

Q no: 6 Would the World be Better if  
Women Ruled the World?

Outline

1 Introduction

Thesis Statement:

Women have the capabilities to make the world a better place. They are more likely to be collaborative, inclusive, and less driven to conflicts.

2 World Would be Better if Women Rule the World (Thesis):

Thesis is not in question form

i) Women in Power prefer negotiations over use of force

Case in point: Simla Agreement <sup>in 1972</sup>  
signed between Indira Gandhi and ZAB

ii) Women are good in crisis management

Case in point: New Zealand under Jacinda Ardren maintained the lowest covid-19 cases

iii) Women leaders can end harassment at workplaces



IV) Women can implement inclusive economic policies with high spirits

3

V) Missions for Reducing Poverty  
Case in point: Benazir Bhutto poverty alleviation projects in 1988

VI) Women can ensure implementation of family laws

VII) Advocacy for equal Political Rights rights

Case in point: Women suffrage movement

VIII) Influence of female leaders on young girls and women

IX) Women in Power can reduce human rights violations

Case in point: Ex. German Chancellor Angela Merkel, received Nansen

Refugee Award for facilitating refugees

X) Women in Power can <sup>subsequent</sup> ~~ensure~~ <sup>new</sup> ~~useable~~ generations

Case in point: Maryam Nawaz

initiative of providing milk to school children

XI) Women can mitigate implications of climate change by holistic approaches

Case in point: Greta Thunberg impact on



Follow the format of thesis,  
anti-thesis and synthesis

3 If Women Rule the World, it would be the same as Today (Anti-thesis):

I) Autocratic rule by women can lead to violence

Case in point: Hensia wajid, Ex PM of Bangladesh regime lead to violence

II) Deep-rooted issues can not be solved by simply changing genders

Case in point: Post-independence India occupied Kashmir

III) Oppressive policies by women at state level can cause discrimination against minorities

Case in point: Aung san Suu Kyi, former de facto leader of Myanmar oppressive policies against minorities

4 Conclusion

No such school of thought exists in international relations

In the realm of global politics, a school of thought in international relations challenges the women near complete absence from world politics, they argue that the absence of



of women from decision-making position indicates that they are unable to run states' day-to-day machinery. It also shows the reality that women's day-to-day lives are not important to international relations. However, this is not the case, when women are in power, they avoid use of force and are good in management of crisis. They can implement policies which are crucial for women's empowerment, like, to ending harassment at workstations, ~~to~~ <sup>reducing</sup> poverty, and bringing inclusive economic policies and advocating for political rights. Besides, in a decision making position, women can ensure execution of family laws. Furthermore, they can take holistic steps to reduce climate change implications and oppression of marginalized communities. Although, in some rare cases, women autocratic policies lead to violence as some <sup>of the</sup> global issues are deep-rooted and can not be solved easily. In whatever

way, women are more likely to be collaborating, inclusive and less-driven to conflicts.