

Q. 2. Make a précis of the following text and suggest a suitable title.

(20)

In studying the breakdowns of civilizations, the writer has subscribed to the conclusion – no new discovery! – that war has proved to have been the proximate cause of the breakdown of every civilization which is known for certain to have broken down, in so far as it has been possible to analyze the nature of these breakdowns and to account for their occurrence. Like other evils, war has an insidious way of appearing not intolerable until it has secured such a stranglehold upon the lives of its addicts that they no longer have the power to escape from its grip when its deadliness has become manifest. In the early stages of a civilization's growth, the cost of wars in suffering and destruction might seem to be exceeded by the benefits accruing from the winning of wealth and power and the cultivation of the "military virtues"; and, in this phase of history, states have often found themselves able to indulge in war with one another with something like impunity even for the defeated party. War does not begin to reveal its malignity till the war-making society has begun to increase its economic ability to exploit physical nature and its political ability to organize man-power; but, as soon as this happens, the god of war to which the growing society has long since been dedicated proves himself a Moloch by devouring an ever larger share of the increasing fruits of man's industry and intelligence in the process of taking an ever larger toll of life and happiness; and, when the society's growth in efficiency reaches a point at which it becomes capable of mobilizing a lethal quantum of its energies and resources for military use, then war reveals itself as being a cancer which is bound to prove fatal to its victim unless he can cut it out and cast it from him, since its malignant tissues have now learnt to grow faster than the healthy tissues on which they feed.

In the past, when this danger-point in the history of the relations between war and civilization has been reached and recognized, serious efforts have sometimes been made to get rid of war in time to save society, and these endeavours have been apt to take one or other of two alternative directions. Salvation cannot, of course, be sought anywhere except in the working of the consciences of individual human beings; but individuals have a choice between trying to achieve their aims through direct action as private citizens and trying to achieve them through indirect action as citizens of states. A personal refusal to lend himself in any way to any war waged by his state for any purpose and in any circumstances is a line of attack against the institution of war that is likely to appeal to an ardent and self-sacrificing nature; by comparison, the alternative peace strategy of seeking to persuade and accustom governments to combine in jointly resisting aggression when it comes and in trying to remove its stimuli before hand may seem a circuitous and unheroic line of attack on the problem. Yet experience up to date indicates unmistakably, in the present writer's opinion, that the second of these two hard roads is by far the more promising.

Q.3. Read the following text carefully and answer the questions below:

(20)

(Precis = 2016) → ESS

Indent the paragraph

Title: War is Inevitable in Civilization

According to ^{the} author, the common reason for the fall of every civilization is war. Once war ^{erupts}, even the optimists of war ^{cannot} escape from its prejudice.

In the early stage of civilization growth, states ~~were found to be employing~~ ^{employ} war as a mean to achieve their goals even though cost of war exceeds the gains. Chances of war ^{increase} when a society ^{modernizes} itself and ^{employs} its economic capabilities in mobilizing its hard power.

Likelihood of war depends on the society capabilities to use its military power. ^{The} writer claimed that in past, in order to avoid war two strategies were adopted. But again it depends on person whether he uses direct method or indirect method to achieve his goal as a citizen. ~~Either he refuse to sacrifice his life in war, that is initiated by his state. or face any pre-emptive attack.~~ However, better strategy is to persuade government to collectively resist any act of aggression, before ^{its} eruption. writer

however, believes that both strategies are practically far behind in achieving results.

Too long. It should be limited to one third of the passage.

(One hundred and seventy five words)

Mistakes identified. Follow the tense of the passage.

(175 words)

ideal limit = 182

