

## PCS - Balochistan - 2021

Q. No. 6 Make a précis of the following passage and assign a suitable title:

(25)

Now for an example of the prevailing ignorance. When a father advises his son on a choice of occupation, he is generally guided mainly by economic, and partly by ethical considerations. He wants his son to avoid bad wages and bad company. He does not think about bad health though he may be impressed by the risk of violent death. Yet the health of different occupations differs to an extraordinary extent, and the average man knows very little about the risks of even his own job, let alone his neighbour's. Otherwise, no sane man would take up such an occupation as that of metal grinder or barman, with a morality double that of the average man, when he might become a carpenter or a railwayman, and thus enjoy an expectation of life above the average. Our rulers are equally ignorant on these matters. Protective duties and subsidies are granted quite impartially to health occupations like agriculture, and unhealthy ones such as the cutting trade. When this policy is opposed it is opposed on economic grounds and never because by encouraging an unhealthy trade, you are condemning some of your fellow countrymen to death. All parties agree in putting economic considerations before biological; wealth before health. I could give you plenty more examples of this ignorance if time permitted.

### Ignorance Of Occupation

OR

### Discrimination Between Occupations

A father advises his son by earning basis than ethical. He wants his son to earn well, without concerning the son's health. Occupations have differed on economic basis. No one wants to do a below average job. Concerned authorities are equally responsible for such discrimination. Very rare occupations get more attention while others are ~~ignored~~ ignored: on economic basis. We prefer wealth before health.