

23 On the question of freedom in education there are at present three main schools of thought, deriving partly from differences as to ends and partly from differences in psychological theory. There are those who say that children should be completely free, however bad they may be; there are those who say they should be completely subject to authority, however good they may be; and there are those who say they should be free, but in spite of freedom they should be always good. This last party is larger than it has any logical right to be; children, like adults, will not all be virtuous if they are all free. The belief that liberty will ensure moral perfection is a relic of Rousseauism, and would not survive a study of animals and babies. Those who hold this belief think that education should have no positive purpose, but should merely offer an environment suitable for spontaneous development. I cannot agree with this school, which seems to me too individualistic, and unduly indifferent to the importance of knowledge. We live in communities which require co-operation, and it would be utopian to expect all the necessary co-operation to result from spontaneous impulse. The existence of a large population on a limited area is only possible owing to science and technique; education must, therefore, hand on the necessary minimum of these. The educators who allow most freedom are men whose success depends upon a degree of benevolence, self-control, and trained intelligence which can hardly be generated where every impulse is left unchecked; their merits, therefore, are not likely to be perpetuated if their methods are undiluted. Education, viewed from a social standpoint, must be something more positive than a mere opportunity for growth. It must, of course, provide this, but it must also provide a mental and moral equipment which children cannot acquire entirely for themselves.

Title: Schools of Thought on Freedom of Education

On freedom of education, three main perspectives exist. First emphasizes complete submission to authority, second provides complete freedom to all. However, third based on Rousseau's philosophy of liberty ensuring moral perfection stresses children to be good in spite of freedom. The author sees the third approach as individual (~~self~~) centered that limits education purpose to growth based on spontaneous urges which seems to idealistic for a cooperative society. The author believes humanly existence is possible because educators allowed liberty while adhering to competent methods and merits. Education, from a societal perspective should provide more positive mental and moral grooming to children, which they can't learn on their own.

(Lot Words)