN policies also promotes war not peace. On the one hand veto powers protecting their own interest, on the other hand give umbrella to alliances. For example, In Arab-Israel or Hamas-Israel conflict the Israel has violating the humanitarian law and war laws, but at the ICC and UNSC the Israel backed by veto power of USA and UK. So, ultimate peace and security of the world Veto must.

P.T.O

be discouraged otherwise the ultimate peace of the world is difficult to achieve.

Thirdly, military engagement directed toward confrontation among contradicting parties. The United Nations Dispute Settlement Mechanism is good in this scenario. The contradicting parties must come on table for negotiations and gave time to parties for peace dialogue. Moreover, by negotiations it is easy to reach the real face of the conflict. At the starting point of conflict the using of force is not right. Consequently, the military engagement is like to pressurize someone by force and force can not change the narratives of any nation. **It is short term policy to achieve peace and long term losses.** With the passage of time the narrative of that nation grows up and they will go for high level conflict with contradicting party. The use of force against non-state actors is also policy of ~~USA~~ UN, but UN also need to renew its without using of hard power make such institutes which works under UN intelligence and work to change the narrative of that non-state actors. The nature of Non-state actor can analyse either it has fight for religious dominance, nationalism, rebels or work for the sake of money. This approach will work for a long time and called as long term policy.

Moreover, the United Nations want to work on common interests of the states and platforms

of mutual interdependence. The common interest can not allow any state or nation to go for war, because it can damage the national interest. According to Mearsheimer the ultimate goal of any state is to achieve national interest. The common interest maintains the peace and states prefer for win-win situation. Contrastly, if the common interest is not same then states go for own interest because one state deficit is the surplus of other state. States use propaganda's against each other. For example, the peaceful environment in middle east and Eurasia has suited to China. However, the conflict in Middle East and Eurasia suits to America because they export weapons and most of their industry work on military advancement. Similarly, mutual interdependence is also a big tool to promote peace in the world. Due to mutual interdependence countries are rely on each other. The exchange of things system in a trade. One state extra production is need of other country for survival.

The more and more military engagement promotes the more and more humanitarian loss and bad image of international organisation. The humanitarian loss moves towards section or Holy wars.

The alternative is to promote diplomacy and diplomatic relations. Whenever the first step to achieve the peace will start automatically other things matched the phenomena. Moreover, diplomatic relations, the person beyond the gun is matter.

P.T.O

Similarly the diplomats which can represent the own country in the host country is matter. The training and communication skills of those persons avoids the conflicts by negotiations. It is not wrong to say that diplomat is loyal to its country by providing right information at right time but the loyalty proved when the diplomat deal in conflictual environment and maintain the image and peace of his country. So, the more and more diplomatic relations among the states also promotes long lasting peace.

Furthermore, the UN gave free hand to powerful as well as developing states to make institutions, by which the institutional overlap is happened. The institutional overlap is also big threat to peace without military engagement UN need to strengthen the structure of UN as well as avoiding the overlapping of institutions. For example, The World Bank overlapped by Asian Development Bank and AITB, similarly, NATO and CSTO, whenever these institutes are overlapped the block politics is start. Some countries joined one block and other countries according to suitable ally. So the world peace is damaging and one world more system concepts will emerged. No matter, which country is rising and which country is declining. The matter is, which system provides better peace and structure for the world. All countries or states want to be come on

table and make such platforms which works above the states not beneath.

The ultimate solution to stop the aggressor is military. If all negotiations had have failed then the use of power is justify. But no one can address the conflict properly. On the one hand clashes of interests on the other hand lack of knowledge. The UN also need to make such institutes or platform which can spreaded the aware and knowledge b/w the nations. These institutes told to conflicting parties that the world is global village. The profit and loss can be overlapped. The damaged of one can not be possible because of nuclear weapons, the damaged of one means the damage of the whole world. The maintaining of peace is mandatory for developed as well as for developing countries. No one is out of the globe and everyone share his interest with others. Such type of approach can also play an important role to avoid the conflict and make the world peaceful.

Furthermore, the international military engagement may promote soft image and negotiating actors among conflicting nations. For example, At Congo mission Pakistan and India both forces official were going and they are interacting with each other by which the bridge between them reduces and soft image will be promoted. Similarly, UN provides platforms

for state leaders, which can be go there and representing their nation where every country leader as official is present so the image of country can be presented in a good way. Additionally, United Nations also gave prizes and for the peace promoting Noble price for peace is a good example, which motivates the individual and leader which can want to work for peace in true meaning. Annually they will announced the noble prize to country which achieve it considered as a good nation.

The United Nations want to avoid illegal strengthening and occupying of trade routes by powerful and aggressive states. The United Nations works without military engagement warned to these states which have strongly hold on choke points such as Strait of Malacca, Strait of Taiwan, Strait of Hormuz and Suez canal etc. Powerful or aggressive states have hold on it by which rising states or contradictory to powerful states exploiting the routes with the help of developing states. In some cases developing states will some investment and come in the trap policy. For example. The Hambantota port of Sri Lanka is occupied by China for 99 years. The more and more military engagement is not the solution of any problem but the more and more active platforms for negotiations at different levels are so important.

P.T.O

3
peace can not be achieved by force and
hard power.

Moreover, The United Nation has not able
to fight with military with aggressor to
promote peace because the arms race and
space race among countries. The UN want to
make such system by which these states
control Arm race like NPT as well as
exploring of space for peaceful purposes not
for second strike capability. The dynamics of
conflicts are so difficult to understand and can
not be understand through one lens. The military
engagement in one front can not promote peace
and triggered the war on other fronts. The United
Nations want to work on justice with the
strengthening of International Criminal Court
and International Court of Justice. They also
need automated platform to work on it
with evolution of new conflict.

In a nutshell, The United Nations
has a good platform for promoting world
peace, but with the military engagement
with other actors means to triggered Third
World War. The United Nations want to
renew its policies without going to hard
power at initial stage, go for peaceful
negotiations. Strengthen the organisational internal
structure as well as deal with all states
with justice. The loop holes will be covered
through strengthen the international law
and international institution which can be

P.T.O

work for the peace. The world has progressed through technology and advancement so the use of military against different front is not good and short term peace gaining policy without the cooperation of state the diplomacy, common interest and mutual interdependence among states is essential to promote peace. Further more, make platform to avoid institutional overlap. With the passage of time different types of conflicts can evolve, so every conflict can not be dealt by military. UN want to be flexible structure which can be work according to the demand of next time period otherwise the world peace is difficult to achieve.

Sentence structure is very weak
Work on sentence structure and
maturity of arguments