

~~Alaska~~

→ content is not comprehensive  
→ superficial understanding of topic due to weak reading basis

DATE: / /

Is loyalty to the State same as loyalty to the nation.

basis of stance is not clear in thesis

Outline

(1) Introduction:

Thesis statement. Loyalty to the state is not the same thing as loyalty to the nation. State makes and breaks but nation remains the same.

(2) Nation's loyalty is above the state's loyalty

(3) Loyalty to the state is not the same as loyalty to the nation (Thesis)

- (a) Individual feels strong within his nation
- (b) Nation has strong historical background for representation
- (c) People can challenge the state with national unity.
- (d) Nation gives identity to the person.
- (e) Strong national ideology can create their own state.

reflected in Thesis

(4) Loyalty to the State is same as loyalty to the nation (Anti-thesis)

- (a) State provides security to the individual
- (b) State is real representative of the nation

deal with?

(c) State has right to control national challenges

(d) State gives identity to the person by state departments. → clarify

(e) State has power to destroy national ideology.

(5) Loyalty to the state and nation are related concept but not necessarily the same thing (Synthesis)

(a) State provide security on broader level but nation does it for individuals

(b) State has limited background but nation has long history

(c) State has in? limited power but nation high in power

(d) State departments are also the part of national loyalty. SP

(e) National identity is for stronger and deeper than state life.

(6) Conclusion

Essay

leave space b/w outline & essay

It was the day of December 16th.

The country was destroying into two parts. Alas! It was breaking

conversion of East Pakistan to Bangladesh in 1971. Nation broke

their own country and state

was a catalyst. But there was

re-read

Hook is written in informal (rhetorical) style

analogy b/w hook and essay topic is  
not clear

Another December 16th. Now it was APS attack in 2014. when nation made their country ~~more~~ stronger to push out from challenges and state played a role of catalyst. That's why loyalty to the state is not the same as loyalty to the nation. State makes and breaks but nation remains the same. Because individual usually feel himself stronger within his nation. Nation has strong historical background for representation. National unity can challenge the state also. Nation gives identity to the person. National ideology is for stronger and deeper than state life. So loyalty to the nation is more important than state's loyalty. Individual feels strong within his nation. Because nation gives him sense of security. This sense of security makes an individual more confident. This is the human nature. The best example for this

Be mindful of details

Scenario is "war on terror" in Afghanistan. Where Afghan Taliban stronger within their nation. Without any tension of that who was running the state. This example depict that with human feels stronger with his nation.

Nation has strong historical background for representation. Because state makes and breaks but nation roots are more stronger than state. This concept practically experienced with Korea, where state divided into two parts North and South Korea. but they called Korean by nation. Similarly China and Taiwan have again same concept. Where states are different but by nation they are same. So nation has strong historical background for representation. People can challenge the state with national unity. National unity means number of people with same point of views. If their quantity become increasing then

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

they can challenge the state.  
It's historical example of  
'Fall of Dhaka' where Bangali  
people challenged state for  
their right. Due to this  
mutiny was occurred. Lost  
of life and properties. But  
by the end of the day  
match between nation vs  
state, turns towards nation  
and Bangladesh created. That's  
why people can challenge the  
state by national unity.  
Nation gives identity  
to the person. Those people  
who belongs to different  
background, lives within a same  
territory. They are usually  
represented by their nation's  
identity, instead of state  
identity. After migration of  
madina people usually represented  
by their nation like  
Muhajirn and Ansar. Similarly  
people lived in sub-continent  
usually represented by muslim,  
Hindu, Sikh etc. This means  
that nation gives strong  
identity to the person.

have a look

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

Strong national ideology has power to create their own state. Power is usually the symbol of state. But its actual remote is in the hand of nation. In past world experienced creation of Israel. This was only based upon strong national ideology. Another example is Two nation theory which provoked muslims to make their own country. So it's proved that strong national ideology can create their own nation.

