On the question of freedom in education, there are at present three main schools of the night, deriving partly from differences as to ends and partly from differences in psychological theory. There are those who say that children should be completely free. however bad they may be: there are those who say they should be completely subject to authority, however good they may be; and there are those who say they should be free. but in spite of freedom they should be always good. This last party is larger than it has any logical right to be; children. like adults, will not all be virtuous if they are all free. The belief that liberty will ensure moral perfection is a relic of Rousseauism, and would not survive a study of animals and babies. Those who hold this belief think that education should have no positive purpose, but should merely offer an environment suitable for spontaneous development. I cannot agree with this school, which seems to me too individualistic, and unduly indifferent to the importance of knowledge. We live in communities which require co-operation. and it would be utopian to expect all the necessary co-operation to result from spontaneous impulse. The existence of a large population on a limited area is only possible owing to source and technique; education must, therefore, hand on the necessary minimum of these. The educators who allow most freedom are men whose success depends upon a degree of benevolence. selfcontrol, and trained intelligence which can hardly be generated where every impulse is left unchecked; their merits. therefore, are not likely to be perpetuated if their methods are undiluted. Education, viewed from a social standpoint, must be something more positive than a mere opportunity for growth. It must, of course, provide this, but it must also provide a mental and moral equipment which children cannot acquire entirely for themselves. (312 words)



## Title: Freedom in Education to

the three perspectives on he author discuss Greedom in education to the complete preedom, leson hegardless of ais abo econd he lieves fourever, third and in preedom but expe perfection from children whi Supported by anima and babies observations! (These be consider education merely as environment jor sponteneous development. Where as, he author disagree with this school of thought and said education should not solely rely on such impulse but for vital cooperation in communities education must impart scientific and technical common knowledge. Edwators who provide freedom must be kind selfcontrolled and selftrained which is very rare to find. Education from -andpoint must provide membal resolo good cognative growth that children their own.

Precis = 124