Q. 2. Make a précis of the following text and suggest a suitable title.

In studying the breakdowns of civilizations, the writer has subscribed to the conclusion - no new discovery! - that war has proved to have been the proximate cause of the breakdown of every civilization which is known for certain to have broken down, in so far as it has been possible to analyze the nature of these breakdowns and to account for their occurrence. Like other evils, war has an insidious way of appearing not intolerable until it has secured such a stranglehold upon the lives of its addicts that they no longer have the power to escape from its grip when its deadliness has become manifest. In the early stages of a civilization's growth, the cost of wars in suffering and destruction might seem to be exceeded by the benefits accruing from the winning of wealth and power and the cultivation of the "military virtues"; and, in this phase of history, states have often found themselves able to indulge in war with one another with something like impunity even for the defeated party. War does not begin to reveal its malignity till the war-making society has begun to increase its economic ability to exploit physical nature and its political ability to organize manpower; but, as soon as this happens, the god of war to which the growing society has long since been dedicated proves himself a Moloch by devouring an ever larger share of the increasing fruits of man's industry and intelligence in the process of taking an ever larger toll of life and happiness; and, when the society's growth in efficiency reaches a point at which it becomes capable of mobilizing a lethal quantum of its energies and resources for military use, then war reveals itself as being a cancer which is bound to prove fatal to its victim unless he can cut it out and east it from him, since its malignant tissues have now learnt to grow faster that the healthy tissues on which they feed.

In the past, when this danger-point in the history of the relations between war and civilization has been reached and recognized, serious efforts have sometimes been made to get rid of war in time to save society, and these endeavours have been apt to take one or other of two alternative directions. Salvation cannot, of course, be sought anywhere except in the working of the consciences of individual human beings; but individuals have a choice between trying to achieve their aims through direct action as private citizens and trying to achieve them through indirect action as citizens of states. A personal refusal to lend himself in any way to any war waged by his state for any purpose and in any circumstances is a line of attack against the institution of war that is likely to appeal to an ardent and self-sacrificing nature; by comparison, the alternative peace strategy of seeking to persuade and accustom governments to combine in jointly resisting aggression when it comes and in trying to remove its stimuli before hand may seem a circuitous and unheroic line of attack on the problem. Yet experience up to date indicates unmistakably, in the present writer's opinion, that the second of these two hard roads is by far the more promising.

Q.3. Read the following text carefully and answer the questions below:

DATE: \_ (Name = Agra A1: Bater = 47) (Precie = 2016) -1 CSS Title: War is Inevitable in Civilizations According to author, the common reason for the fau of every Guilization is war. Cyce war eripted, ever the optimists In the early stage of civilgation grown, States were found to be employing war as a mean to achieve ther goals even though cost of war exceeds the gains, Chances of war increases when a Society modernaise
itself and employ its economic
capabilities in mobilizing its hard power
likelihood of wor depends on the power. Writer claimed that in past, In order to awid wer two Strategies were adopted. But again, it depends on person whether he Uses direct method or is direct a citizen. Either he refuse to

Sacrifice his life in wor, that is.

Initated by his State or face any

Initated by his State. However, better

Pre-emphise attack. However, better

Strategy is to persuade Jovernment

Strategy is to persuade Jovernment

to correction resist any act of

agreesing before eruption writer

however, believes that both strategies  Practically for behind in schieving  recults.  One hundred of  and Seventy  for words  [175 words  ] ideal limt = 182		
(175 words)  [ideal limit = 182]	however, believes that both strategies	
(175 words)  [ideal limit = 182]	Practically for behind in achieving	
(175 words)  [ideal limit = 182]	results.	
(175 words)  I deal limit = 182		
[ideal limit = 182]	and Seventy	
[ideal limit = 182]	for words	
ideal limit = 182	Signature of the state of the s	3:
ideal limit = 182	(175 words)	
	ideal limit = 182	
	The second of th	
	Control of the state of the sta	
	The state of the s	
	Charles and the state of the st	
The second secon	The state of the s	
	The second of th	
The state of the s	Control of the second of the s	
	The state of the s	
The second secon		