State provides security to the individuals. There is no doubt in it. It is primary responsibility of the state. Infact at the time of war on terror in Afghanistan, state was doing its best to protect civil life. Similarly in-between 2000 to almost

Operation Radd-ul-fasaad. In ~~all~~ this era state primary responsibility was to protect life of civilizations ~~civilian~~. It's mean state is in favour of provide security to the individuals

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

State is the real  
representator of the nation.  
Without the concept of  
nation's representation is no  
more. If China and Taiwan,  
North and South Korea,  
the nation that is called  
themselves for historical representation.  
Then other side of the story  
is Sudan and South Sudan,  
where nation exists but there  
representation in front of world  
is nothing. Because there state  
is mangled with civil war.  
Then how can they represent  
themselves in front of world  
without state. That's why  
state is real representator  
of the nation.

State has right to  
control national challenges. Because  
state has power. State can use  
law, force and sometime  
more than this. The major  
example of this statement  
was murder of Sikh revolutionary  
leader in Canada. He was  
active leader of Khalistan movement.  
Canadian official said that Indian

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

Intelligence Agencies involved in this killing. This means state has at most power and plan that how to tackle national challenges.

State gives identity to the person by state-departments. This is basic responsibility of state departments. At the time

of Ansar and muhajareen, Hazrat Umar conducted first census.

The basic purpose of this census is to counting the population and giving them identity according to their background / regions. Similarly Pakistan has its modernized form like

NADRA (National Database and Registration Authority) and PBS (Pakistan Bureau of Statistics).

This mean state gives identity to the person with the help of state department.

State has power to destroy national ideology. State power and national ideology both are interconnected terms.

World experienced this statement in the era of cold war. That

migrants

helpers and



DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

how USA destroyed Soviet Union  
into fifteen different countries.  
USA destroyed the idea of  
Soviet Union communism. That's  
why state has power to destroy  
national ideology.

State provide security  
on broader level but nation  
do it for individuals. Because  
state's responsibilities are at  
large scale. There are lots  
of nations are settling

within a state. In past,  
there was a big scale  
debate on good and bad

talibans, what does it mean  
State tries to protect all  
side sentiments. But the

paradigm shift after 9/11 Attack.  
Because nation firmly stand.  
Due to which state done

clear action against terrorist  
in Operation Radd-ul-Fasad and  
Zarb-e-Azab. This means state

provide security on broader  
level but nation do it  
for individuals.

State has limited  
background but nation has history.

revise  
present

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

Because usually it seems that state works for 4, 5 or 6 years according to constitution in different countries. But nation remains the same. If the example of China and Taiwan is taken then Chinese SP state was established on 28 Sep 1949, but Chinese nation do exist before it. They are the real creator of Chinese state. Similarly Indo-Pak muslims has long history in comparison of creation of Pakistan and India. Same is the case with many other countries. That's why state has limited background but nation has history.

State has limited power but nation high in power. This statement creates the major difference between Fall of Dhaka and Khalistan movement. Fall of Dhaka had very strong sentiments and state had not able to manage those sentiments. On the other side Sikh community

DATE: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_

are less in population with strongly held by state. This means power comparison between state and nation depends upon the ideology, nature, culture, history, economic power, education and political wisdom of the nation. That's why state has in limited power but nation high in power. State departments are also the part of national loyalty. <sup>SP</sup> This means the statement called depends state depends upon national loyalty. It could be said as national loyalty makes stronger or weaker the state departments. There are some historical examples on it, that's are Chauri Chaura Incident in 1922, Supreme Court attack case in 1997, PTV attack on 2014. These are major examples, when state departments were compromised due to national equality. That's why state departments are also the part of national loyalty.

Short para ↓

National ideology is for stronger and deeper than state life. Because national ideology is a gateway for the creation of strong state. After Soviet Union collapse the Russia again get up and make himself more stronger. Similarly Israel's national ideology makes Israel a stronger country in middle east. This means role of national ideology is for stronger and deeper than states life.

In a nutshell, it is necessary to create balanced loyalty between state and nation. Neither more or less, both are interdependent to each other. Both are necessary for supporting one-another. Nation belongs to people and state represents the people. People are the main bridge between state and nation.

"We are not a nation, but a union, a confederacy of equal and sovereign states."  
(John C. Calhoun)

conclusion  
also  
reflects  
the  
same  
idea