	Day:
GNO:	Rearcheimer blames the United
	States for ongoing Ukraine crisis. How
	do you assess this carries Cuppet your
	do you assess this cricis Support your argument by drawing empirical eviden-
	ce from the conflict scenerios.
	year screws.
1-	Introduction:
	The Ukrainian civis is a multiface-
	ted geopolitical conflict influenced
	by historical relations, power struggles
	and strategic interests. While John
	Meausheimer, a prominent realist
	scholar, attributes the crisis / to the
-	United States and NATO's expouncion
	towards Russia's borden this perspec-
	A balanced assessment requires
	HT NO 성격, 자연들이 발생하다 이 경우는 사람들이 다른 아들이 아들었습니다. 그런 그렇게 하는데 함께 되었어 Mac. 전에 하는데 하는데 나를 보고 있다면서 다른 사람들이 다른 사람들이 다른 사람들이 다른 사람들이 다른 사람들이 되었다면서 되었다면서 다른 사람들이 되었다면서 되었다면서 다른 사람들이 되었다면서 되었다면
	evaluating multiple factors, includ-
	ing Russian actions, Ukramian
	Sovereignty, and westren policies.
2-	Mearsheimer's Argument on
V-16.	Ukraine Wax:
	Meascheimer opines that the

	Day:
	root cause of Ukraine conflict is the
	post-cold war exancion of NATO.
	which he news andirect threat to
	Russian Security : According to his
	realist framework, great power
	react aggressively when hew sphere
	of influence is threatened. He argues
	that by do attempting to integra-
	te Ukraine In to Westren institu-
	tions (NATO and he EU), the US
	and it's allies provoked Russa's
	intervention. Nearsheimer's claim
	reflect the classical realist belief
	that International relations are
	driven by power dynamics id the
	de clerire for security.
	P. I. T.
3-	Realism: The Dominant Lens for
	Understanding Ukraine crisis
17	Realism explains the Ukraine
	cricis as a struggle for power and
	Security between Russia and the West.
	Russia Sees NATOZ expansion as a
	threat to it's safety and influence

To	protect it's interests, Russia annex	
e	Crimes and supported separatist	
in	tarba 121.	
(11	Fastren Ukraine. Realism augues	
Itr	nat powerful countries act to defend	
#	heurorights regions, even if it land	
1	o conflit. The crisis repflect a	
	arger competition between Russia	
	and the UC 11 in 1	
	and the U.S. showing how rations	
	Prioritize security and power in	
2	international parties.	
(#1		
	Empirical Support for Mearchei-	
	2) 2008 Nato Summit:	1
4	Nator declaration to the	
	well clearly a would exentually	
	members was somet with strong opp	
	osition from Russia, which then inva	
	ded Georgia later that year	
	mi face that year.	
	a) and D	
	2) 2014 Protests	
. 11	Westren support for pro-democracy	1
#		-
	movements in Ukraine contributed	

	Date:							
	President. This triggered Russia which then 1 invaded Crimes.							
	then I invaded Crimes							
 4,	Counterarguments to Mearsheimer's							
	Nasrative:							
	Citics argue that Mearsheim-							
	eis marrative disregard Russia's exp-							
	ancionist combitions. This highlight's							
	Russia's actions are not solely defen-							
	sive but tend to restore regional							
	hegemony. This perspective emphasizes							
	Ukraine's sight to self-determinat							
	ion and rejects the notion that man							
	Her stales must comform great.							
	Powers de sphere of nilvence.							
N-	Empirical evidence challenging							
	Mearsheimer:							
	2) 1994 Budapest Memorandum:							
- '	In exchange for giving trup its							
	nuclear arsenal, Ukraine receives							
-12	security ascurances from Russian the							
	U.S, and the UK Russia's annex-							
	ation of Crimea violated these com-							

2) Internal Factor Profoundranalysis is. The Ukrainian Public has more singly supported integration with the west, reflecting inteinabootlitckal gency rather than external imp Chitical Analysis: The cisis cannot be attributed solely to U.S. actions of ed to the escalaron. A co sustain resolution regions Ukraine's edge wit, a drewing Luis an security concern and reinforcing the princ Conclusion: Realism offers the most

the Ukraine cricis, emphasizing power dynamics, security concerns, and the competition for impluence. Uto! Ultimately, while Meausheimer's argument provides valueable ineight into great power-politic, a comprehensive analysis must integrate multiple perspectives to understand

the Ukraine cricis, emphasizing power dynamics, security concerns, and the competition for influence. He Ultimately, while Measheimess argument provides valueable ineight into great power-politics a comprehensive analysis must integrate multiple perspectives to understand the complexities of the Ukraine crisis

