

Masha!

Is peace possible in a world full of weapons.

OUTLINE

Yes!

1. Introduction

Thesis statement: The world has been indulged into ~~acquiring~~ ^{acquiring} weapons of destruction and it is in search of building peace for its survival. However, peace is not impossible to achieve. Its cooperation among entities can make the world a peaceful place.

→ clarify

2. Tug of War between attaining peace and weapons in the world.

3. Peace is possible in a world full of weapons.

a) Peace and prosperity is possible through cooperation (Woodrow Wilson → Liberalist).

b) States peacefully coexist as they are not always at war (cold war).

c) Liberal Institutions help to promote peace in world. (Kant Liberalist)

d) Liberal commercialization made state interdependence so less chances of war. (EU)

e) Liberal Internationalism helps to

make world democratic so weapons less likely to be used. (US perspective)

4. Weapons dominate the world and peace is difficult to achieve

- a) Challenges to cooperation as it only benefited to some. (free riding states in 10 NP member of UN).
- b) Anarchic structure of the world led to use of weapons in conflict (WWI, WWII).
- c) Liberal institutions will be dominated by powerful (5 veto UN)
- d) Liberal Commercialization will benefited to bourgeois (Karl Marx)
- e) Liberal Internationalism fails to establish peace. (Ukraine war)

5. Peace is still possible in the world full of weapons

- a) Peace can ~~be~~ exist if interest is in favor of human development (liberals).
- b) Competition among states is nothing? but a social construct (Alexander Wendt)
- c) Liberal institution successful in building peace. (Sall1, Sall2)
- d) Liberal commercialization ends conflict among states (EU → Follow → Palchandra)

Content is good but expression is vague.

e) Liberal Internationalism successful to fight for right. (Int. court of Justice).

6. Conclusion.

The famous story of Race between Rabbit and Tortoise. In which Rabbit is confident on itself that he ~~would~~ definitely win the race against a challenge by slow Tortoise. However, Tortoise with pure stamina to ~~achieve~~ his goal wins the game/Race. This is the same situation of the world. In which, Rabbit is that world which is surrounded with max weapons and those actors who are confident on that that is their success, and Tortoise is the peace and actors who are confident enough to make the world a peaceful land. Hence, as Tortoise win the race against fast rabbit then peace can be exist in the world full of weapons. As cooperation can be made among actors and not

Hook is too long that it

becomes
irrelevant

all the time they want war. It is true that challenges of cooperation are enormous and institutions are fragile. Peace will be made for the human development than peace can be achieved. Further institutions, commercialization, and internationalism made state interdependence which makes less chance of conflict and use of weapons. Hence, world has been indulged into mass weapons of destruction and is in search of building peace for the survival. However, peace is not impossible to achieve. As cooperation and interdependence of entities can make the world a peaceful place.

Use simple sentences for structure clarity