


Topic: social media is more of terrorism than freedom of speech:



Use proper jargons
Bring maturity and clarity in your arguments/writing

of AI). All the social media platforms have been developed for good cause. However, every society has individuals that are threat to its peace. Such entities, either state or non-state actors, use these platforms to meet their detrimental objectives and spreads terrorism in the realm of freedom of speech. In the era of digitalization, social media has become a tool of spreading hate and terrorism.

Outline:

- v) In the Era of digitalization social media has become a tool for spitting hate that causes terrorism. (Thesis)
- a) Social media applications have given a voice to the traditional opponents of the country.
- b) It is a vital tool to propagate a narrative, even it is false.
- c) Social media, in the era of digitalization has become a tool of 5th generation warfare.

1) Social media is being weaponized to flourish the sectarian and ethnic issues.

2) It's a mandate of social media companies and relevant organizations to ~~spread~~ promote freedom of speech so they could be listened. (Anti-their)

a) The social media has given a voice to the segments of society that government does not listen.

b) Every social media company and local organizations have their own protocols to remove the content against community safety.

c) Every country has the institution of cyber crime to strike out threatful element from social media platforms.

d) People voice their sectarian and ethnic concerns when incumbent government resist to put their ears on.

3) The freedom of speech is the fundamental right of each citizen, but not at the cost of national security.

a) Every government comprises of

Several institutions, so there is proper way to rise their concerns.

b) There are various accounts just made to spread terrorism and ~~know~~ the owner knows the techniques to foolish the digital algorithms.

c) The institutions such as Cyber Crime has its own limitations and does not operate outside the country.

d) Ethnic and sectarian issues are critical to discuss over digital platforms.

Introduction:

Social Media is more of
Terrorism than Freedom of Speech

It is hard to see how one can prevent the bad actors from ~~using~~ ^{using} it (internet) for bad things... the future version of technology poses a real threat - Geoffrey Hinton, the pioneer of AI. All the social media platforms have been developed for good cause. However, every society has individuals that are threat to its peace. Such entities, either state or non state actors, use these platforms to meet their detrimental objectives and spread terrorism in the realm of freedom of speech. Social media itself is a tool having its own advantages and perils. In this era of digitalization social media is meant to spread that causes terrorism. The freedom of speech is the fundamental right of each citizen, but not at the cost of national security and peace. These social media platforms have given to the voice to the traditional opposers of the country as being the main tool to propagate a narrative, even if it's false. Such tools are flourishing the 5th generation warfare by fueling ethnic

and sectarian issues in the country. However, an other school of thought perceives social media platforms as a tool for freedom of speech. It has given the voice to the neglected citizens of country. There is wide range organizers out there to ensure the credibility of these platforms and strikes out the accounts of evil minded individuals.

Crunch paragraph-

The platform of social media was designed to connect people even beyond the borders for the fruitful outcomes. But unfortunately, these platforms have been using for fulfilling the negative propaganda of haters and terrorists. The tasks are done in traditional manner, such as spreading hate, misinformation, disinformation, spitting radicalization in the pre-mature minds of young generations. According to Cambridge Core, Twitter had banned about 1.2 million accounts between 2015 and 2017 over the charge of promoting terrorism. The recipients, on the other side of the screen, do not

do not clarify the information ~~to~~ they are
witnessing. While the doers of these
arguable activities claim that they
have the fundamental right to rise
their voice in the realm of "freedom
of speech"